Middle Miocene conoidean gastropods from western Ukraine (Paratethys): Integrative taxonomy, palaeoclimatogical and palaeobiogeographical implications
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The late Badenian (early Serravallian) conoideans from the Pidhirtsi Beds of western Ukraine (central Paratethys) have been investigated by means of a comprehensive and easy-to-perform morphometric approach, allowing the characterisation of eleven species, of which seven are new to science: *Mangelia angulicosta* sp. nov., *M. larga* sp. nov., *M. pseudorugulosa* sp. nov., *M. odovychenae* sp. nov., *Bela varovtsiana* sp. nov., *Bela? robusta* sp. nov., *Pyrgocythara turrispiralata* sp. nov. Additionally we also identified *Raphitoma* cf. *R. ringicula*, *Andonia* sp. aff. *A. transsylvanica*, *Teretia* cf. *T. turritelloides*, and *Haedropleura* sp. aff. *H. septangularis*. The relative high number of new species documented, relative to the total previously known from this stratigraphic interval, is interpreted as resulting mainly from combined methodological (dearth of taxonomic studies on Ukrainian conoideans) and environmental (high degree of habitat fragmentation in reef setting) factors. The conoideans documented herein add important information regarding palaeoclimatological and palaeobiogeographical interpretations of the Serravallian Paratethys. The conoideans display strong affinity at the species level and complete overlap at the genus level with Neogene Proto-Mediterranean–Atlantic conoideans, thereby challenging the interpretation of late Badenian Paratethyan macrofaunal assemblage endemism. The lack of typical warm-water indicators (e.g., Conidae, Clavatulidae, or Pseudomelatomidae) within the studied material supports the interpretation that the fauna thrived during the late phase and/or soon after the Middle Miocene Climatic Transition (14.2–13.8 Ma).
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Introduction

The road leading to a widely accepted classification framework of the superfamliy Conoidea Fleming, 1822 has been winding and paved with contradictions (e.g., Tucker 2004; Puillandre et al. 2008), especially when applied to the fossil record, where only shell characters, which are often subject to strong taphonomic degradation, are available (e.g., Tucker et al. 2011; Mariottini et al. 2012; Landau et al. 2013; Scarponi et al. 2014a, b). Thanks to the recent large-scale implementation of coupled molecular and morphological studies of the Conoidea, a new family-level framework is now available (Puillandre et al. 2011; Bouchet et al. 2011 and references therein). However, the lower rank (genus to species) conoidean taxonomy, based on integrated phenetic and molecular characters, is still in progress (e.g., Fedosov et al. 2011; Puillandre et al. 2015). Therefore, in order to put in place a conservative usage of the names of fossil taxa and to...
promote their stability, especially at lower taxonomic rank, it is important to assess shell characters and define their variation (see also Dayrat 2005; Harzhauser and Kronenberg 2013; Klompmaker et al. 2015). Herein the morphological variability of conoidean representatives of western Ukraine (early Serravallian, Central Paratethys) is examined by means of a comprehensive and easy-to-perform approach to their shell morphology. This approach combines both qualitative and quantitative evaluation of morphological variability within and among taxa in order to allow for a more objective taxonomic identification of specimens. In this respect, the study largely follows the format adopted for the analysis of Neogene representatives of the Proto-Mediterranean and Atlantic as in Scarponi et al. (2011b, 2014b) and Naldi et al. (2013). The conoideans documented herein and their taxonomic subdivision add important information regarding the diversity of Miocene conoideans from Ukraine and support recent palaeoclimatic and palaeobiogeographical interpretations of the Serravallian Paratethys (see Harzhauser and Piller 2007; Bartol et al. 2014).

Institutional abbreviations.—IGS-NANU, Institute of Geological Sciences, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine; MGPC, Museo Geologico Giovanni Campelli, Bologna, Italy; MGPT, Museo di Geologia e Paleontologia, Turin, Italy; MNHN, Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France; MSNG, Museo Civico di Storia Naturale Giacomo Doria, Genoa, Italy; MZB, Museo di Zoologia dell’Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy; NHMW, Natural History Museum, Vienna, Austria; ZISP, Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia.

Other abbreviations.—max, maximum; parameter \( m \), mean value of targeted parameter; p, probability in support of a null hypothesis; sh(s), shell(s); sd, standard deviation; wh, whorl.

Geological and geographical setting

The study area is located at the foot of the Medobory Hills, on the south-western margin of the Eastern European Platform in the Khmelnytskyi region of western Ukraine (Fig. 1).

These hills form a distinctive geomorphological unit amidst the Ukrainian flatland and represent the remnants of a composite, elongate (almost 300 km in length; Fig. 1A) reef-belt developed on the south-western margin of the European Platform (Central Paratethys), primarily during the Serravallian stage. Along the eastern side of the central sector of the Medobory Hills the sedimentary succession is represented by upper Badenian and lower Sarmatian (i.e., lower Serravallian) deposits. The lowermost part of the succession, constituted of loose sand and sandstone attributed to the Pidhirtsi Beds (Górka et al. 2012 and references therein; Fig. 1B), discordantly overlies the pre-Miocene basement. The richly-fossiliferous back-reef, shallow marine Pidhirtsi Beds are heterocopic, or lateral equivalents, with and partly overlain by biohermal (algal-vermetid reef) and bioclastic grainstone and rudstone that constitute the main structure of the Medobory Hills (i.e., Ternopil Beds; Górka et al. 2012; Fig. 1B). These upper Badenian deposits are representative of the last interval of fully marine conditions in the Central Paratethys (~13.6 \( -12.7 \) Ma; see Kováč et al. 2007). The age of the Pidhirtsi Beds studied herein was additionally constrained by means of strontium geochronology on bivalve shells from a locality near Olesko (western Ukraine), suggesting an age of 13.6 \( \pm 0.4 \) Ma (Studencka and Dulai 2010 and references therein).
A geographically widespread and easily identified unconformity at the top of the Ternopil Beds (indicating a phase of emersion of the Medobory reefs), separates the upper Badenian deposits from the overlying Sarmatian deposits. The latter in the study area partially overlay the Badenian reef deposits and are composed of a complex of biogenetic and clastic materials indicative of reduced salinity (brackish) palaeoenvironments and collectively assigned to the Volhyn Beds (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al. 1997; Fig. 1B). The fossil material described in this study is from three back-reef sites: Varovtsi, Horodok, and Velyka Levada, situated along a 30km long NW-SE oriented transect on the eastern slopes of the Medobory Hills (Khmelnitsky Region, Ukraine; Fig. 1B).

The Varovtsi site (49°20′06″ N, 26°34′29″ E; see also SOM 1: fig. 1A, Supplementary Online Material available at http://app.pan.pl/SOM/app61-Scarponi_etal_SOM.pdf) occurs along the right bank of the River Smotrytch, located within the Podolian Massif in the north-eastern part of the Halicz-Volhynian Depression. All the material studied originates from fossiliferous, homogeneous, weakly-cemented, medium to fine-grained, white quartz sandstone deposits that can reach several metres in thickness. According to Studenccka and Dulai (2010), these deposits belong to the Podhortse Beds documented by Muratov and Nevesskaja (1986), but which have also been reported as the Podgirtsi or Pidhirtsi Beds by later authors (see above). The late Badenian (early Serravallian) age of this unit was determined by means of benthic foraminifera and strontium isotope geochronology (Studencka and Dulai 2010).

The Horodok site (49°10′07″ N, 26°35′16″ E; SOM 1: fig. 1B) is an exposure at the northern periphery of the village of Horodok, located on the roadside opposite a small lake. All the selected material comes from the lowermost part of a > 10 m high cliff of quartzose, weakly-cemented sandstone, herein attributed to the Pidhirtsi Beds.

