New cranial material of the acanthothoracid placoderm *Palaeacanthaspis vasta* from the Lower Devonian of Podolia—phylogenetic and taxonomic significance
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The Early Devonian vertebrates of Podolia in Ukraine are well known thanks to the material of the basal arthrodire placoderm genus *Kujdanowiaspis*, originally mentioned by Brotzen in 1934. The anatomical fame of *Kujdanowiaspis* brought by Erik Stensiö almost eclipsed the presence of the acanthothoracid placoderm *Palaeacanthaspis vasta* in the underlying beds, with the original material of *P. vasta* being less well preserved and abundant than that of *Kujdanowiaspis*. Here we describe a newly discovered specimen of the acanthothoracid *P. vasta* from the Lochkovian of Podolia (Ukraine). The specimen, although incomplete, is very well preserved in three dimensions and allows a thorough description of its external morphology, which is compared to that of *Romundina stellina* and other well-known Acanthothoraci. A phylogenetic analysis is performed and the acanthothoracid nature of *Palaeacanthaspis* is confirmed. However, the position of *Palaeacanthaspis* within the Acanthothoraci remains uncertain, and its resemblance with *Romundina* could be due to either synapomorphies or symplesiomorphies. Similarities and differences between the two forms are exposed, and lead to the lack of synonymy at the specific level. Generic synonymy is also questioned for the first time but remains equivocal.
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Introduction

Placoderms (armoured fishes) were the first gnathostomes (jawed vertebrates). They appeared during the Early Silurian, diversified through the Devonian and yet became extinct at the end of this epoch (Janvier 1996; Young 2010). Because of their basal and likely paraphyletic phylogenetic status, the study of their fossils allows us to understand the circumstances of the origin and successful evolution of gnathostomes (Brazeau 2009; Davis et al. 2012; Zhu et al. 2013, 2016; Dupret et al. 2014; but see King et al. 2016 for a possible monophyletic resolution). Among them, the group of “acanthothoracids” consists in a heteroclite and diverse ensemble of basal and primitive forms from which other orders of placoderms branch (e.g., antiarchs, arthrodires; Janvier 1996).

Podolia is a region in eastern Europe well known for its Early Devonian vertebrate fossils, especially the basal arthrodire genus *Kujdanowiaspis* Stensiö, 1942, which was extensively studied by the Stockholm school as early as the 1930s (Zych 1927, 1930, 1931, 1937; Brotzen 1933, 1934; Stensiö 1934, 1969, 1942, 1945, 1959, 1963a, b, 1969; Brotzen 1936; Karatajūtė-Talimaa 1968, 1997; Janvier 1988, 1995; Voichyshyn 1994, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2001, 2011; Dupret 2003a, b, 2004, 2010; Dumbrava and Blieck 2005; Dupret et al. 2007; Dupret and Blieck 2009; Drygant and Szaniawski 2012; Voichyshyn and Szaniawski 2012, 2018). While arthrodire material is abundant and mostly comes from the “Old Red Sandstones” strata ranging from the upper Lochkovian...
to upper Lochkovian–Pragian and lower Pragian Dniester series (see Dupret and Blieck 2009 for details), some more scarce acanthothoracid material was recovered from a lower stratigraphical position (middle Lochkovian Chortkov Horizon; see Brotzen 1934; Dupret and Blieck 2009; Drygant and Szaniawski 2012).

Among this material, Palaeacanthaspis vasta Brotzen, 1934, was named and described on the basis of a single specimen from Podolia corresponding to an incomplete thoracic armour by Brotzen (1934). This specimen is currently curated in the fossil vertebrate collections of the Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin, Germany, under the number MB.f.3676. Later, Stensiö (1944) described additional Podolian material which he attributed to P. vasta, including a poorly preserved skull roof (NRM.P.5003), a dubious isolated nuchal plate (NRM.P.5012), median dorsal plates (NRM.P.5004, 5005, 5006), dermal scapular girdle (NRM.P.5007, 5008, 5009, 5010, 5011, 5013), curated in the collections of Naturhistoriska riksmuseet, Stockholm, Sweden. In the same publication, Stensiö worked out a classification of placoderms (see Discussion for further details), and erects the order Acanthothoraci and the family Palaeacanthaspididae for the new taxa Palaeacanthaspis vasta and Dobrovljiana podolica Stensiö, 1944. The latter was thought to have more arthrodire characteristics, it was placed with Acanthothoraci on the sole basis of its stratigraphic co-occurrence with P. vasta; D. podolica is based on a single isolated median dorsal plate very similar to that of Kujanoviaspis podolica (Brotzen, 1934) (see Dupret 2003a, 2010; Dupret et al. 2007). The most recent discovery of Palaeacanthaspis aff. P. vasta was surprisingly made in the Lochkovian of Spain (Celtiberia Palaeoblock) which interestingly was of Gondwanan affinities (Dupret et al. 2014, 2017a), and thus previously published by Dupret et al. (2014, 2017a), and thus prevented them from collapsing.

