Evidence of two lineages of metriorhynchid crocodylomorphs in the Lower Cretaceous of the Czech Republic
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Metriorhynchid crocodylomorphs were an important component in shallow marine ecosystems during the Middle Jurassic to Early Cretaceous in the European archipelago. While metriorhynchids are well known from western European countries, their central and eastern European record is poor and usually limited to isolated or fragmentary specimens which often hinders a precise taxonomic assignment. However, isolated elements such as tooth crowns, have been found to provide informative taxonomic identifications. Here we describe two isolated metriorhynchid tooth crowns from the upper Valanginian (Lower Cretaceous) of the Štramberk area, Czech Republic. Our assessment of the specimens, including multivariate analysis of dental measurements and surface enamel structures, indicates that the crowns belong to two distinct geosaurin taxa (*Plesiosuchina?* indet. and *Torvoneustes?* sp.) with different feeding adaptations. The specimens represent the first evidence of Metriorhynchidae from the Czech Republic and some of the youngest metriorhynchid specimens worldwide.
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Introduction

Thalattosuchia was a species-rich and geographically widespread clade of marine and freshwater crocodylomorphs that were particularly abundant between the late Early Jurassic and the Late Jurassic when they regulated the trophic structure of near-shore as well as deep-water settings (e.g., Andrade et al. 2010; Young et al. 2013a; Wilberg 2015; Foffa et al. 2018c, 2019; Johnson et al. 2018; Martin et al. 2018). Thalattosuchian fossils are particularly abundant in western Europe, often preserving complete skeletons (e.g., Eudes-Deslongchamps 1867–1869; Fraas 1902; Andrews 1913). Central and eastern European strata have mostly yielded isolated or highly fragmentary specimens that are rarely able to give a precise taxonomic assignment (e.g., Ochev 1981; Hua et al. 1998; Čerňanský et al. 2019; Madzia et al. 2021). By the end of the Jurassic, thalattosuchian fossils become rarer in Europe, most likely as a consequence of localised sea level regressions. However, both major thalattosuchian lineages, the metriorhynchoids and teleosauroids, crossed the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary (e.g., Sachs et al. 2020; Young and Sachs 2020). The Cretaceous teleosaurs fossil record is problematic, and currently based on a single unambiguous occurrence from the upper Barremian of Colombia (Cortés et al. 2019; see also Fanti et al. 2016...
and discussion in Young and Sachs 2020). In contrast, several metriorhynchoid lineages are known from Cretaceous deposits. Sachs et al. (2020) listed 18 potential Cretaceous occurrences of Metriorhynchoidea, although the age of four of them (Ochev 1981; Herrera et al. 2015; Fernández et al. 2019) remains contentious and may be latest Tithonian (latest Jurassic) or earliest Berriasian (earliest Cretaceous) (see Sachs et al. 2020 for an overview and Discussion herein). Most of the Cretaceous occurrences of metriorhynchoid thalattosuchians originate from the Valanginian (see Sachs et al. 2020). Post-Valanginian metriorhynchoids are exceptionally rare; only two occurrences may be known from the lower Hauterivian (Debelmas 1952; Debelmas and Demains D’Archimaud 1956) and a single record originates from the lowermost Aptian (Chiarenza et al. 2015).

Here we report two new occurrences of Lower Cretaceous metriorhynchoid thalattosuchians, each represented by an isolated tooth crown belonging to two lineages of geosaurus metriorhynchids. Both tooth crowns originate from the upper Valanginian (Lower Cretaceous) of the Kopřivnice Formation in Štramberk, Czech Republic (Fig. 1). Therefore, they are among the youngest representatives of Metriorhynchidae (and Thalattosuchia) worldwide. We describe both crowns, compare their surface enamel structures to other metriorhynchids with well-preserved dentitions, and assess their continuous and discrete characters through a multivariate analysis aimed to explore the morphospace occupation of each of the tooth crowns among thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs.

Institutional abbreviations.—MOZ, Museo Provincial de Ciencias Naturales “Prof. Dr. Juan A. Olsacher”, Zapala, Argentina; NHMW, Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna, Austria.

Other abbreviations.—PCoA, principal coordinates analysis.

