A large pterosaur femur from the Kimmeridgian, Upper Jurassic of Lusitanian Basin, Portugal
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Introduction

Pterosaur remains are extremely rare in Portugal, despite an extensive area of highly fossiliferous Mesozoic outcrops and a long history of fossil collecting. Pterosaur remains are limited to isolated teeth and rare postcranial material from the Kimmeridgian Alcobaça Formation in the Guimarota coal mine assigned to Pterodactyloidea and Rhamphorhynchidae (e.g., Rhamphorhynchus) (Wiechmann and Gloy 2000), respectively. In the northern Lusitanian Basin, isolated pterosaur teeth have been briefly described from the Andrés fossil site (Alcobaça Formation, Kimmeridgian) and referred to simply as Pterosauria indet. (Malafia et al. 2010). So far,
pterosaur remains in the Central Lusitanian Basin sector are represented by some undescribed isolated, highly slender teeth tentatively assigned to *Gnathosaurus* and housed at the CI2Paleo from the Sociedade de História Natural (Torres Vedras) collections (BC personal observation), from the Sobral Formation (late Kimmeridgian–lower Tithonian) of Praia Azul, in Torres Vedras. Pterosaur tracks are reported from the Upper Jurassic of Zambujal de Baixo (Azóia Formation, Kimmeridgian) in Sesimbra and Porto das Baracas (Sobral Formation, late Kimmeridgian–lower Tithonian) in Lourinhã, both tentatively assigned to *Pterachinus* (Mateus and Milàn 2010). Other material is restricted to isolated teeth from the Lower Cretaceous (Papo Seco Formation, lower Barremian) of Cabo Espichel and putatively assigned to Ornithocheiridae and Ctenochasmatoidae (Figueiredo et al. 2020).

Here we describe the osteology and the taphonomic context of a new pterosaur specimen found at Praia da Almagreira (Peniche, Portugal) during Spring 2019 and now accessioned in the collection of the Sociedade de História Natural (SHN, Torres Vedras, Portugal), specimen number SHN.013. The specimen is an exquisitely 3D preserved proximal femur and is here compared with other Late Jurassic pterosaurs. In addition, we provide an insight into the skeletal maturity through histological analysis and comment on the paleoecology of the Upper Jurassic of Portugal.

**Institutional abbreviations.**—BYU, Brigham Young University, Provo, USA; DFMMh, Dino-Park Münchehagen/Verein zur Förderung der niedersächsischen Paläontologie e.V., Germany; HMNS, Houston Museum of Natural Science, Houston, USA; IVPP, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China; MN, Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; SHN, CI2Paleo at Sociedade de História Natural, Torres Vedras, Portugal; SMNK, Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Karlsruhe, Germany.

**Other abbreviations.**—EFS, external fundamental system; ICD, cortico-diametral index; LAGs, lines of arrested growth.

**Material and methods**

The new specimen SHN.013 was found by FB and BCS while prospecting the Paleontological Site PENFER08, registered in the SHN’s Geographical Information System Applied to Paleontology (SIGAP). This site is located in a coastal exposure north of Baleal, in the municipality of Peniche, west Portugal (Fig. 1A–C). The bone was identified in situ, with the proximal portion of the femoral head protruding from the matrix (Fig. 1D). After removing the block from the main site, it was transported to the laboratory of the SHN for further analysis. The specimen was prepared by RS, using an air scribe, and several fragments were glued back together using Paraloid B72. The specimen was photographed using a Sony a5100 mirrorless camera using standard directions. SHN.013 is officially housed at the CI2Paleo from the Sociedade de História Natural (Torres Vedras).

A µCT scan of SHN.013 was performed at Micronsense (Leiria, Portugal), to create a 3D model of the specimen for a 3D-print to evaluate sites for histological sampling, and to analyze the internal structure. The µCT scan of the specimen was obtained using a GE VtomeX M 240 system industrial scanner with more than 2400 slices and a voxel size of about 83 µm at Micronsense (Leiria, Portugal) and an acceleration voltage of 200 kV in a current of 500 µA. We followed the workflow published by Aureliano et al. (2020) to generate a three-dimensional reconstruction with the open-source software 3D Slicer v4.10 (Fedorov et al. 2012) and CloudCompare 2.9.1 (CloudCompare 2018). Interested readers should request the 3D model file from the corresponding author.

Histological analysis was performed at the laboratory of the Division of Paleontology, University of Bonn, Germany. Following µCT-rendering, the specimen was sectioned in its mid-shaft region. The section was prepared following standard procedures (see Stein and Sander 2009 and Lambertz et al. 2018). The resulting slide was analyzed using a Leica DLMP polarizing microscope. The pictures were taken under normal (PPL) and cross-polarized (XPL) light using a Leica DFC420 camera mounted on the microscope, using ImageAccess EasyLab (Imagic Bildverarbeitung AG).

