New archaeorthopteran insects from the Carboniferous of Poland: Insights into tangled taxonomy
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Archaeorthoptera is a high rank insect taxon comprising Orthoptera as well as the extinct orders Titanoptera and Caloneurodea, and several other late Paleozoic groups formerly assigned to polyphyletic Protorthoptera. Synapomorphies defining Archaeorthoptera and some fossil subordinate taxa are exclusively based on wing venation. This study presents a detailed description of two new archaeorthopteran genera and three new species from the Pennsylvanian of the Upper Silesian Coal Basin in Poland. These new taxa provide new insights into the wing venation disparity of this remarkable and insufficiently studied insect group. Omaliella polonica sp. nov. is based on a well preserved forewing, including the wing base, which allows a thorough discussion and comparison with other archaeorthopterans. Surprisingly, it is the first complete wing for this group of related genera (Omaliella, Omalia, Coselia and Paleomastax). Owadpteron dareki gen. et sp. nov. has an unusual arrangement of cubital veins. The marked resemblance of the venation of Owadpteron to that of some members of the gerarid line, such as Nacekomia, supports its placement within the family Geraridae (stem-group Orthoptera). Finally, the venation of Parapalaeomastax dariuszi gen. et sp. nov. strikingly resembles that of the genus Palaeomastax, differing only in the distally branched media. Discovery of these three new archaeorthopterans from the Upper Silesian Coal Basin fits well with that of closely related taxa known from other deposits in Euramerica, such as Mazon Creek Lagerstätte, Avion in Pas-de-Calais Basin and others. Furthermore, a new re-examination of the earliest archaeorthopteran from the Upper Silesian Coal Basin confirms doubtful assignment of this fragmentary fossil to Archaeorthoptera or even to Pterygota.
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Introduction

The super-order Archaeorthoptera was established on the basis of its wing venation, with main apomorphies in the form of the complex fusions of the veins in medio-cubital area (Béthoux and Nel 2002, 2005). It consists of some taxa previously assigned to the polyphyletic group Protorthoptera listed by Carpenter (1992) and taxa assigned to the orders Orthoptera, Titanoptera, and Caloneurodea. However, the interrelationships within different groups of Archaeorthoptera remain poorly clarified. First, we can clearly separate the large group Panorthoptera (Béthoux and Nel 2002). While the assignments of some taxa to this group are still under debate, Panorthoptera consists of three main orders: Orthoptera, Caloneurodea, and Titanoptera, plus the gerarid line (Béthoux et al. 2012). The crucial diagnostic character of this group is the basal bifurcation of the CuPa vein into CuPaα and CuPaβ (Béthoux and Nel 2002). Aristov (2014) considered the placement of Geraridae among Gryllones and pointed out the resemblance of the venation to the family Cheliphlebiidae. However, the same author is not following the widely accepted concept of Archaeorthoptera and Panorthoptera (Béthoux and Nel 2002). Other established groups of Archaeorthoptera are Protophasmida (which is considered to be the most basal clade), Cnemidolestodea and Lobeattida (Béthoux 2005, Béthoux et al. 2012). However, there are many taxa that clearly belong to Archaeorthoptera, but can not be assigned to any of these high rank groups (Béthoux and Nel 2005, Béthoux 2008). Even the monophyly and validity of the
above mentioned taxa is still under debate as well as the homology and nomenclature of the veins of Archaeorthoptera, unlike the situation in other groups of Pterygota (see Rasnitsyn 2007, Desutter-Grandeolais et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018). This complicates the fact that some recent studies of certain authors avoid to use binomen for species in comparative discussion and follow the ICZN rules only formally in supplementary parts of their articles (e.g., Béthoux 2008, Béthoux and Schneider 2010). This practice is confusing and makes future cross generic comparison with studies accepting traditional Linnaean hierarchical classification of organisms more difficult.