Material and methods

The specimens described herein are deposited at the collections of the Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna, Austria, under the catalogue numbers NHMW 2020/0025/0001 (Fig. 2) and NHMW 2020/0025/0002 (Fig. 3). They have been noticed by one of us (SS) during collection surveys in NHMW in June 2020. According to the museum data and the label associated with the specimens, the teeth were discovered in the “Tithonian” strata of the “Nesseldorfer Schichten” of “Stramberg”, acquired in 1912 from “R. Wessely”, and identified as "Teleosaurus suprajurensis" (which according to Fraas 1902 is a subjective junior synonym of Dakosaurus maximus). The geological context (including the stratigraphic provenance) and taxonomic affiliation are merely of historical value and are revised below.

The morphospace occupation of NHMW 2020/0025/0001 and NHMW 2020/0025/0002 among thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs was explored through a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) using the dataset of Foffa et al. (2018c) that focused on the dental traits of Jurassic thalattosuchians, plesiosaurs, and ichthyosaurs. Because the original version of the dataset did not include the raw measurements necessary to replicate the analyses of Foffa et al. (2018c), we obtained the matrix from Madzia et al. (2021) who were provided these data by Davide Foffa (see Madzia et al. 2021 for details). Owing to the fact that NHMW 2020/0025/0001 and NHMW 2020/0025/0002 differ markedly from the teeth of plesiosaurs (e.g., Foffa et al. 2018a, b; Zverkov et al. 2018; Gao et al. 2019) and ichthyosaurs (e.g., Fischer et al. 2016) we reduced the taxon sampling to include only thalattosuchian representatives. The character list was modified to exclude characters D2, D12, D16 and D17 (the second, twelfth, sixteenth and seventeenth discrete character). Character D2 was scored “1” for all thalattosuchian taxa, character D12 is variable only in pliosaurid plesiosaurs and characters D16 and D17 are variable only in ichthyosaurs. The taxon scores and extended results of the PCoA are provided in the SOM 1 and 2 (Supplementary Online Material available at http://app.pan.pl/SOM/app66-Madzia_etal_SOM.pdf), and the character states are numbered as in Foffa et al. (2018c: Supplementary Information). The PCoA was performed in PAST 4.01 (Hammer et al. 2001). As in Foffa et al. (2018c) and Madzia et al. (2021), continuous characters were $z$-transformed, and the Gower similarity index was used as it is better suited for datasets which combine continuous and discrete variables (Gower 1971).
Geological setting

Historical Mesozoic outcrops are located around Štramberk in the Silesian Unit (nappe) of the Outer Western Carpathians of northeast Czech Republic (Vašíček et al. 2013; Vašíček and Skupien 2019; Fig. 1). The hillside area with the famous invertebrate (e.g., ammonoids, gastropods) localities comprise several quarries on the Kotouč hill, Castle hill, Horní Skalka hill and Dolní Skalka hill (Hohenergger 1861; Zittel 1868, 1873; Mojsisovics 1870; Böhm 1883; Uhlig 1890; Remč 1897; Leicher 1931; Houša 1975, 1990; Houša and Vašíček 2004).

Tithonian to Hauterivian limestone and marl represent a deposit that formed in a carbonate platform belt along the northern Tethyan margin during the latest Jurassic–Early Cretaceous. Today they can be traced in the area of the Outer Western Carpathians. Block accumulations of the limestone (especially Štramberk Limestone) form part of the continental-rise sediments of the Baška Facies in the Silesian Unit, which were deposited in the flysch trough of the Baška Subunit (for more details, see Picha et al. 2006).

The true Štramberk Limestone occurs in numerous outcrops around Štramberk. Ammonites of the Štramberk Limestone indicate the age from early Tithonian to the earliest Berriasian (Vašíček and Skupien 2016). Concerning the Štramberk area, Houša and Vašíček (2004) showed that during the Early Cretaceous, deposition of the Štramberk Limestone intermittently passed into carbonate sedimentation (the Olivetská hora and Kopřivnice Limestone).