Material and methods

The single specimen under this study is a three-dimensionally preserved skull in two parts. Originally enclosed in a limestone matrix, it was dissolved using 30% acetic acid buffered with tricalcium phosphate; some matrix remains undissolved, allowing the preservation of fine internal perichondrally ossified structures, in a similar way to what occurred in the specimen of Romundina stellina Örvig, 1975, previously published by Dupret et al. (2014, 2017a), and thus prevented them from collapsing.

The specimen comes from Vynohradne in Podolia, western Ukraine, 8 km ESE from the type-locality of Zalischyky (formerly known as Zaleszczuki, e.g., in Brotzen 1934). It is noteworthy that the transliterated names of various localities may change from one publication to another (e.g., Drygant and Szaniawski 2012: figs 1, 2, spelled out as Vynohradiv and Zalischhyky). Herein we use the spelling used in Dupret and Blieck (2009).

The new specimen is publicly deposited in the collections of ZPAL. All photos of the skull were produced with a Nikon D800 camera appended with a Nikon micro 60 unit lens. The skull (NRM P. 5003) described and illustrated by
Stensiö (1944: fig. 1, pl. 1; Fig. 1B, C) is curated at the Naturhistoriska riksmuseet in Stockholm, Sweden; a cast of this specimen (MB.f.12171) is available at the Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin, Germany.

The taxon/character matrix is built in Mesquite (Maddison and Maddison 2019) and based on the matrix by Vaškaninová et al. (2020; itself updated from Qiao et al. 2016) with the addition of *P. vasta*, resulting in a matrix of 108 taxa and 335 characters. 84 characters could be coded effectively for *P. vasta* (i.e., not taking into account “not applicable” scores). Minor modifications in the coding and the labelling of characters and states are included in the matrix file in SOM 1 (Supplementary Online Material available at http://app.pan.pl/SOM/app66-Dupret et al. SOM.pdf). Galeaspida and Osteostraci are the outgroup. All characters are unordered and unpolarised, and of equal weights. The data were analysed in TNT (Goloboff and Catalano 2016) using the Zephyr module (Maddison and Will 2020; Maddison and Maddison 2020) in Mesquite 3.61 interface (Maddison and Maddison 2019). A New Technology search was performed (using the default sectorial search, ratchet, drift and tree fusing options, 1000 random addition sequences, random seed 1), with the most parsimonious trees found (26, of 961 steps) then used for a Traditional Search (tree bisection-reconnection algorithm), from which a strict consensus tree was calculated. The strict consensus tree is 1053 steps long, has a CI of 0.330, and a RI of 0.774; it was built from 18600 most parsimonious trees of 961 steps each. No robustness analysis (Bremer nor Bootstrap) was made.

**Systematic palaeontology**

**Class Placodermi McCoy, 1848**

**Order Acanthothoraci Stensiö, 1944**

**Family Palaeacanthaspididae Stensiö, 1944**

*Palaeacanthaspis* Brotzen, 1934

**Type species**: *Palaeacanthaspis vasta* Brotzen, 1934; Podolia, Ukraine, Lochkovian, Devonian.

*Palaeacanthaspis vasta* Brotzen, 1934

Figs. 1, 2.

**Material**: ZPAL Ag. V/1, a subcomplete skull roof and associated neurocranial structures in two parts from Vynohradne, Dniester Valley, Podolia, Ukraine (locality 5, N 48°36′22.3″, E 25°51′04.3″ in Drygant and Szaniawski 2012: table 1). Chortkiv Formation and Horizon, Tyver series, Lochkovian (Lower Devonian, mainly middle Lochkovian; based on co-occurrence of conodonts; Drygant and Szaniawski 2012: fig. 3). Vynohradne is located about 8 km ESE from the type locality of Zalishchyki.