Herein, we provide descriptions of two new fossil genera and three new species based on the pattern of their forewing venation. The first new species is based on an exceptionally well preserved holotype, which reveals the fine structural details of this genus. At the same time, this complete fossil demonstrates problems with previously described species based on very fragmentary wings on which sometimes even the crucial characters of higher taxa are missing. All specimens used in this study came from two Pennsylvanian deposits: Leszczyny-Czerwionka nearby Knurów and Sosnowiec-Klimontów, both situated in Upper Silesia, Poland. Moreover, we provide re-evaluation to the earliest archaeorthopteran known from the Upper Silesian Coal Basin.

**Institutional abbreviations.**—FM, The Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, USA; MGL, Musée Géologique de Lille, Lille, France; MNHN, Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris, France; MP ISEA, Natural History Museum of the Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Science, Cracow, Poland; NHM, The Natural History Museum, London, UK; RBINS, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium.

**Other abbreviations.**—The wing venation concept and nomenclature follows that of Béthoux and Nel (2002) as established for Archaeorthoptera. 1A/2A, first/second anal vein; CuA/P, cubital anterior/posterior; CuPa/b, anterior/posterior branch of CuP; CuPab/cubital anterior/posterior branch of CuPa; M, stem of media; MA/P, medial anterior/posterior; RA/P, radial anterior/posterior; ScA/P, subcostal anterior/posterior.

**Nomenclatural acts.**—This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains, have been registered in ZooBank: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:758133BE-4660-40AF-A939-580B2F1D2E2B

### Material and methods

The Carboniferous insects from localities in the Upper Silesian Coal Basin have been studied for more than a century (e.g., Roemer 1883; Handlirsch 1906). Most of the discoveries were linked with the intensive mining of coal in the past and only a few sites are currently accessible as spoil heaps. One of them situated in the Polish part of the basin is Sosnowiec-Klimontów with material originally excavated from the Porąbka-Klimontów coal mine (e.g., Krawczyński et al. 1997). Fossils are preserved in spheroid-sideritic concretions with 3D relief and include various groups of arthropods, molluscs, vertebrates and most commonly plant remains (Krawczyński et al. 2001, Stworzewicz et al. 2009, Pacyna and Zdebska 2010). The entomofauna consists predominantly of paoliids, palaeodictyopteran nymphs and their exuvia, followed by sparsely recorded other groups like Archaeognatha and Archaeorthoptera (Prokop et al. 2012, 2014, 2017, 2019).

The second locality Leszczyny-Czerwionka is situated west of Katowice and is especially well known for its fossil flora with a large accumulation of drifted long logs mainly attributable to Cordaites (Gradziński et al. 1982).

The fossils were studied in a dry state or under a film of ethyl alcohol using Leica MZ12.5 and Nikon SMZ 745 stereomicroscopes. Line drawings of the venation of both specimens were made directly with the aid of a camera lucida. Photographs were taken using a Canon D550 digital camera with EF 50mm and MP-65mm lenses. Original photographs were processed using the image-editing software Adobe Photoshop CS.

### Systematic palaeontology

**Super-order Archaeorthoptera Béthoux and Nel, 2002**

**Genus Omaliella Béthoux and Nel, 2005**

**Type species: Omaliella ramosa** Béthoux and Nel, 2005, Pas-de-Calais Basin, France, Duckmantian (Westphalian B), Pennsylvanian.

**Omaliella polonica** sp. nov.

Fig. 1.

ZooBank **LCID:** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:D0E08357-DADB-4E2A-9E91-A75C0A4DE748

**Etymology:** From Latin Polonia, Poland.

**Holotype:** MP ISEA I−F/MP/8/1676/17 (imprint of forewing).

**Type locality:** Leszczyny-Czerwionka nearby Knurów, Rybnik, and Gliwice in Upper Silesia; found on the slag heaps that dates back to 19th century (Gradziński et al. 1982).

**Type horizon:** Carboniferous, Pensylvannian, Duckmantian (Westphalian B), Coal-bearing Mudstone Series, Orzesze Beds (Gradziński et al. 1982).