Lower Cretaceous rocks were considered by Houša and Vašíček (2004) to be infill of fissures, clefts, crevices and cavities or, alternatively, overlie the original surfaces of the Štramberk Limestone. Units of Early Cretaceous age form the Čupek Formation (grey micritic limestone; previously lower part of the Olivetská hora Formation; uppermost lower Berriasian to lowermost Valanginian), Gloriet Formation (grey breccia; previously upper part of the Olivetská hora Formation; lowermost upper Valanginian), Kopřivnice Formation (i.e., so-called Kopřivnice [Nesselsdorf] Limestones; upper Valanginian) and Plaňava Formation (black-grey claystone and siltstone of late Valanginian to early Hauterivian age; see Houša and Vašíček 2004).
Limestones of the Kopřivnice Formation are partly redeposited from older sediments, including the Čupek and Gloriet formations (Houša and Vašíček 2004), and are typical for ammonite fragments. Remes (1897) already reported a mixture of latest Jurassic and Early Cretaceous faunal elements in the red limestones (= “rote Kalke von Nesseldorf” in Hohenegger 1861) of the Kopřivnice Formation. Most macrofaunal remains (especially crinoid and echinoid elements, but also belemnites, brachiopods, ap­tychi and other materials) originate from the biodetrital beds of the Čupek Formation. However, there is no evidence to suggest that either of the tooth crowns (NHMW 2020/0025/0001 nor NHMW 2020/0025/0002) were redeposited from the Berriasian of the Čupek Formation. Redeposited material is usually preserved in grey (mi­critic) limestone that differs from the matrix that is pre­served in the basal section (observable in basal view) of the tooth crowns, which instead indicates the late Valanginian age (*Neocomites peregrinus* or *Criosarasinella furcillata* Ammonite Zone) for both specimens. Even though there are no precise locality data available for either specimen, the Kopřivnice limestone was mined at two Blücher quar­ries (Horní Blücherův lom and Dolní Blücherův lom), both located in Štramberk. The teeth likely originate from one of the two quarries.

**Systematic palaeontology**

Crocodylomorpha Hay, 1930 (sensu Nesbitt 2011)
Thalattosuchia Fraas, 1901 (sensu Young and Andrade 2009)
Metriorhynchoidea Fitzinger, 1843 (sensu Young and Andrade 2009)
Metriorhynchidae Fitzinger, 1843 (sensu Young and Andrade 2009)
Geosaurinae Lydekker, 1889 (sensu Young and Andrade 2009)
Geosaurini Lydekker, 1889 (sensu Cau and Fanti 2011)
Plesiosuchina Young, Andrade, Cornée, Steel, and Foffà, 2014a
Plesiosuchina? indet.

**Material.**—NHMW 2020/0025/0001, tooth crown; from Štramberk, Nový Jičín District, Moravian-Silesian Region, Czech Republic; *Neocomites peregrinus* or *Criosarasinella furcillata* Ammonite Zone, middle upper or upper upper...
Description.—The morphology of NHMW 2020/0025/0001 (Fig. 2) is very similar to the dental morphology of Plesiosuchus manselii specimens from the Kimmeridgian–Tithonian of the UK (Young et al. 2012a), and a cf. Plesiosuchus sp. tooth from the Tithonian of Slovakia (Čerňanský et al. 2019). It is also similar to the dentition of fragmentary specimens from the Cretaceous referred to Plesiosuchina (Young et al. 2014a; Chiarenza et al. 2015). The tooth is ~22.1 mm high apicobasally and its base is 14.41 mm long mesiodistally and 12.5 mm wide labiolingually. It has a caniniform morphology, is single cusped and labiolingually compressed. The crown is curved lingually, but does not curve throughout, only at the middle and apical sections. The mesial margin of the tooth crown has a pronounced distal (posterior) curvature. While distal tooth curvature is present in many metriorhynchids (e.g., see Young et al. 2012a, 2013a, 2014a, 2015b; Chiarenza et al. 2015), as discussed by Young et al. (2012a, 2015b) the pronounced curvature as seen here is restricted to Plesiosuchina.

The basal section of the crown is wider labiolingually, creating a slightly ovoid cross section. Overall, the tooth crown is robust, i.e., retaining its labiolingual width along most of its apicobasal length. The tooth is broken apically to the crown/root junction, thus only the crown is preserved. When the crown is observed in labial view, it is noticeably wider at the base narrowing apically, creating a sub-triangular profile. The labial surface lacks apicobasal planar surfaces (i.e., “facets”), three labial facets are autapomorphic for the geosaurinan genera Geosaurus and Ieldraan (Young and Andrade 2009; Andrade et al. 2010; Foffa et al. 2018b).