**Description.**—**General features**: The ZPAL Ag. V/1 corresponds to an incomplete skull preserved in two parts separated by an oblique crack at the level of the right infrarostral sensory line groove. The anterior and medial posterior portions of the skull are missing. It is common in placoderms to have the rostronal capsule detached from the rest of the skull at the level of the optic fissure (Goujet 1984a, b;
of the marginal plate (Fig. 1A: pmc). The single posterior bital sensory line groove without reaching the lateral edge shows a short lateral course from the infraor -
paranuchal plate (Fig. 1A: ioc, lc). The postmarginal sensory the anterior postorbital process and the tip of the posterior are visible along the lateral side of the skull roof, between the growth centre of the preorbital and postor-

The infraorbital and main cephalic sensory line grooves especially the one between the postorbital and marginal plates out the limit between the postorbital and the central plates (Fig. 1A: C). A tiny portion of the median paranuchal plate may be visible, in which the external foramen for the endolyphatic duct opens (Fig. 1A: PaN.m, d.end.e). The central plates have well visible boundaries with the marginal and the anterior paranuchal plate (Fig. 1A: M, PaN.a). The connection between the anterior and posterior paranuchal plates (Fig. 1A: PaN.a, PaN.p), as well as between the marginal and the anterior paranuchal plates, are also recognisable. The suture runs towards the notch at the lateral edge of the skull roof. Other boundaries are very difficult to trace, especially the one between the postorbital and marginal plates and the one between the median and posterior paranuchal plates.

The sensory line system consists of grooves pierced by pores. A pair of short supraorbital sensory line grooves is observed on each of the preorbital plates, although none reaches the anterior margin of the skull roof (Fig. 1A: soc). A profundus sensory line groove (Fig. 1A: pfx) can be seen between the growth centre of the preorbital and postorbital plates; its postorbital portion is widest and deepest. The infraorbital and main cephalic sensory line grooves are visible along the lateral side of the skull roof, between the anterior postorbital process and the tip of the posterior paranuchal plate (Fig. 1A: ioc, le). The postmarginal sensory line groove shows a short lateral course from the infraor-

The suborbital shelf is bounded posterolaterally by the anterior postorbital process (Fig. 1: a.pop), at the distal tip of which the foramen for the hyomandibular branch of the facial nerve opens laterally (Fig. 2: f.IV.v and f. V.1). Dorso mesially from it, the foramina for the first branch of the trigeminal nerve and the trochlear nerve are openings for the pituitary vein is located on the mesial wall of the orbit, at the level of the eyestalk, and between the eyestalk and the opening for the second and third branches of the trigeminal nerve, and is hardly visible because it is very deep (Fig. 2: f.pit.v, f.V.2–3). In front and above the foramen for the first branch of the trigeminal nerve, two small holes give the misleading impression that some nerves or vessels would exit there, but are just small breaks in the thin perichondral bone layer and do not represent any biological structure.

In anterior view, the foramen for the jugular vein is small and opens anteriorward in the lateral edge of the postero-
ventral myodome and below the groove for the second and third branches of the trigeminal nerve (Fig. 2: f.j.v, pv.myo, g.V.2–3). Dorsomesially from it, the foramina for the first branch of the trigeminal nerve and the trochlear nerve are best visible in the left orbit (Fig. 2: f.IV and f.V1).

The suborbital shelf is bounded posterolaterally by the anterior postorbital process (Fig. 1: a.pop), at the distal tip of which the foramen for the hyomandibular branch of the facial nerve opens laterally (Fig. 2: f.IV.hm). The metapterygoid articulation facet is visible on the anterior side of the tip of the anterior postorbital process, anteroventrally from the foramen for the hyomandibular branch of the facial nerve (Fig. 2: mpt.art). The epihyal and opercular articulation facets face laterally as a vertical peanut shaped slit (Fig. 2: eyh+op.art) posteri orly to the opening for the hyomandibular branch of the facial nerve. The groove for the epihyal artery is the only one that runs laterally and almost perpendicularly from the midline on the ventral surface of the neurocranium; it opens laterally at the tip of the anterior postorbital process.