**Diagnosis.**—Based on fore-wing venation: width of costal area about midwing similar to subcostal area, ScP ending on anterior wing margin two thirds along wing length; RP partially connected with MA1 ending only with a terminal twig, anterior branch of RP distally fused with simple RA; CuA+CuPa extensively developed and posteriorly pectinate with 11 primary branches, basal branch distinctly undulated, CuPb simple.

**Measurements.**—Wing length 64.5 mm, maximum width 22.3 mm (about the level of division MA and MP).
Description.—Based on fore-wing venation. Nearly complete wing broadest approximately in the middle with originally hyaline membrane and numerous transverse or slightly oblique cross veins; ScA unknown because the basal anterior part of wing is not preserved; proximal part of ScP incomplete due to preservation; ScP reaches anterior wing margin in two thirds along the length of the wing, without discernible anterior branches; space between ScP and costal
margin of wing quite narrow, about the same width as space between ScP and RA in the middle of the wing; R strongly convex, division of RA and RP 22 mm from wing base, RA simple, running parallel with ScP, terminally fused with anterior branch of RP very close to anterior wing margin; RP slightly concave and almost simple, partially connected to MA for 1.9 mm, ending with short terminal twig; stem of M shortly behind the wing base connected to CuA for 11.9 mm, stem of M concave divided into MA and MP in about mid-wing; MA deeply separated into MA1 and MA2 shortly behind the division with MP; MA1 shortly connected to RP; MA1 and MA2 both pectinate ending with 4 main posterior branches; third branch of MA1 secondarily pectinate anteriorly ending with three short branches; MP ending with three main posterior branches, first and third branch with secondary twigs; division of CuA and CuP very close to wing base, CuA+CuPa pectinate with 8 main posterior branches; area between CuPa and CuPb with irregular network of veinlets; CuPb and A1 both simple and closely parallel to one another.

Remarks.—The present fossil can be assigned to the genus Omaliella based on the following combination of diagnostic forewing characters: RP long before it fuses with MA1, RP fused with MA1, CuA+CuPa posteriorly pectinate ending with about main 11 terminal branches. However, the type species of the genus Omaliella is based on the poorly preserved fossil of O. ramosa Béthoux and Nel, 2005, which does not have even the main apomorphies of the Archaeorthoptera. Therefore, it is necessary to discuss the assignment of Omaliella polonica sp. nov. and convincingly support the placement of Omaliella in the Archaeorthoptera.

We can assign Omaliella polonica sp. nov. to Archaeorthoptera sensu Béthoux and Nel, 2002 on the basis of the following apomorphies: basal division of CuP into CuPa and CuPb, fusion of M and CuA and fusion of distal part of CuA with CuPa. We can exclude its placement in clad Cnemidolestoda due to absence of anteriorly pectinate CuA+CuPa and the presence of a well-developed MP independent of CuA+CuPa (Béthoux 2005). Based on the non-differentiated vein CuPa (branches CuPau and CuPaf) the attribution to the clad Panorthoptera is also excluded (Béthoux and Nel 2002). We can also exclude the placement among lobeattid insects because of the more distal bifurcation of R with respect to the end of AA1 on posterior wing margin and quite wide space between RA and RP (Béthoux 2008). The apparently non-developed AP area also prompt us to exclude the assignment of O. polonica sp. nov. to Protothysmacidae neither (Béthoux 2003). Hence, O. polonica sp. nov. cannot be placed in any of the above mentioned higher clades of Archaeorthoptera.

Currently, there is no phylogenetic analysis of Archaeorthoptera that we could simply follow and therefore we avoid creating a new high level taxon. The placement of O. polonica sp. nov. is based on shared characters that could be indicative of the relationships of the closest taxa. Another problem is fragmentary preservation of some previously described taxa of Omaliella, which make it impossible to compare all the potentially important characters. Generally, we focused on the middle part of the forewing, which is usually well preserved in all the mentioned genera. So, we will compare and discuss these all significant characters of these relevant genera.