The Velyka Levada site (49°03′32″ N, 26°36′17″ E; SOM 1: fig. 1C) is represented by an exposure located ~500 m south of the village of Velyka Levada, along the left flank of the road that leads to Velykyi Karabchiv. All the selected material originates from the quartzose sandstone of the Pidhirtsi Beds, sampled at the base of a cliff 15–20 m in height.

The attribution of the deposits at the Horodok and Velyka Levada sites to the Pidhirtsi Beds is based on a comparison of lithological features with recent surveys and reports investigating fossil fauna and/or the stratigraphic architecture of deposits outcropping in the study area (e.g., Studenccka and Dulai 2010).

Historical background

Despite the richly fossiliferous sedimentary successions of western Ukraine (e.g., Eichwald 1830; Friedberg 1951), the gastropods from the study sites have rarely been the focus of quantitative analyses; this is especially true for the localities at Horodok and Velyka Levada. Among the localities taken into consideration herein, Varovtsi is by far the most studied. Accounts of the molluscs found here were given by Anistratenko et al. (2006), Anistratenko and Anistratenko (2007) for patellogastropods; Nevesskaja et al. (1993), Studenccka et al. (1998), Studenccka and Jasionowski (2011) for bivalves and Studenccka and Studencki (1988), Studencka and Dulai (2010) for polyplacophorans.

To our knowledge, Laskarew (1914) and Maslov and Utrobin (1958) briefly mentioned the Horodok and Velyka Levada localities along with Varovtsi. However, the latter paper did not deal with molluscs but focused on stratigraphic aspects, whereas Laskarew (1914) reported a list of 155 molluscan species (72 bivalves, 81 gastropods, and 2 scaphopods) from several localities in the study area. Among the six species of Conoidea reported in Laskarew (1914), only Pleurotoma anceps Eichwald, 1830 was recovered from Varovtsi, the other five—Pleurotoma leufroyi Michaud, 1827, P. submarginita Bellardi, 1847, P. suessi Hoernes 1854, P. helenae Hoernes and Auinger 1890 and P. sandleri Hoernes, 1854—were from localities not included in the present study.

Material and methods

The bulk material for this study was obtained during three field trips carried out at the selected sites (Fig. 1B) from 2010 to 2012. Each bulk sample was washed using a 1 mm mesh sieve and conooids were separated from the bulk of residual macrofossils (> 1 mm) for further analysis. In total, 351 specimens were recovered and subsequently grouped into twelve conooid morphotypes. In order to evaluate intra-taxon variability and to quantitatively compare morphologic variation between allied taxa, a batch of fifteen specimens (or all if n < 15) was randomly selected from each morphotype and ten shell parameters were measured for each specimen (see SOM 1: fig. 2, SOM 2). The number of protoconch whorls were counted following the Verduin (1977) method. Morphological descriptive terms are after Arnold (1965) (SOM 1: fig. 2); paucispiral, n of protoconch wh > 1.5; multispiral, n of protoconch wh < 1.5.

It should be noted that for groups with n > 15 specimens, specimens in which teleconch was < 2.5 whorls and specimens with excessively-damaged shells (e.g., half or less preserved or with > 4 parameters not measurable) were not considered if sampled during the selection process. Subsequently, for very similar taxa (based on preliminary morphological evaluation), all measured shell characters were compared with one another by estimating confidence intervals (CI) around the mean (Fig. 2) and via several standard statistical tests (parametric and non-parametric see Table 1, SOM 3). In this last respect, a general null hypothesis—the two tested samples are taken from populations showing no difference for the targeted parameter, and the alternative hypothesis—samples differ for the targeted parameter, and a conventional alpha-value (α) of 0.05 are assumed for all sta-
For each new species, the specimen displaying well-preserved examples of all or most of the characters considered the most representative of the newly named species was selected as holotype. The remaining fourteen specimens were consequently designated as paratypes, whereas the residual specimens of the original lot, even though attributed to the new species, are not considered as belonging to the type series (see provision 72.4.6 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 2015).

The type material is housed at the Museo Geologico Giovanni Capellini, Bologna, Italy, whereas residual specimens (see above) are housed in the European museums indicated in the institutional abbreviation section.

Fig. 2. Comparison of 10 assessed variables between allied taxa: sample mean, horizontal line; 95% confidence intervals (CI), shaded boxes; ranges, open boxes (specimen measurements in SOM 2). The mean sample is a point estimate of the population mean ($\mu$), and the corresponding CI is a range of plausible values for $\mu$. If two parameters have non-overlapping CI, they are considered to be significantly different. The 95% confidence interval is based on the standard error for the estimate of the mean and the t distribution. For extremely small samples (n < 5; SOM 2) only ranges are reported (see Beninger et al. 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>M. angulicosta</th>
<th>M. costata</th>
<th>M. unifasciata</th>
<th>Morphotype 12</th>
<th>M. odovichenae</th>
<th>B. varovtsiana</th>
<th>P. turrispiralata</th>
<th>P. rugosissima</th>
<th>H. septangularis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter protoconch (mm)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter teleoconch (mm)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of whorls protoconch</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of whorls teleoconch</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of last whorl (mm)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell length (mm)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of whorls protoconch</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of whorls teleoconch</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of whorls teleoconch</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of whorls</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of major threads t-whorl</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of major threads 2nd whorl</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of shell (mm)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analyses, which were carried out in PAST (Hammer et al. 2001). For each new species, the specimen displaying well-preserved examples of all or most of the characters considered the most representative of the newly named species was selected as holotype. The remaining fourteen specimens were consequently designated as paratypes, whereas the residual specimens of the original lot, even though attributed to the new species, are not considered as belonging to the type series (see provision 72.4.6 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 2015).
Systematic palaeontology

Order Neogastropoda Wenz, 1938
Superfamily Conoidea Fleming, 1822
Family Mangeliidae Fischer, 1883
Genus Mangelia Risso, 1826

Type species: Mangelia striolata Risso, 1826; San Remo-Ventimiglia (Italy), Recent.

Remarks.—The description of the genus Mangelia was extremely brief (Risso 1826) and the type species material has been lost (Arnaud 1978). The recent designation of neotype for Mangelia striolata (Spada and Della Bella 2010) should bring to a close the long debate concerning the taxonomical validity of the species designation by Risso (1826; see also Scarponi et al. 2011a, 2014b), while stabilising the taxonomic usage of this key species.

Mangelia angulicosta sp. nov.
Figs. 3A, 4, 5A; SOM 1: fig. 3A, SOM 2.

Etymology: From Latin angulus, angle and costa, rib; named after the distinctive, sharply angulated profile of its axial ribs.

Type material: Holotype, MGGC-24505, adult, well-preserved shell. Paratypes, MGGC-24506/1–14 from the type locality (SOM 2).

Type locality: Varovtsi, Ukraine.

Type horizon: Massive, white sandstone of the Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian (~14.0–12.7 Ma).

Material.—Six topotype specimens from Varovtsi: IGS-NANU-B-I-1/2015 (1 sh), MGPT-PU135044 (1 sh), NHMW-2015/0404/0001 (2 shs), and ZISP-62073 (2 shs).

Diagnosis.—A small Mangelia species (shell length m 5.1 mm, sd 0.7 mm; shell width m 2.2 mm, sd 0.2 mm), characterised by a multispiral protoconch (length m 0.52 mm, sd 0.04 mm; width m 0.49 mm, sd 0.03 mm), a relatively short spire (0.34 shell length m, sd 0.02) and a slightly bent columellar lip. Teleoconch sculpture of strongly angulated, narrow and prominent ribs (max 10 on the second whorl) along with dense, thin and, in the last whorl, fairly uniform, flat topped spiral elements.