Dermal plates of the skull roof: Sutures between plates are not observed on the specimen; however, the ossification centres are quite recognisable. The radiation centres of each plate are slightly elevated. The boundary between preorbital plates is traceable (Fig. 1A: PrO). The preorbital plate does not seem to contact the nuchal plate posteriorly (Fig. 1A: N); a small elevation between the identified domains of the preorbital plates and nuchal plates could indicate the radiation centre of an unpaired post-pineal plate (Fig. 1A: PPi). Only an absence of tubercles indicates the boundary between the preorbital and postorbital plates, thus making this assumption disputable (Fig. 1A: PtO). A similar absence points out the limit between the postorbital and the central plates (Fig. 1A: C). The plate boundaries are much more difficult to discern. As far as what can be identified, the skull roof pattern is very similar to that observed in Romundina (see Discussion).

Neurocranium: Only the postethmoid part of the neuro-

 Neurocranium: Only the postethmoid part of the neu-
cranium is preserved. The endocranial cavity (Fig. 2: e.c) opens anteriorly into the rostronasal space. It is bounded dorsally by the groove for the anterior cerebral vein (Fig. 2: g.ac.v) and laterally by the groove for the optic nerve (Fig. 2: g.II). A gutter below the endocranial cavity accommodated the ventral part of the perichondral component of the rostronasal capsule (Fig. 2: g.rn.cap); additionally, two slits are visible on either side of the dorsal part of the groove for the optic nerve to accommodate the dorsolateral edge of the perichondral component of the rostronasal capsule (Fig. 2: ins.rn.cap).

In lateral view, the eyestalk is located just behind the optic fissure (Fig. 2: e.s, g.II), and is immediately surrounded by a number of depressions, identified as (clockwise from the top): the dorsal myodome and the foramen for the upper branch of the oculomotor nerve (Fig. 2: d.myo, f.III.1), the foramen for the lower branch of the oculomotor nerve posteri-

Fig. 2. Incomplete skull of the acanthothoracid placoderm *Palaeacanthaspis vasta* Brotzen, 1934 (ZPAL Ag. V/1) from the middle Lochkovian of Vynohradne, Podolia, Ukraine, in ventral (A1), left (A3) and right (A2) lateral, dorsal (A4), and anterior (A5) views. Abbreviations: a.pop, anterior postorbital process; a.pr.br, articular facet for the dorsal elements of the branchial basket; av.myo, anteroventral myodome; c.VI, canal for the abducens nerve; c.VII, canal for the (main trunk of the) facial nerve; c.VIII, canal for the acoustic nerve; c.IX, canal for the glossopharyngeal nerve; c.pit.v, canal for the pituitary vein; c.X, canal for the vagus nerve; cc.a.g, common carotid artery groove; d.end.e, external opening for the endolymphatic duct; d.myo, dorsal myodome; e.c, cranial cavity; e.s, eyestalk; ehy+op.art, articular facet for the epiphysal and opercular cartilages; f.III.1–2, foramina for the oculomotor nerves; f.IV, foramen for the trochlear nerve; f.V.1, foramen for the first branch of the trigeminal nerve; f.V.2–3, foramen for the second and third branches of the trigeminal nerve; f.VI, foramen for the abducens nerve; f.VII.hm, foramen for the hyomandibular branch of the facial nerve; f.X, foramen for the vagus nerve; f.i.ca, foramen for the internal carotid artery; f.j.v, foramen for the jugular vein; f.pit.v, foramen for the pituitary vein; g.II, groove for the optic nerve; g.V.2–3, groove for the second and third branches of the trigeminal nerve; g.ac.v, groove for the anterior cerebral vein; g.ehy.a, groove for the epihyal artery; g.ic.a, groove for the internal carotid artery; g.op.a, groove for the opercular artery; g.m.cap, groove for the insertion of the ventral part of the rostronasal capsule; ins.rn.cap, insertion slit for the dorso-lateral portion of the rostronasal capsule; ioc, infra-orbital sensory line groove; lc, cephalic part of the main lateral sensory line groove; mpt.art, articulation facet for the metapterygoid; occ, occipital cross commissure; pmc, postmarginal sensory line groove; p.pop, posterior postorbital process; pfc, profundus sensory line groove; pmc, postmarginal sensory line groove; ppl, posterior pitline; pv.myo, posteroverentral myodome; sac, saccula of the inner ear; soc, supraorbital sensory line groove; v.myo, ventral myodome; VII.pal.c, canal for the palatine ramus of the facial nerve.
just between the opening for the articulation facets for the 
metapterygoid anteriorly, and for the ephyal and opecular 
cartilages posteriorly (Fig. 2: g.chy.a). The groove for the 
opercular artery diverges posteriorly from the ephyal artery 
groove, just mesially from the lateral end of the course of the 
ephyal artery groove (Fig. 2: g.op.a).