In the venation of O. polonica sp. nov. there is a prominent connection between RP and MA1 shared by for instance Geraridae (stem group of Panorthoptera) (Béthoux and Nel 2003) and some species of lobeattids (Béthoux 2005; Béthoux 2008; Béthoux et al. 2012). It also resembles the situation in Gerarus Scudder, 1885, especially Gerarus fisheri (Brongniart, 1885) (MNHN-LP-R.51139), as in both RP and MA1 are partially fused (instead of the much commoner well separated MA), RP, MA and MP have fewer branches and the region of CuA+CuPa is quite well developed. But Gerarus fisheri displays marked differences in venation as RA branched, connection between RP and MA is present only in some specimens, branching pattern of CuA+CuPa differs and furthermore CuPb with branches. Short fusion of RP and MA1 is also shared with Miamia Dana, 1864, of which the species M. maimai Béthoux, Gu, Yue, and Ren 2012, is assigned to the lobeattids (Béthoux et al. 2012). But in this species there is only a weakly developed CuA+CuPa region and the pattern in the area CuA+M also differs.

Some other lobeattids have fusion of RP and MA instead of RA and MA1. Sinopteron with Sinopteron huangheense Prokop and Ren, 2007 has a posteriorly branched CuA+CuPa vein, and veins RP and MA are shortly connected by a short cross vein (Prokop and Ren 2007). Chenxiella with Chenxiella liuae shares also posteriorly branched CuA+CuPa vein, but MA is shortly connected to RP (Liu et al. 2009). However, the latter genus differs from O. polonica sp. nov. by a more basal division of RA and RP and richly branched RP. Longzhua with Longzhua loculata Gu, Béthoux, and Ren, 2011 has a short fusion of RP and MA and well developed CuA+CuPa region (Gu et al. 2011). On the other hand, R is branched more basally, RP is again richly branched and the forewing is distinctly smaller than that of Omaliella polonica sp. nov.

However, Omaliella polonica sp. nov. shares most traits with the following unassigned genera: Omalia Van Beneden and Coemans, 1867, Coselia Bolton, 1922, Paleomastax, and Omaliella. The main common difference is the connection of RP with MA instead MA1. Another difference in Omalia macroptera (RBINS a7687) is the non-regular branching pattern of CuA+CuPa. Omalia sp. (specimen MGL 4217) has a CuA+CuPa with a regular pattern of 5–6 main posterior branches. In this specimen we can also see a regular network of veinlets between CuA+CuPa and CuPb, which differs from that in O. polonica sp. nov. in which the irregular network of veinlets and most basal posterior branch of CuA+CuPa undulate. They are unfortunately not preserved in this specimen.

Due to incomplete preservation of the type specimens of Coselia and Paleomastax we do not know if MA is connected to RP. However, the general pattern of wing ven-
tion corresponds to that of Omalia and Omaliella polonica sp. nov. The preserved part of the wing of Coselia palmiformes (NHM I.15893) has a different branching pattern of CuA+CuPa and regular network of veinlets between CuA+CuPa. Palaeomastax carbonis Handlirsch, 1904 (RBINS a7700) has a similar pattern of regular posteriorly branching of veins CuA+CuPa, but the number of branches is unknown due to poor preservation.

The venation of Omaliella polonica sp. nov. shares numerous characteristics in the venation with the type species Omaliella ramosa Béthoux and Nel, 2005 known from Westphalian B of Pas-de-Calais Basin in France (Béthoux and Nel 2005). The vein RP is connected with MA1, area CuA+CuPa broadly developed and posteriorly pectinate with 10 main branches, unlike 11 branches found in O. polonica sp. nov., and simple CuPb. Unfortunately, we do not have any information on the branching patterns of R and M, but MP seems to be only weakly branched, as in O. polonica. Unfortunately, the basal part of forewing in O. ramosa is unknown. But the general course of the main veins in the type species strongly resembles that in O. polonica sp. nov., with the exception of the wider costal area, more basal connection of RP and MA1 and slightly different branching pattern of CuA+CuPa. On the basis of shared characters in their venations and general correspondence, we assign our new species to the genus Omaliella as O. polonica.