The labial and lingual enamel surface ornamentation is composed of numerous apicobasally aligned ridges, which are fairly well-packed but are of low-relief (very similar to that of Plesiosuchus manselii, Young et al. 2012a). As such, they are best observed with good lighting or optical aids (Fig. 5). The ornamentation differs from the densely packed and high-relief ridges observed in Torvoneustes and members of the “E-clade” (Andrade et al. 2010; Young et al. 2013b; Barrientos-Lara et al. 2016; Abel et al. 2020), and the smooth enamel lacking ridges in Dakosaurus andiniensis (Pol and Gasparini 2009), D. maximus and Geosaurus giganteus (Andrade et al. 2010).

The tooth crown has mesial and distal carinae that are serrated with true denticles. As with other microziphodont metriorhynchids (see Andrade et al. 2010; Young et al. 2013a), the denticles are best seen with the use of optical aids. However, the denticles can be seen with the naked eye in well-lit surroundings, although their morphology cannot be properly discerned. Interestingly, the mesial carina is split (sensu Beatty and Heckert 2009), the bifurcation occurring in the basal third of the preserved crown. The superficial enamel ornamentation does not contact the carinae or denticles (i.e., no false ziphodonty). Overall, the denticles have a largely consistent height (isometric), but the shape and dimensions of each denticle vary substantially (poorly isomorphic). As with other plesiosuchinans (Young et al. 2012a; Chiarenza et al. 2015; Čerňanský et al. 2019), the denticles are rectangular in labial or lingual view, rather than the rounded shape seen in other metriorhynchids (Andrade et al. 2010; Young et al. 2013a). Where the carinae are well-preserved, the denticles are contiguous.

Like Plesiosuchus manselii and indeterminate plesiosuchinans (Chiarenza et al. 2015; Young et al. 2015b), NHMW
2020/0025/0001 has “carinal flanges”. These are concave depressions adjacent to the carinae on the lingual surface of the tooth crown, present at the mid-crown. “Carinal flanges” restricted to that region of the tooth crown appears to be a plesiosuchian apomorphy (Chiarenza et al. 2015), whereas in Dakosaurus these depressions are present on both the labial and lingual surfaces, and are most prominent at the mid-crown and apex (see Young et al. 2015b).

The apex of NHMW 2020/0025/0001 shows signs of macrowear (Fig. 2A–2). The wear facet encompasses most of the apical region, removing the outer layer of enamel and dentine. This pattern of tooth wear is common in geosaurin metriorhynchids (e.g., Andrade et al. 2010; Young et al. 2012a, b, 2013a, b, 2015b) and has been interpreted as being caused by tooth-food abrasion. However, enamel spalling where the wear facet continues basally along the labial surface has been only observed in Dakosaurus and closely related specimens (Young et al. 2012a, b, 2013a).

**Geosaurini Lydekker, 1889 (sensu Cau and Fanti 2011)**

*Torvoneustes?* sp.

Figs. 3, 4A, 5A, B.

*Material.*—NHMW 2020/0025/0002, tooth crown; from Štramberk, Nový Jičín District, Moravian-Silesian Region, Czech Republic; *Neocomites peregrinus* or *Criosarasinella furcillata* Ammonite Zone, middle upper or upper upper Valanginian, Kopřivnice Formation, Silesian Unit, Outer Western Carpathians.

*Description.*—The morphology of NHMW 2020/0025/0002 (Fig. 3) is similar to the dental morphology of species of
**Torvoneustes** from the Kimmeridgian-Tithonian of the UK and Mexico (see Andrade et al. 2010; Young et al. 2013a, b, 2020; Barrientos-Lara et al. 2016). The tooth is 25.94 mm high apicobasally and its base is 19.31 mm long mesiodistally and 15.6 mm wide labiolingually. The tooth has a caniniform morphology, is single cusped and has little labiolingual compression. The crown is curved lingually, but does not curve throughout, only at the middle and apical sections. The mesial margin of the tooth crown has a slight distal curvature, very different from NHMW 2020/0025/0001.