Description.—Shell small-sized, fusiform, spire turreted
(0.34 shell length, sd 0.02); last whorl narrow (2.2 mm, sd 0.2 mm), elongated (3.3 mm, sd 0.4 mm). Protoconch dome-shaped, of 1.9 convex whorls (sd 0.1 wh); nucleus medium-sized, smooth as remaining whors except the final quarter, where 3–5 weak cordlets override comma-shaped, thin, slightly opisthochline, axial riblets strengthening at the protoconch-teleoconch boundary. Teleoconch₅ of 4.2 whorls (sd 0.4 wh), angulated in profile, with noticeable axials and subdued spiral sculpture; suture undulating. Axials of narrow, well developed, slightly opisthochline, angulated, far apart ribs (typically 9 on second whorl), extending across sutures on spiral whors, until the base of the last whorl. Spiral sculpture dense, fairly uniform, made of thin, flat-topped threads separated by incised lines; on early whors, a few (usually 3 on second whorl) thin spiral cords also present. Growth lines occasionally evident. Aperture moderately narrow, sub-rectangular (length₅ 2.2 mm, sd 0.3 mm), siphonal canal short. Outer lip thin-edged, slightly convex in profile, internally thickened; anal sinus C-shaped; columellar lip slightly bent.

**Remarks.**—Mangelia angulicosta sp. nov. is similar to Mangelia atlantica Pallary, 1920, an extant Atlantic–Mediterranean taxon (SOM 1: fig. 4A, SOM 2), that is considered a synonym (or subspecies) of *Mangelia costata* (Pennant, 1777) (Scarponi and Della Bella 2010; WoRMs 2014 and references therein). In particular, the two species share comparable shell dimensions, teleoconch sculpture, and morphology of the aperture and sinuses (e.g., SOM 1: fig. 3A vs. fig. 4A). Quantitative comparison of the previously mentioned teleoconch parameters support a strong overlap between the new species and *M. costata* (e.g., shell length₅ 5.1 vs. 4.8 mm, respectively; p = 0.29) as well as comparable coefficients of intraspecific variation (for further details see Fig. 2, Table 1, SOM 3). However, *M. costata* is characterised (and distinguishable from *M. angulicosta*) by its: (i) smaller number of teleoconch whors (i.e., 3.2 vs. 4.2) at comparable shell length (see above); (ii) less numerous teleoconch ribs (average on second whorl 8.4 vs. 9.1, p << 0.01; (iii) bigger protoconch (length₅ 0.76 vs. 0.52, p << 0.01; width₅ 0.66 vs. 0.49 mm, p << 0.01) and higher number of protoconch whors (2.8 vs. 1.9, p << 0.01; further details in Fig. 2, Table 1, SOM 3). Furthermore, with respect to *M. costata*, the axial ribs in *M. angulicosta* are sharply angulated at approximately 2/3 of the whorl, then proceed straight toward the adapical suture, giving the spire its characteristic angulated profile (Fig. 3A vs. SOM 1: fig. 4A).

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian of the Central Paratethys.

### Mangelia larga sp. nov.

Figs. 3B, 4B, C, 5B–D; SOM 1: fig. 3B, SOM 2.

**Etymology.** From Latin *larga*, inflated, wide; named after the distinctive, inflated teleoconch whors.

**Type material:** Holotype, MGGC-24507, adult, fairly preserved shell, outer lip slightly broken. Paratypes, MGGC-24508/1–14 from the type locality (SOM 2).

**Type locality:** Varovtsi, Ukraine.

**Type horizon:** Massive, white sandstone of the Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian (~14.0–12.7 Ma).

### Material.

Thirteen toptotype specimens from Varovtsi: IGS-NANU-B-I-2/3/2015 (2 shs), MNHN.F.A53760 (2 shs), MSNG-58188 (2 shs), MGPT-PU135045 (2 shs), NHMW-2015/0404/0002 (3 shs), ZISP-62074 (2 shs); one from Horodok: IGS-NANU-B-I-2/2015.

### Diagnosis.

A very small *Mangelia* species (shell length₅ 4.4 mm, sd 0.8 mm; shell width₅ 2.1 mm, sd 0.3 mm), characterised (and distinguishable from *M. angulicosta*) by its: (i) smaller number of teleoconch whors (i.e., 3.2 vs. 4.2) at comparable shell length (see above); (ii) less numerous teleoconch ribs (average on second whorl 8.4 vs. 9.1, p << 0.01; (iii) bigger protoconch (length₅ 0.76 vs. 0.52, p << 0.01; width₅ 0.66 vs. 0.49 mm, p << 0.01) and higher number of protoconch whors (2.8 vs. 1.9, p << 0.01; further details in Fig. 2, Table 1, SOM 3). Furthermore, with respect to *M. costata*, the axial ribs in *M. angulicosta* are sharply angulated at approximately 2/3 of the whorl, then proceed straight toward the adapical suture, giving the spire its characteristic angulated profile (Fig. 3A vs. SOM 1: fig. 4A).

### Table 1. Monte Carlo (MC) permutation and t-test results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Teleoconch</th>
<th>Protoconch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>length</td>
<td>width</td>
<td>aperture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. angulicosta</em> vs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. costata</em></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. pseudorugulosa</em> vs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. unifasciata</em></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. pseudorugulosa</em> vs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>morphotype 12</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. pseudorugulosa</em> vs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. odovychenae</em></td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. varovtsiana</em> vs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. pseudoappeliusi</em></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. turrispiralata</em></td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. rugosissima</em></td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Haedropleura sp.</em> vs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. septangularis</em></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
acterised by a paucispiral protoconch (length \( m 0.38 \text{ mm, sd 0.02 \text{ mm; width}} m 0.44 \text{ mm, sd 0.03 mm} \), a very short spire (0.29 the shell length \( m, sd 0.05 \)), inflated teleoconch whorls and a bent columellar lip. Teleoconch sculpture of numerous, rounded, low ribs (max 14 on the second whorl), and a dense pattern of spiral elements of which the two most prominent are in correspondence with, and just below, the periphery.

**Description.**—Shell small-sized, fusiform, spire conical (0.29 the shell length \( m, sd 0.05 \)); last whorl rounded. Protoconch paucispiral, of 1.2 convex whors (sd 0.1 wh); nucleus large, smooth, remaining portion with numerous, irregularly spaced axial wrinkles overrun by weak cordlets strengthening at the protoconch-teleoconch boundary. The latter sometimes marked by a relatively thick axial scar. Teleoconch of 4.0 (sd 0.6 wh) inflated whors (first two slightly angulated), with noticeable axial and spiral sculpture; suture slightly undulating. Axial sculpture of rounded, relatively dense, orthocline ribs (usually 11 on second whorl), extending across the sutures, on the last whorl fading towards the base. Growth lines evident, especially near the adapical suture. Spiral sculpture of spaced threads; finer and relatively homogeneous on sutural ramp, varying in strength below periphery; commonly two to four elements thicker than the others. One of the largest marks the edge of the sutural ramp. Aperture moderately wide, lanceolate (length \( m 2.1 \text{ mm, sd 0.4 mm} \)); siphonal canal relatively short. Outer lip thin-edged, convex in profile, internally thickened; anal sinus C-shaped; columellar lip bent.

**Remarks.**—*Mangelia larga* sp. nov. shows distinctive features; the combination of its: (i) small size (e.g., length \( m 4.0 \text{ mm} \)), (ii) small paucispiral protoconch, (iii) dense axial sculpture (usually 11 ribs on the second whorl), (iv) characteristic spiral sculpture (threads of alternating strength), (v) inflated teleoconch whorls and (vi) fusiform profile, allows *M. larga* sp. nov. to be easily distinguished from species reported herein and from those reported in the literature (e.g., Laskarew 1914; Kautsky 1925; Friedberg 1951; Zelinskaya et al. 1968; Baluk 2003, 2006; see also SOM 1 for further details). Hence, no further comparative analyses were performed.