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—S Poland: Upper Silesia, Leszczyzny-Czerwionka nearby Knurów; Pennsylvanian, Duckmantian (Westphalian B).

Order Panorthoptera Crampton, 1928 (sensu Béthoux and Nel, 2002)
Family ?Geraridae Scudder, 1885
Genus Owadpteron nov.

ZooBank LCID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:38710148-8A61-4200-B0FC-42E0A6FAD893

**Type species:** Owadpteron dareki sp. nov.; by monotypy, see below.

**Etymology:** From Polish owad, insect and Greek pteron, wing; neuter in gender.

**Diagnosis.**—As for the type species by monotypy.

**Owadpteron dareki** sp. nov.

**Fig. 2.** Forewing venation of archaeorthopteran insect Owadpteron dareki sp. nov., holotype (MP ISEA I-F/MP/1488/26a,b/08), Carboniferous, Pennsylvanian, Langsettian (Westphalian A), Sosnowiec-Klimontów, Upper Silesian Coal Basin, Poland. Photograph of imprint (A1) and counterimprint (A2), and explanatory drawing (A3). Abbreviations: (+), convex vein; (-), concave vein; A1, first anal vein; CuA, cubital anterior; CuPb, anterior/posterior branch of cubital posterior; CuPa/β, cubital anterior/posterior branch of CuPa; M, media; MP, media posterior; RA/P, radius anterior/posterior; ScP, subcosta posterior.

**Diagnosis.**—Based on fore-wing venation: broad costal area, RP ending with at least three branches, long stem of M+CuA; MP pectinate, each branch with secondary level branching; area between MP and CuA+CuPa very broad at widest part and comparable to costal area; point connection of free part of CuA and CuPa; CuPa divides into branch CuPa/α continuously attached to CuA and well separated
from branch CuPaβ; CuPb anteriorly branched and ending in at least three branches.

**Measurements.**—Forewing fragment length 36.4 mm long, estimated forewing length 55 mm, minimum width at widest part 21.7 mm (about the level of contact between CuA and CuPa).

**Description.**—Based on fore-wing venation. Broad wing incompletely preserved and lacking basal part and apex. Wing membrane originally rather thick with two types of cross veins present. Regular transverse cross veins broadly spaced between main veins occur together with a dense network of fine veinlets. ScA unknown; ScP ending on RA in distal part of wing; broad costal space between anterior margin of wing and ScP markedly narrowing posteriorly, subcostal field regularly narrow; R divides into RA and RP slightly behind the division of M and CuA, RA nearly straight and probably simple, RP distally pectinate ending with at least three branches; common stem of M+CuA present, free part of MP anteriorly richly branched ending with three main branches all secondarily bifurcated, broad space between veins MP and CuA with several straight cross veins, in widest part as wide as costal space; convex CuA diverging from stem of M+CuA proximally from division of RA and RP, one terminal twig of CuA apparent; concave CuPa divides into branch CuPaa continuously attached to CuA and well separated from branch CuPaβ; CuPb anteriorly branched and ending with three branches; A1 simple.

**Remarks.**—It is not easy to classify this wing due to incomplete preservation and especially the missing wing base. Presence of prominent convex veinial stem located posterior of the apparent strongly convex R, which is further divided into a weakly concave MP and convex CuA, which we consider to be the common stem of M+CuA. The presence of M+CuA is considered as one of the key apomorphies of the Archaeorthoptera (Béthoux and Nel 2002). Another important Archaeorthoptera apomorphy is the basal division of CuP into the branches CuPa and CuPb, which is also present on this wing. However, the free part of CuA does not fuse with CuPa and there is only one point connection between these two veins. This raises the question: was this situation an ancestral state for Archaeorthoptera before the complete fusion of these two veins occurred?