The basal section of the crown is wider labiolingually, creating a slightly sub-circular to sub-ovoid cross section. Overall, the tooth crown is robust, i.e., retaining its labiolingual width along most of its apicobasal length. The tooth is broken apical to the crown/root junction, thus only the crown is preserved. When the crown is observed in labial view, it is wider at the base narrowing apically, creating a sub-triangular profile. The labial surface lacks the labial apicobasal facets that are for the autapomorphic of *Geosaurus* and *Ieldraan* (Young and Andrade 2009; Andrade et al. 2010; Foffa et al. 2018b).

The labial and lingual enamel surface ornamentation is composed of numerous apicobasally aligned ridges that are very tightly-packed (as seen in other species of *Torvoneustes*, e.g., see Barrientos-Lara et al. 2016). The ridges themselves are best seen under macrophotography, as it appears as though they have undergone taphonomic distortion. Apically the enamel ridges change in length and orientation. They become much shorter, but also thicker, their shapes are irregular, varying from “bubble”-like shapes to thick ridges to undulating merged ridges creating an anastomosed pattern. In the apical region some of the superficial enamel ornamentation contacts the carinae and denticles (false ziphodonty). In thalattosuchians, this shift in apical enamel ornamentation is characteristic of *Torvoneustes* (Andrade et al. 2010; Young et al. 2013a, b, 2020; Barrientos-Lara et al. 2016) and machimosaurin teleosauroids (Young et al. 2014b, c, 2015a; Jouve et al. 2016, Johnson et al. 2018, 2020).

The tooth crown has mesial and distal carinae that are serrated with true denticles. The carinae are micro-ziphodont, with the denticles observable with optical aids. The carinae themselves are very prominent, with the keel being very well-developed, an autapomorphic feature of *Torvoneustes* (Young et al. 2013a, 2020). There are no “carinal flanges” like those seen in *Dakosaurus* or *Plesiosuchus* (Young et al. 2015b). In the basal region where the enamel ornamentation does not contact the carinae, the denticles are consistent height (isometric), but the shape and dimensions of the denticles can vary substantially (poorly isomorphic). The interdenticular spaces are variable in dimension as well. Apically where the enamel ornamentation does contact the carinae, the denticles are also isometric but poorly isomorphic, but where the enamel ornamentation fuses with the denticles the morphology becomes more aberrant. Overall, the denticles are contiguous along the carinae, which is one of the characters that differentiates between *Torvoneustes* and machimosaurin teleosauroids, which have incipient microdenticles that do not form a contiguous series (see Young et al. 2014c, 2015a).

The apex is slightly rounded and has macrowear (Fig. 3A2). The wear facet is largely on the labial surface of the apical region, removing the enamel and exposing the underlying dentine.

**Results of the principal coordinates analysis**

The principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) largely separates metriorhynchids and teleosauroids from one another (Fig. 6). The teleosauroids are exclusively found in the positive section of the second coordinate axis, while metriorhynchids are dispersed across the morphospace. However, metriorhynchines fall within the morphospace occupied by geosaurines. Therefore, their separation from each other might not be expressed through their tooth crown morphology. Both specimens described in this study, NHMW 2020/0025/0001 and NHMW 2020/0025/0002, plot on the negative side of the second axis and are placed far from each other, supporting the interpretation that they belong to distinct taxa. Also, both specimens may be interpreted as plotting within the geosaurine morphospace.

It is essential to note that some dental features have been inferred to develop independently in thalattosuchian lineages sharing a similar ecology (Foffa et al. 2018c). Even though our PCoA apparently “recognizes” the convergent nature of these traits (see e.g., the placement of *Torvoneustes* and *Machimosaurus* that share blunt apices and enamel bearing a conspicuous pattern), it cannot be ruled out that the morphospace occupation of NHMW 2020/0025/0001 and NHMW 2020/0025/0002 is at least partly affected by convergence.

The comparisons between NHMW 2020/0025/0001 and other known metriorhynchids indicate that the crown shows affinities to plesiosuchinan geosaurines. The results of PCoA are slightly ambiguous with that respect. NHMW 2020/0025/0001 does not fall within the morphospace of *Plesiosuchus manselii* (and *Plesiosuchus*-like material) and *Suchodus durobrivensis*, the taxa that form Plesiosuchina in having observable enamel ridges (much like the Plesiosuchina specimens from the Valanginian of France; Young et al. 2014a).