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian of the Central Paratethys.

*Mangelia pseudorugulosa* sp. nov.

Figs. 3C, 4D, 5E; SOM 1: fig. 3C, SOM 2.

**Etymology:** From Greek *pseudo*, false, and Latin *ruga*, wrinkle; in reference to affinities with *Mangelia rugulosa* (Philippi, 1844).

**Type material:** Holotype, MGGC-24509, adult, well-preserved shell, outer lip slightly broken. Paratypes, MGGC-24510/1–14, from the type locality (SOM 2).

**Type locality:** Varovtsi, Ukraine.

**Type horizon:** Massive, white sandstone of the Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian (~14.0–12.7 Ma).

**Material.**—Seventy-two specimens, of which sixty-two topotypes from Varovtsi: IGS-NANU-B-I-4-15/2015 (12 shs), MNHN.F.A53761 (10 shs), MSNG-58189 (10 shs), MZB-32056 (10 shs), NHMW-2015/0404/0003 (10 shs) and three topotypes from Velyka Levada in batch ZISP-62075 (10 shs).

Fig. 4. SEM images of protoconch features of new conoidean gastropods from early Serravallian of western Ukraine, Varovtsi (A–C, F) and Velyka Levada (E). A. *Mangelia angulicosta* sp. nov., MGGC 24506/6. B. *Mangelia larga* sp. nov., MGGC-24508/1. C. *Mangelia larga* sp. nov., MGGC-24508/7. D. *Mangelia pseudorugulosa* sp. nov., MGGC-24510/9. E. *Mangelia odovychenae* sp. nov., MGGC-24512/1. F. *Bela varovtsiana* sp. nov., MGGC-24517/5. Views: A1, B, D1=F1, lateral; A2, C, D2=F2, apical. Scale bars 0.1 mm.

MGPT-PU135046 (10 shs), MZB-32056 (10 shs), NHMW-2015/0404/0003 (10 shs) and three topotypes from Velyka Levada, in batch ZISP-62075 (10 shs).
**Diagnosis.**—A small *Mangelia* species (shell length\(_m\) 5.3 mm, sd 0.6 mm; shell width\(_m\) 2.3 mm, sd 0.2 mm), characterised by a multispiral protoconch (length\(_m\) 0.52 mm, sd 0.04 mm; width\(_m\) 0.51 mm, sd 0.03 mm), a relatively tall spire (0.37 the shell length\(_m\), sd 0.02), and an almost straight columellar lip. Teleoconch sculpture of apically well rounded and thin ribs (max. 11 on the second whorl) and thin, rounded-topped threads densely indented by growth lines.

**Description.**—Shell small-sized, fusiform; spire slightly turreted (0.37 the shell length\(_m\), sd 0.02); last whorl rounded in profile. Protoconch\(_m\) dome-shaped, of 2.1 slightly convex whorls (sd 0.1 wh); nucleus small, smooth as the remaining whorls except for the final one-third, where 3–4 weak cordlets override low, curved, opisthocline axial riblets; protoconch-teleoconch boundary marked. Teleoconch\(_m\) of 4.5 whorls (sd 0.5 wh), slightly convex in profile (apical ones tumid), with axial and subduned spiral sculpture; suture slightly undulating. Axial sculpture of rounded, well-splayed, slightly opisthocline ribs (usually 10 on second whorl), extending across the sutures on spiral whorls, until the base on the last whorl. Spiral sculpture of dense, thin elements, more homogeneous on sutural ramp, less uniform below periphery. On spiral whors two to four thicker threads recognizable. Spiral elements densely indented (and/or beaded) by growth lines, the thinner sometimes truncated. Aperture moderate, along with a dense pattern of quite uniform spiral threads. The latter are densely indented and/or beaded at the intersection with growth lines.

**Remarks.**—Friedberg (1951), in his review of molluscs from Poland and neighbouring regions, reported *Mangelia rugulosa* (Philippi, 1844) from the Miocene deposits of Olesko (Ukraine): i.e., the Pidhirtsi Beds of Studencka and Dulai (2010). Baluk (2003) supported the attribution of the specimens described by Friedberg (1951) to *M. rugulosa* (Philippi 1844) and acknowledged the high degree of morphological variability of this taxon. We cannot confirm if the shells reported by these authors are conspecific with *M. rugulosa* (type locality Palermo, Italy; Philippi 1844), that is currently considered a junior synonym of *M. unifasciata* (Deshayes, 1835), a morphologically variable Atlantic and Mediterranean species (see Tucker 2004; WoRMs 2014). However, it is possible to compare the Varovtsi shells with *M. unifasciata* shells from the Mediterranean (SOM 1: fig. 4B–D, SOM 2).

Qualitative evaluation of some the morphological features of the species described by Philippi such as: (i) sub-rectangular aperture, (ii) short siphonal canal, (iii) thickening of the internal lip and its morphology, and (iv) teleoconch and protoconch shape, suggest a close affinity with *M. pseudorugulosa* sp. nov. (e.g., SOM 1: fig. 4B–D vs. Fig. 5E). Furthermore, statistical analyses performed on a set of *M. unifasciata* specimens from the Mediterranean and the type material of *M. pseudorugulosa* highlight that there is no significant difference between the two species with regard to all measured protoconch features, number of teleoconch whors and shell length (Fig. 2, Table 1, SOM 3). On the other hand, quantitative comparison supports a separation of the two taxa: the new species presents: (i) a narrower and shorter last whorl at comparable number of teleoconch whors (e.g., width\(_m\) 2.3 vs. 2.5, p = 0.017); (ii) a greater number of ribs and fewer spiral elements (e.g., ribs\(_m\) on second whorl 10.3 vs. 9.5; p << 0.01); and (iii) a shorter aperture (length\(_m\) 2.3 vs. 2.9, p << 0.01; for further details see Fig. 2, Table 1, SOM 3). In addition, *M. rugulosa* morphotype (SOM 1: fig. 4C) possesses flatter spiral whors and finer spiral sculpture. The analyses performed on *M. unifasciata* also suggested a higher coefficient of variation for most of the parameters considered here, supporting the high morphological variability already documented for this species (SOM 3). Lastly, one allied morphotype originally separated (i.e., morphotype 12 in SOM 2) on the basis of: (i) the thinner and more uniform spiral sculpture, (ii) the slender spire, (iii) the wider aperture, and (iv) the thin and smooth inside outer lip; overlapped with *M. pseudorugulosa* in all measured shell parameters (Fig. 2; Table 1, SOM 2, 3). Hence, we now consider it to fit within the intraspecific variability of the species (compare Fig. 5E vs. SOM 1: fig. 4F).

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian of the Central Paratethys.

*Mangelia odovychenae* sp. nov.

Figs. 3D, 4E, 5F; SOM 1: fig. 3D, SOM 2.

**Etymology.** Named after Nadia Odovychena (wife of Bruno Dell’Angelo) for the support provided during fieldwork in Ukraine.

**Type material.** Holotype, MGGC-24411, adult, moderately well preserved shell, outer lip slightly broken. Paratypes, MGGC-24512/1–9 and MGGC-24512/11–14 from the type locality, and MGGC-24512/10 from Horodok (SOM 2).

**Type locality.** Velyka Levada, Ukraine.

**Type horizon:** White sandstone of the Pidhirtsi Beds early Serravallian (~14.0–12.7 Ma).

**Material.**—Three topotypes from Velyka Levada: IGS-NANU-B-I-16/17/2015 (2 shs) and ZISP-62076 (1 sh).

**Diagnosis.**—A small *Mangelia* species (shell length\(_m\) 6.2 mm, sd 0.5 mm; shell width\(_m\) 2.6 mm, sd 0.3 mm), characterised by a relatively large, cone-shaped multispiral protoconch (length\(_m\) 0.68 mm, sd 0.02 mm; width\(_m\) 0.61 mm, sd 0.02 mm), a turreted spire, a straight columellar lip, and a teleoconch sculpture of rounded ribs (max. 11 on the second whorl), along with a dense pattern of quite uniform spiral threads. The latter are densely indented and/or beaded at the intersection with growth lines.