Due to the more distal bifurcation of R with respect to the end of AA1 on posterior wing margin and the presence wide space between branches of RA and RP, the placement to lobeatitid taxa is unlikely (Béthoux 2008). The wing venation similarly lacks the typical character of Cnemidolestidea, in which there is an anteriorly pectinate CuA+CuPa (Béthoux 2005). We have no information on the area of AP, which is well developed in Protophasmida (Béthoux 2003), and the general venation in the preserved part differs considerably. So it cannot be placed in Protophasmida. There is also a weak correspondence of the venation with that of the genera Omalia, Omaliella, Palomastax and Coselia. Potential attribution to the clade Panorthoptera is discussed below.

However, the pattern in the venation of our wing resembles that in the monotypic Ampeliptera, with *A. limburgica* described from Namurian A in The Netherlands (Pruvost 1927). On both wings there is a dense network of veinlets, posteriorly pectinate RP and anteriorly pectinate MP with bifurcated branches. Even the short fusion of the veins CuA and CuPa is present in both taxa. However, this fusion in *Ampeliptera* is a bit longer. Branched CuPb is also present in both species. Main differences are a narrower space between anterior margin of wing and ScP, and wider space between RA and RP in *Ampeliptera*. The branching pattern of MP is also slightly different. But the missing distal part of wing poses a problem, we do not know the exact branching pattern. Same problem concerns the branching of CuA, but there are presumably fewer branches in *Owadpteran*. Systematic position of *Ampeliptera limburgica* has been debated for long time. Béthoux and Nel (2002), after examining of the holotype, placed *A. limburgica* into the Archaeorthoptera and considered it to be the oldest known member of this clade at that time. This supports our idea about the antiquity of *Owadpteran* based on an incompletely fused CuA and CuPa.

The venation does resemble that of *Nacekomia ros-sae* described from the Pennsylvanian strata at Mazon Creek, Illinois (Richardson 1956), which is considered to be a member of the family Geraridae (Kukalová-Peck and Brauckmann 1992; Béthoux and Nel 2002). Both taxa share the same organisation of the cubital area, with CuA ending in only a few branches and a similar shaped CuPb with two anterior branches. The vein CuPa is fused with a free CuA over a short distance in *Nacekomia* (see Fig. 3), whereas in *Owadpteran* it is a point fusion. Nevertheless, this course of the CuPa vein is generally rare in Archaeorthoptera, so we can consider this character to be relatively stable in both taxa. Another resemblance between the venation of both taxa is the wide costal field and sparse but quite regular network of thin cross veins. On the other hand, there are some differences, such as ScP ends on RA instead of on the anterior margin of the wing in *N. ros-sae* and there is a more distal division of the veins RA and RP. The apparent resemblance of the venation to that of *Nacekomia* and the presence of several differences, lead us to assign our fossil to a new genus placed presumably in Geraridae (Panorthoptera). However, the exact taxonomic placement of *N. ros-sae* is under discussion because of the indistinct differentiation of CuPa into CuPaa and CuPaβ, which is a key apomorphy of Panorthoptera comprised of Geraridae (Béthoux and Nel 2002). Our re-examination of the type of *N. ros-sae* confirmed that the branches of CuPaa and CuPaβ are markedly concave, which justifies its placement in Panorthoptera (see Fig. 3). The bifurcation of CuPa is not clearly discernible in *Owadpteran*. However, the markedly different polarity of CuA from convex to neutral at its point of contact with CuPa support our notion that CuA continues
as an anterior branch of CuPa, while CuPb diverges separately. An interpretation that would confirm the placement of *Owadpteron* in Panorthoptera and most probably close to *Nacekomia* (Geraridae). Nevertheless, we need a more complete specimen of *Owadpteron* in order to clarify its taxonomic status.

**Stratigraphic and geographic range.**—S Poland: Upper Silesia, Sosnowiec-Klimontów; Pensylvannian, Langsettian (Westphalian A).

**Genus Parapalaeomastax nov.**

*ZooBank LCID:* urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:13BC1408-34E6-4553-8714-B7D64A718552

*Type species:* *Parapalaeomastax dariuszi* sp. nov.; by monotypy, see below.