The ventral view reveals the floor of the neurocranium, 
as well as some of the internal structures, because parts of 
the neurocranial floor are broken. Anteriorly, a wide groove 
surrounded by, and connecting, two larger holes is visible. 
As the two foramina opened for a branch of the internal 
carotid artery (Fig. 2: f.ic.a), the groove may have hosted 
the anterior connection for the left and right parts of this blood 
vehicle. Alternatively, the groove may have hosted a paras-
phenoid. Posteriorly, a hole in the floor of the neurocranium, 
certainly a preservation artefact, reveals the light of the 
canal for the pituitary vein (Fig. 2: c.pit.v). The posterior 
postorbital process is bifid and is bordered mesially by the 
groove for the efferent artery on the ventral side of the 
neurocranium (Fig. 2: p.pop, g.eff.a). The base of each branch 
of the posterior postorbital process show a small articulation 
facet for the dorsal part of the branchial basket apparatus 
(Fig. 2: a.pr.br). On the lateral wall between each branch, a 
foramen opens for a branch of the vagus nerve (Fig. 2: f.X).

The right portion where the palate floor was destroyed 
reveals several structures: the canals for the pituitary vein, 
the posteroventral myodome and the abducesus nerve, the 
facial, acoustic, glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves, as well 
as part of the saccula of the inner ear (Fig. 2: c.pit.v, pv.myo, 
c.VI, c.VII, c.VIII, c.IX, c.X, sac). The light of the endocranial 
cavity is also clearly visible (Fig. 2: c.e). No canal for the 
notochord could be identified.

Remarks.—The systematic attribution of the new material 
to the group “Acanthothoraci” and to the species Palaea-
canthaspis vasta relies on the following converging con-
siderations: (i) the stellate ornamentation being common in 
Acanthothoraci (although not exclusive to this group); (ii) 
the only acanthothoracid hitherto known in Podolia being 
P. vasta, although described solely on the basis of few tho-
racic materials by Brotzen (1934); and (iii) attribution to this 
species being obviously the most parsimonious solution.

Discussion

Remarks on naming groups and plates through times.—
It is important to keep in mind that taxonomical concepts 
(i.e., group content, concept, and name) evolved dramat-
ically between early publications on placoderms and our 
current time. It especially concerns the groups not covered 
by the ICZN (i.e., ranks higher than family group). Stensiö’s 
(1944: 75) earlier work used taxonomy and classification, 
which could seem confusing for present day readers. The 
Woodward’s (1891) clade Arthrodira sensu Stensiö (1944) 
is roughly synonymous with the concept of Placodermi we 
adopted herein. It should be, however, kept in mind that the 
concept of Ar throdira has evolved over time and its current 
interpretation does not fully corresponds to the concept of 
Stensiö (1944). The latter contained three divisions: Euar-
throdira, Rhenanida, and Antiarchi. Euarthrodira sensu 
S tensiö (1944) included seven orders: Brachythorac i, Doli-
chothoraci, Acanthothoraci, Petalichthyida, Stegole schelach, 
Phyllolepida, and Ptyctodontida. It is noteworthy that Sten-
siö (1944: 2, footnote 2, 75) renamed the group Acantha-
dripida used by Brotzen (1934) into Dolichothoraci; the group 
Dolichothoraci was later subdivided by Dineley and Liu 
(1984) into Actinolepidoidei and Phlyctae nii. Arthrodira 
in its current understanding contains Brachythorac i and 
Dolichothoraci (themselves divided into Actinolepidoidei 
and Phlyctae nii; Goujet 1984a; Dineley and Liu 1984; Jan-
vier 1996), and Phyllolepida are derived Actinolepidoidei 
Petalichthyida, Ptyctodontida, and Acanthothoraci are sep-
arate orders of Placodermi, and Stegole schelach are excluded 
(if valid at all).

Alongside his first description of the cranial material 
of Palaeacanthaspis vasta, Stensiö (1944) also erected the 
order Acanthothoraci, which he considered as belonging to 
his division Euarthrodira and did not constitute a separate 
order. He based his conclusions on the morphology of the 
dermal shoulder girdle, although he admitted himself (see 
Stensiö 1944: 75–76) that the knowledge about this feature 
was deficient. He also believed that the Acanthothoraci mor-
phologically linked Dolichothoraci and Petalichthyida.