**Description.**—Shell small-sized, fusiform; spire slightly turreted (0.36 shell length\(_m\), sd 0.02); last whorl slightly rounded, elongated (4.0 mm, sd 0.3 mm). Protoconch tall, cone-shaped of 2.7 convex whors (sd 0.1 wh); nucleus very small, erect, smooth as remaining whors except the latter half, where spaced, opisthocline, curved riblets are overrun, at the protoconch transition, by faint cordlets. Protoconch-teleoconch boundary abrupt, marked by
a sinusigera. Teleoconch of 4.4 whorls (sd 0.3 wh), evenly rounded in profile, with axial and subdued spiral sculpture; suture slightly undulating. Axial sculpture of opisthocline, spaced, rounded ribs (usually 9 on second whorl), extending across the sutures on spiral whorls until the base on the last whorl; growth lines evident. Spiral sculpture of dense,
fairly uniform, thin threads separated by incised lines; however on early whorls and below periphery spiral elements less homogeneous. Spiral elements densely indented (or beaded) by growth lines, the thinner sometimes truncated (as in *M. pseudorugulosa*). Aperture moderately narrow, sub-rectangular (length\(_m\) 2.8 mm, sd 0.2 mm); siphonal canal short but distinct. Outer lip thin-edged, rarely preserved, slightly rounded in profile, internally thickened; anal sinus C-shaped; columellar lip straight.

**Remarks.**—This species shows affinities to *M. pseudorugulosa* with regard to the teleoconch ornamentation pattern and overall outline. However, most of the measured teleoconch features show reduced overlap between the two species (see Fig. 2, SOM 2) and statistical tests allow us to reject the null hypothesis for all the measured features except for the number of teleoconch whorls (e.g., *M. pseudorugulosa* 4.5 vs. 4.4 *M. odovichenae*, \(p = 0.52\); for further details see Table 1, SOM 3). In detail, *M. odovichenae* can be reliably and easily separated from *M. pseudorugulosa* on the basis of the bigger teleoconch (e.g., length\(_m\) 6.3 vs. 5.3 mm, \(p < 0.01\)), the higher last whorl (length\(_m\) 4.0 vs. 3.3 mm, \(p < 0.01\)) and aperture size (length\(_m\) 2.8 vs. 2.3 mm, \(p < 0.01\)) at comparable number of teleoconch whorls. In addition, *M. odovichenae* shows a bigger and conical-shaped protoconch with a higher number of whorls (e.g., diameter\(_m\) 0.61 vs. 0.51 mm, \(p < 0.01\); for further details see Table 1, SOM 3). Lastly, *M. odovichenae* compared to *M. pseudorugulosa* shows weaker and more reduced protoconch spiral sculpture and a smaller, more erect nucleus (Fig. 4E vs. Fig. 4D, SOM 2).

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian of the Central Paratethys.

**Genus Bela Leach in Gray, 1847**

*Type species:* *Murex nebula* Montagu, 1803, Great Britain seas, Recent.

**Remarks.**—Identification of the type species of this genus was problematic because its type material was thought lost (e.g., Mariottini et al. 2009). Recently, the finding in the Montagu Collection (Exeter, UK) of six specimens of *M. nebula* led to its lectotype designation (Scarponi et al. 2014b). This designation allowed us to shed light on the morphology of this species and preliminarily characterize the great variability within *Bela* as currently conceived (Scarponi et al. 2014b). However, to strengthen the understanding and consistent usage of the genus *Bela*, a detailed quantitative characterisation of the genus is currently underway.

**Bela varovtsiana** sp. nov.

Figs. 4F, 5I–K, 6A; SOM 1: fig. 3E, SOM 2.

**Etymology:** Named after the type locality (Varovtsi), where it occurs in abundance.

**Type material:** Holotype, MGGC-24516 adult, well-preserved shell. Paratypes, MGGC-24517/1–14 from the type locality (SOM 2).

**Type locality:** Varovtsi, Ukraine.

**Type horizon:** Massive, white sandstone of the Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian (~14.0–12.7 Ma).

**Material.**—One hundred and five topotypes from Varovtsi: IGS-NANU-B-I-18-32/2015 (15 shs), MNHN.F.A53762 (15 shs), MSNG-58190 (15 shs), MGPT-PU135047 (15 shs), MZB-32057 (15 shs), NHMW-2015/0404/0004 (15 shs), and ZISP-62077 (15 shs).

**Diagnosis.**—A small-sized *Bela* (shell length\(_m\) 4.7 mm, sd 1.0 mm; shell width\(_m\) 2.1 mm, sd 0.4 mm), with multispiral protoconch with nodose ribs (length\(_m\) 0.50 mm, sd 0.03 mm; width\(_m\) 0.52 mm, sd 0.03 mm), relatively short spire (0.35 shell length\(_m\), sd 0.02) made of rounded whorls with a poorly-delimited sutural ramp; last whorl inflated; columellar lip straight and siphonal canal almost indistinct. Teleoconch sculpture of closely spaced, well rounded, relatively strong ribs (max. 11 on the second whorl) and a dense pattern of fine, but uneven and beaded threads.

**Description.**—Shell small-sized, fusiform; spire conical (0.35 shell length\(_m\), sd 0.02); last whorl slightly rounded. Protoconch\(_m\) dome-shaped of 2.3 convex whors (sd 0.2 wh); nucleus small, smooth as the remaining whors except for the final one-third, where 3–4 faint cordlets override curved axials forming swollen tubercles at the intersections. Protoconch-teleoconch transition with pustules, marked by a sinusigera. Teleoconch\(_m\) of 3.7 whors (sd 0.3 wh) convex in profile, with strong axials and subdued spiral sculpture; suture marginated, undulating. Axial sculpture of prominent, rounded ribs (usually 10 on second whor), thicker on their abapical portions, thinner upward, ending in proximity to the adapical suture. Growth lines dense, evident. Spiral sculpture of fine, relatively uniform threads alternating with thicker threads (usually 4 on second whor), strengthening around periphery, interspaces of variable size. Spiral elements densely beaded by growth lines; the thinner ones often truncated. Aperture moderately wide, lanceolate (length\(_m\) 2.2 mm, sd 0.4 mm); siphonal canal very short (almost indistinct); outer lip thin, smooth within, convex in profile; anal sinus relatively broad, \(v\)-shaped on the sutural ramp. Columellar lip slightly bent.

**Remarks.**—The combination of small size, rounded shoulder, shell sculpture, morphology of the aperture and position of the anal sinus suggests assignment to the genus *Bela* and more specifically to the *Bela nebula* group (see remarks in Scarponi et al. 2014b). *Bela varovtsiana* is morphologically similar to the recently described Mediterranean Pliocene *B. pseudoappeliusi* Naldi, Della Bella, and Scarponi, 2013 (SOM 1: fig. 4G, H), particularly in regard to teleoconch features such as: (i) shell dimensions and outline, (ii) number of whors, (iii) morphology of the aperture and sinuses, and (iv) sculptural pattern of the shell: teleoconch of evident axial ribs overrun by densely beaded threads and protoconch of nodose axials and pustules. Furthermore, a great part of the teleoconch features measured here show a strong overlap between the two species (Fig. 2, SOM 2) with both parametric and non-parametric tests supporting this high morphological homogeneity (Table 1, SOM 3). However, when compared to *B. pseudoappeliusi*, it may be noted that *B. varovtsiana* sp.
nov. shows more numerous teleoconch ribs (mean 9.5 vs. 8.7 on second whorl; p < 0.01), and less numerous, more spaced spiral elements (mean 4.6 vs. 7.8 on second whorl, p << 0.01; Fig. 2, SOM 2). Furthermore, the protoconch of *B. varovtsiana* is notably smaller with respect to that of *B. pseudoappeliusi* (e.g., length\textsubscript{m} 0.50 mm vs. 0.63 mm respectively, p << 0.01; for further details see Fig. 2, SOM 2); this clear separation is also strongly supported by all relevant tests here employed (Table 1 and SOM 3).