*Etymology:* Named after its similarities in venation to the extinct *Palaeomastax* Handlirsch, 1904; feminine in gender.

*Diagnosis.*—As for the type species by monotypy.

**Parapalaeomastax dariuszi** sp. nov.

*Fig. 4.*

*ZooBank LCID:* urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:08E34AA1-C66D-4FAF-A4C1-49F61D87A63B

*Etymology:* In honour of our colleague and collector of Carboniferous fossils Dariusz Wojciechowski.

*Holotype:* MP ISEA I−F/MP/1540/20/09 (imprint of forewing).


*Type horizon:* Pensylvannian, Moscovian (Westphalian A), Coal-bearing Mudstone Series, Mudstone series (Załęże beds) (Pacyna and Zdebska 2012).

*Diagnosis.*—Vein M distally divided into MA and MP, MA shortly braced to RP. Free part of M before bifurcation four times or less longer than width of costal field where CuA+M divides.

*Measurements.*—Forewing fragment length 34.4 mm long, estimated forewing length 55 mm, maximum width in widest preserved part 14 mm (estimated maximum width is probably only slightly larger).

*Description.*—Based on fore-wing venation. Wing generally incompletely preserved, cubital area partly distorted, apex missing. Wing membrane hyaline, numerous transverse or slightly oblique crossveins regularly arranged. ScA unknown, ScP ends on costal margin in distal third of wing. Costal area broad with regular pattern of numerous oblique veinlets. R almost straight, bifurcated 6.18 mm distal from divergence of M and CuA. RA probably simple. RP posteriorly pectinate, ending with at least three terminal branches. Short stout brace present between RP and MA. Long basal fusion between M+CuA. M diverging from the common stem well before the middle of the wing. M divided into MA and MP beyond the middle of the wing. Short brace between MA and RP 2.3 mm from M bifurcation. MA and MP run parallel to one another over a long distance. Distal bifurcation of both M veins present close to posterior margin of wing. CuA fused with CuPa shortly after its emergence from the M+CuA vein. CuPb probably simple. Details of cubital area indistinct, but posterior pectination of CuA+CuPa ending with at least 4 primary branches, the first of which is secondarily bifurcated. Anal area formed by at least two anal veins, second anal vein distally bifurcated.

*Remarks.*—This forewing corresponds to that of Archaeorthoptera sensu Béthoux and Nel (2002) because of the following synapomorphies: CuP differentiated into two branches (CuPa and CuPb), M and CuA fused over long distance and distal part of CuA fused with CuPa. Despite a poorly preserved cubital area, there is no sign of a branched CuPa vein, so it is unlikely that it can be placed in Panorthoptera. Wide space between RA and RP lead us to exclude this fossil from Lobeattida. Due to posteriorly pectinate CuA+CuPa vein, we can also exclude the placement within Cnemidolestodea.
(Béthoux 2005). AP area is indistinct, but is probably not well developed, and therefore our fossil cannot be placed in Protophasmida. Nevertheless, the general pattern of the venation of this fossil resembles that of the group of genera consisting of *Coselia*, *Omaliella*, *Paralongzhua*, *Omalia*, and especially *Palaeomastax* (Béthoux and Nel 2005; Prokop et al. 2015).