Our perception of the group Acanthothoraci has changed 
a lot since its erection: it is now an order distinct from either 
Arthrodira and Petalichthyida. However, the consistency of 
Acanthothoraci as a homogenous group is challenged. If it 
has been suggested that Acanthothoraci is not a monophy-
letic group for approximately 25 years (Janvier 1996), its 
paraphyletic vs. polyphyletic nature still remains uncertain.

It is among other things remarkable that the presence 
of a premedian plate, homologous with that of Antiarchi, 
is found in some Acanthothoraci. Ørvig (1975: 45) refers 
to this plate as a median preoral plate in Romundina 
and Radotina Gross, 1950, and only cautiously homologises it 
to the premedian plate of Antiarchi, following Moy-Thomas 
and Miles’s hypothesis (1971), who are the first authors to 
propose this interpretation. Ørvig (1975) however, denied 
the homology with the “preoral plate Ra” of Stensiö (1963a, 
1969) in Arthrodira sensu stricto (i.e., their Euarthrodira); 
this “preoral plate Ra” is now considered as a weak or 
vestigial premedian plate (Dupret et al. 2017a: 34). To sum-
marize, a plate homologous and named as the premedian 
plate of Antiarchi was unknown in Acanthothoraci before 
Moy-Thomas and Miles work (1971).

The term “Acanthothoraci” is still vastly used today, 
although more by commodity and tradition rather than as 
a monophyletic ensemble. In other words, Acanthothoraci 
is used to assess, in a practical way, a placoderm taxon that 
is neither arthrodire, petalichthyid, ptyctodont, rhenanid,
the anterior edge of the rostronasal capsule. The boundary of the ethmoidal capsule, but that we interpret as the ascending lamina of dermal bone which formed the posterior median is clear and corresponds to what Stensiö (1944: 9, Fig. 2) interpreted as the “probable position of a ventrally de- median is clear and corresponds to what Stensiö (1944: 9, Fig. 2) interpreted as the “probable position of a ventrally de-

A new interpretation of Stensiö’s (1944) description.— Being unaware in 1944 of the diversity of forms and the cranial anatomy of the Acanthothoracii, and likely because the general shape resembles more of an Arthrodira than an Antiarchi, Stensiö (1944) interpreted the most anterior dermal element of the skull of his specimen of P. vasta NRM.P5003 as the ethmoid capsule. The discovery of a new specimen attributed to this species invites us to propose herein another interpretation. The attribution of new material to Palaeacanthaspis by Stensiö (1944) rested on very few features such as a ratio of the anterolateral plate more similar to that of Brotzen’s (1934) material rather than any other “acanthaspid” (i.e., “Dolichothoraci”; see above for the history of these groups), and same “Dittoonian” in age from the Chortkov series (Stensiö 1944: 3–4, 16, 26).

The skull of P. vasta ZPAL Ag. V/1 is shorter than that of NRM.P5003 because the former lacks its ethmoid and pre-ethmoid components (i.e., rostropineal capsule and premedian plates). The tubercules of NRM.P5003 are as wide as long, indicating that the specimen was not laterally compressed. One supraorbital sensory line groove is identified in NRM.P5003 (Fig. 1B), indicating the location of the preorbital plate. A boundary between the preorbital plates is also identified near the midline of the specimen. An even clearer boundary delimits the preorbital plates from a more anterior element which is here interpreted as the rostropineal capsule (Fig. 1B: RoNa). The latter extends anteriorly until another demarcation with another more anterior plate, which we interpret as the premedian plate (Fig. 1B: PRM).

The boundary between the rostropineal capsule and the premedian is clear and corresponds to what Stensiö (1944: 9, fig. 2) interpreted as the “probable position of a ventrally descending lamina of dermal bone which formed the posterior boundary of the ethmoid capsule”, but that we interpret as the anterior edge of the rostronasal capsule.

This new anatomical interpretation of the skull roof conforms more to that of an Acanthothoraci such as Romundina than that of an Arthrodira such as Kujdanowiasi which for Stensiö (1944) was a model animal for this group. This updated pattern is taken into account for the completion of the characters related to Palaeacanthaspis in the data matrix.

Comparison between Palaeacanthaspis and other well known “Acanthothoraci”.— The ZPAL Ag. V/1 of Palaeacanthaspis vasta also differs mainly from Romundina stellina (see for example Goujet and Young 2004: fig. 2) by the contact between the two preorbital plates, and its much shorter postpineal plate. Few other minor differences, possibly attributed to individual or specific variations are discussed in the next section (Phylogenetic analysis).