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—Piddirtsi Beds, early Serravallian of the Central Paratethys.

*Bela? robusta* sp. nov.

Figs. 5L, M, 6B, 7A; SOM 1: fig. 3F, SOM 2.

**Etymology.** From Latin *robustus*, hard, firm; named after the solid shape of the teleoconch.

**Type material.** Holotype, MGGC-24518, adult, well-preserved shell. Paratypes, MGGC 24519/1–14 from the type locality (SOM 2).

**Type locality.** Varovtsi, Ukraine.

**Type horizon.** Massive, white sandstone of the Piddirtsi Beds, early Serravallian (~14.0–12.7 Ma).

**Material.**—Type material only.

**Diagnosis.**—A relatively large, biconical *Bela* (shell length\textsubscript{m} 6.7 mm, sd 2.0 mm; shell width\textsubscript{m} 3.2 mm, sd 0.7 mm), with multispiral protoconch (length\textsubscript{m} 0.57 mm, sd 0.04 mm; width\textsubscript{m} 0.59 mm, sd 0.02 mm), characterised by few and relatively strong riblets. Teleoconch whorls rounded with a sculpture of relatively spaced ribs (max. 11 on the second whorl) and a dense pattern of spiral elements of variable thickness. Outer lip thin, straight in profile, anal sinus on sutural ramp, siphonal canal almost indistinct.

**Description.**—Shell small-sized, biconical, solid; spire slightly turreted (0.32 the shell length; sd 0.03); last whorl elongated (length\textsubscript{m} 4.7 mm, sd 1.2 mm), slightly rounded. Protoconch\textsubscript{m} dome-shaped of 2.2 convex whors (sd 0.1 wh); nucleus small, smooth as the remaining except for the final-quarter, where 3–4 faint cordlets override curved, relatively thick riblets. Protoconch-teleoconch boundary well-marked by a sinusigera. Teleoconch\textsubscript{m} of 4.5 whors (sd 0.7 wh), slightly convex in profile, with strong axials and subdued spiral sculpture; suture undulating. Axial sculpture of thick, rounded, narrowly spaced ribs (usually 10 on the second whorl) extending across sutures on spiral whors, fading out towards the base on last whorl; growth lines dense, evident. Spiral elements densely indented, the thinner ones often truncated, the thicker sometimes beaded by growth lines. Aperture elongate, relatively narrow, sub-rectangular, about half of the shell height (length\textsubscript{m} 3.3 mm, sd 0.8 mm); siphonal canal very short, almost indistinct; outer lip thin, smooth inside, straight in profile; anal sinus relatively broad, commonly L-to-C shaped, on the sutural ramp; columellar lip slightly bent.

**Remarks.**—This species is tentatively assigned herein to the genus *Bela* sensu lato on the basis of comparable teleoconch and protoconch sculptural pattern and overall shell profile and dimensions. Close affinities are considered also with the genus *Brachycythara* Woodring, 1928. Indeed, the shell differences between the genera *Brachycythara* and *Bela* are minor and mainly refer to the following: *Brachycythara* shells are smaller (length < 9 mm), stouter, with the outer lip
slightly thickened and parietal callus moderately developed; protoconch sculpture is of crowded riblets whereas teleoconch sculpture is of stout axial folds overridden by finer (with respect to Bela) spiral elements (Powell 1967; Smriglio et al. 2007). Here, the absence of: (i) parietal callus; (ii) lirae inside the outer lip, and (iii) a dense pattern of protoconch riblets suggest caution in the assignment of this new species to the genus Brachycythera. However, the current definitions of the genera Bela and Brachycythera are rather broad and need refining (Smriglio et al. 2007; Scarponi et al. 2014b). For instance, Brachycythera beatriceae Mariottini, 2007 and B. atlantidea (Knudsen, 1952), two Mediterranean species that show similarities regarding the teleoconch with our material, were recently placed within the genus Bela sensu lato (see Mariottini et al. 2012). Bela? robusta can be easily distinguished by B. atlantidea and B. beatriceae by a smaller and less sculptured protoconch, and a less regular teleoconch spiral pattern. Hence, no further comparative analyses were performed.

Stratigraphic and geographic range.—Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian of the Central Paratethys.

Genus Pyrgocythera Woodring, 1928

Type species: Pyrgocythera eminula Woodring, 1928; Bowden (Jamaica); Pliocene.

Remarks.—Powell (1967) provided a useful description for this rarely cited but widespread genus (see Tucker 2004; Vera-Pelaez et al. 1999); herein we highlight its main features. The genus is characterised by a protoconch with axial sculpture only, and the teleoconch bears prominent but narrow axial ribs which are overrun by noticeable and widely spaced spiral cords. The interspaces among cords are occupied by dense and thin spiral threads. The aperture is elongate and narrow (rectangular-shaped) with a noticeable anal sinus bearing (inside the outer lip) a tubercle just below its lower edge.

Pyrgocythera turrispiralata sp. nov.

Figs. 6C, 7B, 8A, B; SOM 1: fig. 3G, SOM 2.

Etymology. From Latin turris, tower, and Greek speira, spire; named after the characteristic turreted spire.

Type material: Holotype, MGGC-24514, adult, well-preserved shell. Paratypes, MGGC-24515/1–14 from the type locality (SOM 2).

Type locality: Horodok, Ukraine.

Type horizon: Weakly-cemented sandstone, attributed to the Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian (~14.0−12.7 Ma).

Material.—Twenty-two topotype specimens from Horodok: IGS-NANU-B-I-33-35/2015 (3 shs), MNHN.F.A53763 (3 shs); MNGP-58191 (3 shs), MGPT-PU135048 (3 shs), MZB-32058 (4 shs), NHMW-2015/0404/0005 (3 shs), ZISP-62078 (3 shs) and one (not well preserved) from Varovtsi: IGS-NANU-B-I-33/2015.

Diagnosis.—A medium-sized Pyrgocythera (shell length \( m_{6.3 \text{ mm}, \text{sd} 0.6 \text{ mm}} \); shell width \( m_{2.9 \text{ mm}, \text{sd} 0.3 \text{ mm}} \)), with a multispiral, conical-shaped protoconch (width \( m_{0.60 \text{ mm}, \text{sd} 0.04 \text{ mm}} \)) and characterised by a few, strongly curved riblets. The teleoconch shows a turreted spire (0.33 the shell length, \( m_{0.03} \)) and a noticeable spiral pattern of strong cords on spiral whorls (commonly 3 on the second whorl); the last whorl is elongated and varicose, bearing a sub-rectangular and narrow aperture.