The affinities of NHMW 2020/0025/0002 to other geosaurines is more clear, as the specimen is placed in close proximity to the morphospace occupied by the species of *Torvoneustes* (closer to *T. carpenteri* than to *T. coryphaeus*).
Discussion

Implications for the diversity of Cretaceous metriorhynchids.—Cretaceous metriorhynchids have so far been known from 18 records, four of which have uncertain stratigraphic placement and may be latest Tithonian (latest Jurassic) or earliest Berriasian (earliest Cretaceous) in age (see Sachs et al. 2020: table 1). Regardless, these records show that at least five lineages crossed the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary, two within Rhacheosaurini, Plesiosuchina, Geosaurinae, and “Dakosaurina”. Some other specimens appear to be undiagnostic beyond Metriorhynchidae indet., which may also hinder estimations of the actual diversity patterns within the Early Cretaceous metriorhynchid crocodylomorphs. Note that Sachs et al. (2020) listed Dakosaurus andiniensis to be among the taxa with the uncertain latest Tithonian or earliest Berriasian age, while in fact one specimen referred to the taxon (MOZ 6140P) originates from the lower Berriasian strata (Pol and Gasparini 2009); hence the presence of “Dakosaurina” among the lineages crossing the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary (above).

Nevertheless, following the interpretation of NHMW 2020/0025/0002 as Torvoneustes? sp., we propose that the “Subclade T” (sensu Foffa et al. 2018b) has survived to the Cretaceous as well and reached at least the middle late Valanginian, prolonging the existence of the lineage by ~10 Ma and increasing the taxic diversity of Cretaceous metriorhynchids.

Feeding ecology of the Štramberk metriorhynchids.—The morphologies of NHMW 2020/0025/0001 and NHMW

Fig. 6. Morphospace occupation of Plesiosuchina? indet. (NHMW 2020/0025/0001) and Torvoneustes? sp. (NHMW 2020/0025/0002) among the thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs using principal coordinates 1 and 2. See SOM 1 and 2 for extended results of the principal coordinates analysis. Silhouettes obtained from phylopic.org: Geosaurinae (Dmitry Bogdanov, CC BY 3.0), Metriorhynchinae and Teleosauridae (Gareth Monger, CC BY 3.0).
2020/0025/0002 indicate that the tooth-bearing taxa belonged to different feeding guilds. Species of Pliosuchus and taxa sharing similar dental morphologies (such as NHMW 2020/0025/0001), show macrophagous adaptations (Foffa et al. 2018c) and may have been specialists feeding on other large-bodied vertebrates, such as other marine reptiles (Young et al. 2012a), while the stouter teeth with blunt apices and conspicuous ornamentation of specimens belonging or similar to Torvoneustes spp. (such as NHMW 2020/0025/0002) are suggestive of the durophagous diet (Foffa et al. 2018c).

Conclusions

We report two isolated crocodylomorph tooth crowns (NHMW 2020/0025/0001 and NHMW 2020/0025/0002) from the upper Valanginian (Lower Cretaceous) of the Kopřivnice Formation in the Štramberk area of the Czech Republic. The specimens represent the first evidence of Metriorhynchidae from the Czech Republic and some of the youngest metriorhynchids records worldwide.

Our assessment of the material shows that the teeth represent two distinct taxa of geosaurus metriorhynchids, designated here as Pliosuchus? indet. (for NHMW 2020/0025/0001) and Torvoneustes? sp. (NHMW 2020/0025/0002). The crown morphologies indicate that the tooth-bearing taxa had different feeding adaptations; NHMW 2020/0025/0001 likely represented a specialist feeding on other large-bodied vertebrates, while taxa with teeth similar to NHMW 2020/0025/0002 have previously been inferred to have a durophagous diet (Foffa et al. 2018c).

Finally, the presence of a taxon related to Torvoneustes spp. in the late Valanginian of the European archipelago prolongs the existence of the Torvoneustes lineage (“Subclade T” of Foffa et al. 2018b) by ~10 Ma and increases the taxic diversity of the late-surviving metriorhynchids.
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