The skull roof of Sudaspis chlupaci Vaškaninová and Aahlberg, 2017, is only known in its posteriormost portion, which is mostly lacking in the present material of Palaeacanthaspis. The two forms seem to differ, however, by the position of the external foramen for the endolymphatic duct on the posterior paranuchal plate which is more medial in Sudaspis and more lateral in Palaeacanthaspis (see Vaškaninová and Aahlberg 2017: fig. 7A, B).

The central plates of Tlamaspis inopinatus Vaškaninová and Aahlberg, 2017, are much longer and more polygonal than those of the present Palaeacanthaspis, its nuchal plate appears much shorter, and its supraorbital sensory line grooves are very long, and meet in the midline posteriorly to the post pineal plate and on the nuchal plate (see Vaškaninová and Aahlberg 2017: fig. 4).

The skull roof of Radotina being substantially tessellated is hardly comparable to that of Palaeacanthaspis and other forms (Gross 1958, 1959; Vaškaninová and Aahlberg 2017).

The current specimen of P. vasta differs from what is observed in Araboesteus variabilis Olive, Lelièvre, Goujet, and Janjou, 2011, by the absence in the former of a posterior central plate (but some specimens of A. variabilis show a unique large central plate rather than two smaller), very short supraorbital sensory line grooves, the presence of a post pineal plate, a more slender nuchal plate (see Olive et al. 2011).

As a conclusion, P. vasta seems closer morphologically to the Canadian species of Romundina rather than to the European species of Radotina, Tlamaspis, and Sudaspis. The Acanthothoracii from the Prague Basin appear to be slightly younger (late Lochkovian and early Pragian; Vaškaninová and Aahlberg 2017: fig. 1) than Palaeacanthaspis vasta from Podolia (mainly middle Lochkovian; Drygant and Szaniawski 2012: fig. 3) and Romundina stellina (middle Gedinian = middle Lochkovian after Ørvig 1975; Denison 1978; Carr 1995). Araboesteus variabilis from the Emsian Jau Formation of the then Gondwanan Saudi Arabia (Lelièvre et al. 1994, 1995) is even younger.

Phylogenetic analysis.— The consensus tree obtained from the new data set (Fig. 3, SOM 1) presents a quite different resolution of “Placodermi” from the one obtained by Vaškaninová et al. 2020 (SOM 1: fig. 1). We present here only a simplified version of the tree and more complete information is available in SOM. Most of the previously resolved relationships within the [Brindabellaspis–Entelognathus] bracket collapsed in iterative polytomies in our analysis. Within this bracket, the Antiarchi remain the most basal sister-group for all other gnathostomes. Palaeacanthaspis, Romundina, a clade composed of Radotina, Kosoraspis, Tlamaspis, and CPW 9 are in polytomy with a clade containing completely unresolved Arthrodira, monophyletic Phyllolepida, a monophyletic ensemble composed of paraphyletic Petalichthyida and monophyletic Ptyctodontida, and a clade containing monophyletic Rhenanida themselves sister-group to Entelognathus of Zhu et al. 2013, and all crown gnathostomes.
As far as the topic of the current article is concerned, it should be retained that *Palaeacanthaspis* does not have a definite phylogenetic position. This is obviously due to a completeness of 25.08% only for this taxon (84 out of 335 characters could be coded effectively, i.e., without taking into account non-applicable data which are treated as unknown). Its similar looking morphology to *Romundina* differs in the coding by three characters of weak phylogenetic value (24: presence/absence of a pineal foramen in the skull roof; 194 and 272: posterior extension and commissure of the supraorbital sensory groove). These three characters rely a lot on the amount of specimens at hand, and unfortunately only 2 specimens of *Palaeacanthaspis* are available, one being incomplete anteriorly and the other poorly preserved. The generalised arthrodire characteristics of *Palaeacanthaspis*, allied with its 74.92% of specific characters optimisable, probably led to the complete collapse of the Arthrodira clade and its content. It remains, however, certain that *Palaeacanthaspis* and *Romundina* belong to an “Acanthothoraci” bracket, most likely paraphyletic.

Poor tree resolution, similarities between some Arthrodira clade and its content. It remains, however, uncertain that *Palaeacanthaspis* and *Romundina* belong to an “Acanthothoraci” bracket, most likely paraphyletic.