Description.—Shell small-sized, fusiform; spire strongly turreted (0.33 the shell length, \( m_{0.03} \)); last whorl slightly rounded, elongated (length \( m_{4.2 \text{ mm}, \text{sd 0.4 mm}} \)), varicose. Protoconch conical, of 2.5−2.2 whorls, nucleus very small, smooth as the remaining whorls except for few opisthocline,
curved axial riblets in the final-quarter; protoconch-teleoconch boundary abrupt, marked by a sinusigera. Teleoconch of 4.1 whorls (sd 0.3 wh), flattened in profile, with both strong axial and spiral sculpture; suture almost straight. Axial sculpture of prominent, slightly opisthocline, rounded and spaced ribs (usually 9 on second whorl), extending across the sutures on spiral whorls, until the base on last whorl; growth lines occasionally evident. Spiral pattern of relatively thick, widely spaced cords (usually 3 on second whorl) separating small groups of very thin threads indented by growth lines; on sutural ramp threads less homogeneous than below periphery. Aperture elongated (mean 2.9 mm, sd 0.3 mm), narrow, sub-rectangular; outer lip almost straight in profile, internally thickened. Anal sinus relatively wide, C-shaped, bearing (inside the outer lip) a swollen tubercle; siphonal canal very short, clearly distinct; columellar lip almost straight.

Remarks.—Various authors have already documented few species that show morphological similarities to *P. turrispiralata* sp. nov. for the Central Paratethys and Mediterranean areas. Among others, Friedberg (1951) and Baluk (2003) report: (i) *Clathromangelia clathrata* (Serres, 1829) from the Miocene of Ukraine and Poland; (ii) *Mangelia monterosati* Bellardi, 1877 from the Ukraine (Friedberg 1951); (iii) *Mangeli contracta* Bellardi, 1877 from Poland (Baluk 2003). *Clathromangelia clathrata* shows a somewhat comparable shell outline and teleoconch ornamental pattern; however, it can be easily differentiated from *P. turrispiralata* by its (Raphitoma-like) protoconch sculpture and the teleoconch spiral ornamentation, consisting of two prominent cords on spiral whors (for further details see Glibert 1954; Baluk 2003).

In reference to *Mangelia monterosati*, the type material is missing (Ferrero Mortara et al. 1981). Regrettably, the original description and accompanying iconography do not provide sufficient detail to characterise the species. Consequently, it should be considered a nomen dubium.

The type material of *M. contracta* is housed at the Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali in Turin (Italy). Ferrero Mortara et al. (1981) illustrated the two syntypes; both show more flattened and tall teleoconch whors, with less numerous ribs (max. 9) and more numerous spiral elements than *P. turrispiralata* (see also Bellardi 1877). Unfortunately, both specimens are lacking the apex and thus the highly diagnostic protoconch features cannot be evaluated. At the present time *M. contracta* cannot be precisely characterised until new material from the type locality is found.
Lastly, *Pyrgocythara rugosissima* (Seguenza, 1875), an extinct Mediterranean Pliocene mangelid (Tucker 2004; Scarponi and Della Bella 2010; SOM 1: fig. 4I), is morphologically comparable to *P. turrispirala*, in particular with regard to the aperture and sinus morphology and the teleoconch sculpture. However, detailed quantitative comparison of shell characters between batches of specimens allowed a clear separation of the two allied species (Fig. 2, SOM 2). *P. rugosissima* shows a notably larger protoconch (e.g., \( \text{width}_{\text{m}} 0.73 \) mm, sd 0.03) and the final one and half whorls bear ornamentation, whereas *P. turrispirala* is smaller (e.g., \( \text{width}_{\text{m}} 0.60 \) mm, sd 0.04) bearing ornamentation only on its final quarter (for further details see Fig. 2, SOM 2). In addition, all the measured teleoconch features (except for spiral sculpture) show reduced or no overlap between the two taxa, with *P. rugosissima* showing smaller values than those of *P. turrispirala* (see Fig. 2, SOM 2) and relevant statistical tests allow us to reject the null hypothesis (i.e., no difference between the two samples) for all features (Table 1) except for the major threads on the second whorl (i.e., 2.7 vs. 2.9, \( p = 0.42 \); SOM 3).

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian of the Central Paratethys.

**Family Raphitomidae Bellardi, 1875**

**Genus Raphitoma Bellardi, 1847**

_Type species:_ Raphitoma histrix Jan in Bellardi, 1847; Asti and surroundings (Northern Italy); Pliocene.

_Raphitoma cf. R. ringicula_ (Boettger, 1902)

Figs. 7C, 8C, D; SOM 1: fig. 3H, SOM 2.

**Material.**—Five specimens from Varovtsi, Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian; MGGC-24522/1–5, three in good condition (SOM 2).

**Remarks.**—The type material of this small raphitomid, along with many other conoideans from the Middle Miocene (Badenian) of Kostej (Transylvania, Romania), were destroyed during the second world war (Ronald Janssen 2014 personal communication). No type material is currently available; hence our attribution is tentative and mainly based on the original documented description (Boettger 1902) and illustrations by Zilch (1934) and Baluk (2006).

**Genus Andonia Harris and Burrows, 1890**

_Type species:_ Fusus bonelli Gené in Bellardi and Michelotti, 1840; Colli Astesi (Northern Italy); Pliocene.

**Andonia sp. aff. A. transsylvana_ (Hoernes and Auinger, 1890)

Figs. 7D, 8E, F; SOM 1: fig. 3I, SOM 2.

**Material.**—Four specimens from Varovtsi, Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian; MGGC-24523/1–4, one juvenile specimen is complete (SOM 2).

**Remarks.**—*A. transsylvana* is a rare species with affinity to *A. bonelli* (Bellardi and Michelotti, 1840). Our specimens fall within the morphological variability of the original type material illustrated in Hoernes and Auinger (1890: pl. 32: 9, 11) and housed at NHMW (two syntypes, inventory number 1867/0019/0172; Mathias Harzhauser, personal communication 2014). The two syntypes, however, show quite different morphological features, and recently were considered to belong to different species (Landau et al. 2013). The latter authors designated as lectotype of *A. transsylvanica* the shell illustrated as fig. 9 in Hoernes and Auinger (1890; SOM 1: fig. 4J), and considered the shell illustrated as fig. 11 as an open nomenclature species. The material from Ukraine is perhaps conspecific with the latter unnamed specimen (i.e., Hoernes and Auinger 1980: fig. 11; SOM 1: fig. 4K, SOM 2). Unfortunately, our material (and the material housed at NHMW) is scanty and not well preserved. Given these premises, it is preferable at the moment to leave this taxon in open nomenclature: more material is needed to study in detail its variability and its taxonomic relation to allied material from the Miocene of the North Sea Basin (see Janssen 1984).

**Genus Teretia Norman, 1888**

_Type species:_ Pleurotoma anceps Eichwald, 1830; Żakowce (Ukraine); Miocene.

**Teretia cf. T. turritoloides** (Bellardi, 1847)

Figs. 7E, 8G, H, SOM 1: fig. 3J, SOM 2.

**Material.**—Five specimens from Varovtsi Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian; MGGC-24524/1–5, three in good conditions (SOM 2).

**Remarks.**—This morphotype resembles *Teretia fusianceps* Nordsieck, 1972, however, the carinated spiral whorls along with a shorter protoconch allow for an immediate separation from *T. fusianceps* (see Janssen and Wienrich 2007). Our specimens fully correspond to the description and iconography of *T. turritoloides* type material as reported in Bellardi (1847), Ferrero Mortara et al. (1981) and Brunetti and Vecchi (2003). Unfortunately no complete protoconch was available from the type material that ranges in age from the Serravallian to Pliocene (Ferrero Mortara et al. 1981). As the protoconch characters are of fundamental importance in the identification of the majority of the conoideans, we herein recommend the conservative *Teretia cf. T. turritoloides* usage pending future revision of protoconch characters on new material from the type area.

**Family Horaiclavidae Bouchet, Kantor, Sysoev, and Puillandre, 2011**

**Genus Haedropleura** Bucquoy, Dautzenberg, and Dollfus, 1883

_Type species:_ Murex septangularis Montagu, 1803; Falmouth, Salcomb Bay and Weymouth; Recent.