*Parapalaeomastax* gen. nov. differs from *Omalia* with *Omalia macroptera*, especially by its more distal division of MA and MP and connection of MA by only a short brace to RP, instead of a partial fusion (Béthoux and Nel 2005). There is also a more complicated pattern of cross veins in the costal area in *O. macroptera* and the same is true for *Omalia sp.* (specimen MGL 4217), where in addition, the bifurcation of MP is closer to the division of MA and MP (Béthoux and Nel 2005). *Coselia* mainly differs from *Parapalaeomastax* in having a markedly wider costal area with a prominent meshwork of cross veins, but the type species of *C. palmiformis* is based only on the basal part of a wing. In *Omaliella* with *Omaliella ramosa* the branching pattern of M is different and vein RP is connected to the anterior branch MA1. The comparison of *Parapalaeomastax* with early Permian *Paralongzhua* based on *Paralongzhua elongata* from Lodève (Hérault, France) reveals it has a much narrower costal area, the division of MA and MP located more basally and MA is deeply bifurcated (Prokop et al. 2015). *Palaeomastax* Handlirsch, 1904 based on *Palaeomastax carbonis* from Frameries in Belgium has the same general pattern of venation, with the exception of a long and simple M unlike our fossil with deeply branched M and MA shortly braced to R (Béthoux and Nel 2005). But the fossil of *P. carbonis* lacks distal part of wing and even the distal end of the preserved part is damaged. It is quite plausible, that a bifurcation of M and a connection of MA with RP can occur immediately distal to the preserved area. However, we have to stick to the facts. So, the long simple M is a crucial diagnostic character of the genus *Palaeomastax* and therefore provides sufficient differentiation of *Parapalaeomastax*, but we need to search for more complete fossils of *Palaeomastax* in order to accurately compare their wing apices.

Stratigraphic and geographic range.—S Poland: Upper Silesia, Sosnowiec-Klimontów; Pensylvannian, Langsettian (Westphalian A).
Re-evaluation of the earliest archaeoorthopteran from the Upper Silesian Coal Basin

Prokop et al. (2005) reported the anterobasal part of wing attributable to Archaeorthoptera from the lowermost Namurian A/E1 (ca. 324 Ma) of Ostrava Formation in Upper Silesian Coal Basin (Czech Republic). Due to a poor and fragmentary preservation of this fossil the authors were unable to provide any formal attribution to a precise group or closer comparison at that time. However, this fossil potentially represents an important calibration point as it is at the same time the oldest Pterygota. Wolfe et al. (2016) regarded this fragmentary fossil as insufficiently characterized for dating. Our re-examination of this fossil specimen (No. B13711) reveals several obstacles as supposed longitudinal veins are interrupted and distinctly stronger in distal part, branching pattern of the main veins near the supposed wing base seems to be very unusual without clearly discernable veins ScP and R (including different polarity), and thus support uncertainties of the assignment. Therefore, we considered the placement of this fossil among Archaeorthoptera or even Pterygota as doubtful. Our new observation reveals that it could rather belong to another group of organisms (presumably a fragment of fish fin).

Conclusions

The Carboniferous insects from the Upper Silesian Coal Basin have been well studied for more than a century by the classic authors Ferdinand von Roemer, Anton Handlirsch and Pierre Pruvost. Recently discovered localities in the Polish part of the Basin at Czerwionka and particularly Sosnowiec with exceptionally well preserved specimens in sideritic nodules reveal a diverse entomofauna with a high potential for future studies.

This study focused on members of Archaeorthoptera and includes descriptions of two new genera and three new species based on fore-wing venation. A remarkably well preserved forewing of Omaliella polonica sp. nov. reveals structural details of the venation, which are unknown for the type species Omaliella ramosa due to its fragmentary preservation. In particular, this species allows us to study the venation near the wing base and apex for the first time.

Owadpteran dareki gen. et sp. nov. has an unusual trait, CuPax is partially fused with CuA. Our hypothesis is supported by the examination of Nacekoria rosae, which has a similar venation, with the free part of CuPax distinctly emerging from CuA. This pattern supports the assignment of Owadpteran to the Geraridae and Panorthoptera. However, the bifurcation of CuP is an essential apomorphy of Panorthoptera and its absence in O. dareki would greatly weaken our taxonomic placement, or challenge the significance of this character. Parapaleomastax dariuszi gen. et sp. nov. is poorly preserved, but important apomorphies are visible and allow the specific assignment within Archaeorthoptera. The comparison with the genus Palaeomastax is complicated by the lack of a preserved wing apex with the crucial diagnostic characters.

All three new species of Archaeorthoptera differ greatly morphologically and in their wing venation, which could help in a future phylogenetic analysis of this stunning group.
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