Could *Romundina* be a junior synonym of *Palaeacanthaspis*?—*Romundina* was erected by Ørvig in 1975, based on cranial and thoracic armour material from the Lochkovian (Siegenian) of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. Until now, the genus has remained monospecific, with *Romundina stellina* as sole species. More recent works reveal that more material has since been discovered, and that more than one species could occur (Daniel Goujet, personal communication 2020).

*Palaeacanthaspis* was erected by Brotzen in 1934, based on fragmentary thoracic armour material from the Lower Devonian of Podolia. This material, owing to the observable plate boundaries can be referred to as an acanthothoracid, despite weathered tubercles not showing their typical stellate aspect. Later, Stensiö (1944) published more material, including a skull roof. This cranial material is, however, quite weathered and only its outline can be distinguished; the plate boundaries or sensory line system cannot be defined. We agree that this material might be included to *P. vasta* Brotzen, 1934, and it is certainly different in its proportions and ornamentation from that of *Dobrowlania podolica* Stensiö, 1944, which is also much scarcer, less well preserved, and of uncertain affinity, as already assessed by Stensiö’s work (1944: 70–73; see also Denison 1978: 35).

The outline of the preserved portion of the skull roof of the new specimen of *P. vasta* offers similarities with those of *P. vasta* from Podolia illustrated by Stensiö (1944) and *R. stellina* from the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (see Stensiö 1944; Ørvig 1975; Goujet and Young 2004; Dupret et al. 2010, 2014, 2017a).

The dermal ornamentation of the new Podolian specimen of *P. vasta* consists of stellate tubercules. The plate boundaries are difficult to discern, but when visible are similar to those observed in *R. stellina*. Major differences occur in the much smaller post pineal plate not precluding contact between the preorbital plates, the presence of a profundus sensory line groove, and the absence of a central sensory line groove in *P. vasta*. This anatomical attribution relies on the anteromedially rather than posteromedially direction of the groove.

It seems that the two central plates are separated by a postpineal and nuchal plate as it occurs in *R. stellina*, the exact contact between the two latter plates being however unclear. As mentioned above, the postpineal of *P. vasta* seems much shorter than the one of *R. stellina*.

The general organisation of the orbital part of the neurocranium is similar between the new specimen of *P. vasta* and that of *R. stellina*. However, some differences occur. The opening for the jugular vein is very small and located under the path of the second and third branches of the trigeminal nerve and on the lateral edge of the posteroventral myodome in *P. vasta*, while it is much bigger and located over a V3+VII common trunk in *R*. and disconnected from the same myodome (Dupret et al. 2014, 2017a).

The presence of a postmarginal sensory line groove in *P. vasta* compared to its absence in *R. stellina* constitutes another difference between the two taxa. It is unsure, however, if this difference reflects an individual variation or a preservation problem rather than a reliable morphological marker and hence is of limited importance on a taxonomic
point of view. It is nevertheless noteworthy that among “Acanthothoraci” such a postmarginal sensory line groove may also be visible in some forms of *Arabosteus variabilis* only (Olive et al. 2011: fig. 2A, B).

The comparison between the subethmoid area of the neurocranium does not bring more information because its anterior part is lacking in the new specimen of *P. vasta*. The only striking difference would consist in the median connection between the paired internal carotid grooves in *P. vasta*, unknown in *R. stellina*, assuming that this homology is correct.

Based on these observations, we consider that the differences listed above are sufficient to assess that *Palaeacanthaspis vasta* Brotzen, 1934, is not synonymous with *Romundina stellina* Ørvig, 1975. We nevertheless do not entirely rule out the possibility that these two genera still could be synonymous; testing this hypothesis will, however, require an extensive study of yet unpublished acanthothoracid material from the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Daniel Goujet, personal communication 2020) or new material of *Palaeacanthaspis*.

**Conclusions**

A new specimen of the acanthothoracid species *Palaeacanthaspis vasta* Brotzen, 1934, from the Lochkovian (Lower Devonian) of Podolia is described, and its position within an Acanthothoraci phylogenetic bracket is confirmed. Despite general similarities, the synonymy between *P. vasta* and *Romundina stellina* Ørvig, 1975, is ruled out. This specimen invites for an implementation of the growing library on early and lower vertebrate neurocrania. An analysis of the internal structures of this specimen is hence in progress.
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