**Remarks.**—The genus has recently been placed within the family Horaiclavidae (Bouchet et al. 2011), mainly on the basis of its morphological affinity to *Horaiclavus* Oyama,
1954 (type genus of Horaiclavidae). Further details regarding the taxonomic placement of *Haedropleura* are given in Bouchet et al. 2011; Scarponi et al. 2011b).

*Haedropleura* sp. aff. *H. septangularis* (Montagu, 1803)

Figs. 7F, 8I, J; SOM 1: fig. 3K, SOM 2.

**Material.**—Eleven specimens from Varovtsi, Pidhirtsi Beds, early Serravallian; MGGC-23525/1–11, of which only three are in fair/good conditions (SOM 2).

**Remarks.**—Our specimens show affinity with *Haedropleura septangularis*, a Recent Mediterranean and East Atlantic species also cited in the “Helvetian” of the Loire Basin (Gilbert 1954; this material needs further investigation), Pliocene of England (Harmar 1915) and Plio–Pleistocene of the Mediterranean (Scarponi et al. 2011b). The two taxa present comparable juvenile development and similar teleoconch morphology (see Scarponi et al. 2011b; SOM 1: fig. 4L, M, SOM 2). However, Ukrainian specimens relative to *H. septangularis* show a smaller teleoconch (and shorter last whorl and aperture; Fig. 2, SOM 2). Also statistical tests do not support equality of the targeted teleoconch parameters between the two taxa (Table 1, SOM 3).

However, the extremely small sample size for all protoconch and sculpture features of *Haedropleura* sp. (i.e., only 2–4 observations; Table 1, SOM 3), suggest caution and additional, well preserved, material is needed to reach more reliable conclusions. Also, *Haedropleura pseudoseptangularis* Gürs, 2001, seems to be another related (but distinct) species. Unfortunately, *H. pseudoseptangularis* is rarely found (Tucker 2004), and its intra-specific variability, at the moment, cannot be assessed. Indeed, only one specimen has been described from the upper Miocene (~14.5 Ma) of the North Sea Basin. We refer to Gürs (2001) for illustration and description of the only known specimen. In conclusion, our morphotype presents certain protoconch and teleoconch features akin to *H. septangularis* whereas the teleoconch sculpture (especially rib morphology), and final whorl resemble *H. pseudoseptangularis* (Gürs 2001). Unfortunately the small number of well-preserved specimens from Varovtsi (SOM 2) is considered insufficient to assess the variability of this taxon; therefore its taxonomic position is left open.

**Concluding remarks**

**Methodologic and taxonomic inferences.**—Herein, a comprehensive approach was adopted in order to incorporate interspecific and intra-specific variation in shell morphology when describing taxa. Basic measurements of shell characters coupled with descriptive terminology have allowed morphologically very similar taxa to be distinguished (e.g., *Pyrgochytara rugosissima* vs. *P. turrispiralata* or *Mangelia rugulosa* vs. *M. pseudorugulosa*). The implication is that, when numerous specimens are available, taxonomic investigations are greatly aided by employing quantitative methods, as the results can be used to validate qualitative interpretations.

The analyses conducted here allowed for the identification of eleven species, belonging to seven genera and representing three families within the Conoidea. The recovered taxa constitute just a small fraction of the Serravallian conoideans known from the Central Paratethys and surrounding areas (e.g., Baluk 2003; Landau et al. 2013 and references therein). In comparison to previous papers (see references above), this research highlights a significant increase in alpha-diversity of conoideans within the studied deposits as well as a minimum in geographic overlap with previously reported conoideans at the species level. However, the implications of this study for biotic trends at the regional scale are limited given its reduced area and taxonomic range (only conoideans). Interestingly, seven (out of eleven) species are new to science, while the remaining are attributed (with different levels of confidence) to previously documented taxa. This high degree of new species with respect to the total recognized should not be overemphasised, as a combination of methodological factors may have conspired to enhance this trend (e.g., previous taxonomic homogenization coupled with a dearth of taxonomic conoidean studies for the studied area; see previous sections). Lastly, none of the species studied were recovered in all three sites and six out of eleven species occur in only one site. This elevated patchiness at the species level suggests a high degree of habitat-fragmentation within a relatively restricted area. This interpretation agrees well with the environmental setting (back-reef to shallow marine) of the study area during the interval of deposition of the Pirdhirsi Beds (Studencka and Dulai 2010).

**Palaeoclimatological and palaeobiogeographical inferences.**—At family- and genus-level, the low richness of conoideans in western Ukraine needs to be explained. Indeed, of the documented fifteen families of Conoidea (Bouchet et al. 2011), only three have been collected in the lower Serravallian deposits of western Ukraine: Mangeliidae, Raphitomidae, and Horaiclavidae. These families, here represented by three, three, and one genera respectively (SOM 2), are represented cumulatively by at least 100 genera in the Parathethys. The limited area of study coupled with the lithological constraint (i.e., only coarse-sand deposits sampled), may have played a role in limiting recovered richness. However, the lack of entire groups of warm-water, shallow-marine taxa (e.g., Conidae, Clavatulidae or Pseudomelatomidae) cannot be accounted for by study-area limitations and/or lithofacies constraints alone. Representatives of these warm water taxa have commonly been found in back barrier/shallow marine sandy facies of many modern environments (e.g., Tunnell et al. 2010) and comparable shallow water settings of the Paratethys (e.g., Friedberg 1951; Baluk 2003). The “impoverished” conoidean fauna from the western region of Ukraine during
the early Serravallian (13–14 Ma, see Geological setting section) is interpreted as mainly resulting from the middle Miocene climatic transition (MMCT 14.2–13.8 Ma), a major global cooling (Shevenell et al. 2004). According to Harzhauser and Piller (2007), the Paratethys experienced a drop of the minimum sea-surface temperature from at least 16–18°C during the early Badenian optimum to 14–15°C during the MMCT in the late Badenian. Hence, climate deterioration is considered the main driver of the lack of warm-water indicators among conoideans of western Ukraine. Indeed, the most abundant family represented here is the Mangelidae; specifically Mangelia (SOM 2), a genus well-represented among conoideans in modern Mediterranean–Eastern Atlantic shallow marine environments (e.g., Tucker 2004; Spada and Della Bella 2010).

The palaeobiogeographical aspects of the genera included herein suggest a clear proto-Mediterranean–Atlantic affinity. At the species level, Raphitoma cf. R. ringricula and Andonia sp. aff. A. transsylvanica have a Paratethyan origin, whereas Teretia cf. T. turritelioleldei belongs to the proto-Mediterranean realm. Additionally, Haedropleura sp. aff. H. septangularis, Mangelia pseudorugulosa sp. nov., and Pyrgochythara turrispiralata sp. nov. show close morphological affinities to Neogene proto-Mediterranean–Atlantic taxa. These findings support the recent challenge to the supposed high endemism of the late Badenian Central Paratethys in favour of a more complex and intermingled pattern of communication between the Paratethys and neighbouring regions (Landau et al. 2013; Bartol et al. 2014, among others). Unfortunately, our sampling scheme, focusing on a relatively small geographic area coupled with a lack of species level systematization of the Conoidea in classical literature (which primarily focused on teleoconch shell characters and gave little information regarding protoconch features), hampers detailed palaeogeographic reconstructions of the primary connections between the Paratethys and the Proto-Mediterranean through late Badenian (e.g., via the Slovenian Corridor and/or Axios Trench; see Bartol et al. 2014 for a detailed discussion on this topic). In order to move forward, we suggest a re-evaluation of historical samples of conoideans from central-eastern Europe utilising a more quantitatively-based approach in order to accurately reconstruct the palaeogeographic pattern of dispersal between Proto-Mediterranean and Paratethys thorough the Miocene.
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