Femur length reconstruction was based on the complete correspondent skeletal element of presumed closely related similar-sized taxa to preserve allometric features of the clade. These include the Dsungaripteroidea specimens *Dsungaripterus weii* IVPP V.2777 (Young 1964), Dsungaripteridae indet. DFMMh/FV 500 (Fastnacht 2005), and *Mesadactyulus ornithosphyos* BYU 17214 (McLain and Bakker 2018). We could not apply the regression from Benson et al. (2014) to estimate the wingspan, since the specimen lacks any anterior appendicular elements. Instead, minimum and maximum wingspan estimates were obtained from the linear scaling relationship between femur/wingspan from *Dsungaripterus* IVPP V.2777 (Young 1964; Witton 2008). Measurements were taken with ImageJ v1.4 software (Schneider et al. 2012). Morphometric results are presented in SOM: tables 1 and 2 in the Supplementary Online Material available at http://app.pan.pl/SOM/app66-Bertozzo_etal_SOM.pdf.

**Geological and geographical setting**

SHN.013 was found in the Praia da Amoreira–Porto Novo Formation (following the scheme proposed by Manupella et al. 1999) or the Porto Novo member of the Lourinhã Formation (following Hill 1989), latest Kimmeridgian in age. The lithostratigraphic nomenclature of this sector is not
well established. Portugal possesses important continental to transitional marine Upper Jurassic stratigraphic units including the Alcobaça Formation (Rauhut 2001), Bombarral and Lourinhã formations (Antunes and Mateus 2003), located within the Lusitanian Basin. This is the most extensive sequence of Mesozoic strata in Portugal. It developed along the Western Iberian Margin and is limited to the east by the Paleozoic basement and by the Berlenga Horst.

Fig. 1. Geological overview of the locality of SHN.013 in Portugal. A. Map of Portugal, with a focus on the geology of the Peniche area. B. Close-up of the Atlantic coast near Peniche, showing the age of the sediments. C. Specimen excavation site, the arrow points towards the location of the specimen. D. SHN.013 at the moment of discovery, after removing the loose sediment to identify the extension of the bone at its distal end.
in the west (Rasmussen et al. 1998; Kullberg et al. 2006), corresponding to one of the proto-Atlantic basins formed by crustal extension associated with the fragmentation of Pangaea. A revision of the tectonic evolution of the basin is beyond the scope of this work, and is discussed in detail by others (e.g., Ravnås et al. 1997; Leinfelder 1993; Stapel et al. 1996; Rasmussen et al. 1998; Reis et al. 2000; Kullberg et al. 2006; Schneider et al. 2009; Martinius and Gowland 2011; Taylor et al. 2014). In the Central Lusitanian Basin, the sequence is present in several sub-basins (Consolação to the west, Bombarral-Alcobaça to the northeast, and the Turcifal in the southeast), but is mainly exposed on the coast. In general, the sequence reflects increasing continental sedimentation from the base (upper Kimmeridgian) to the top (uppermost Tithonian–lowermost Cretaceous). These deposits represent well-vegetated floodplains and sandy fluvial channels, with some coastal plains in the southwest, adjacent to a shallow tropical sea. A well-suited environment for Mesozoic vertebrates, represented by theropods (e.g., Malafaia 2017; Malafaia et al. 2020), sauropods (e.g., Mocho et al. 2017), thyreophorans (e.g., Escaso et al. 2007; Mateus et al. 2009), ornithopods (e.g., Escaso et al. 2007; Mateus et al. 2009), crocodyliforms (e.g., Russo et al. 2017; Guillaume et al. 2019), choristoderes, amphibians, lepidosauromorphs (Ortega et al. 2009; Malafaia et al. 2010; Guillaume 2018), turtles (e.g., Pérez-Garcia and Ortega 2011, 2013) and mammaliamorphs (e.g., Martin 2005, 2013; Guillaume 2018).

The outcrop where SHN.013 was found constitutes mainly sandstone alternations of variable grain size, mudstone, and siltstone (Fig. 1C, D), and is interpreted as a flu-
vial to alluvial plain with sandy meanders with reduced sinuosity distributaries (Hill 1989; Martinius and Gowland 2011). The specific silty layer with the specimen contains pyrite nodules and plant debris and is highly bioturbated. This level is interpreted as a pond/swamp environment and represents a lateral margin slide event, which explains the vertical position of the bone. It was found in the same level as crocodylomorphs (e.g., Goniocephalidae), turtles (Pleurosternidae), theropods (e.g., Allosauroidea; BC personal observation), and a relatively complete specimen of the dryosaurid ornithopod dinosaur cf. *Eousdryosaurus* (Camilo da Silva, 2019).

**Systematic palaeontology**

**Pterosauria Kaup, 1834**

**Monofenestrata Lü, Unwin, Jin, Liu, and Ji, 2009**

**Pterodactyloidea Plieninger, 1901**

**Dsungaripteroidea Young, 1964** (sensu Unwin 2003)

**Dsungaripteroidea indet.**

Fig. 2.

**Material.**—SHN.013 is a fragmentary femur of 151 mm preserved length, missing its distal portion (Fig. 2). The proximal region is well preserved, although two slightly crushed areas are visible in posterior and lateral views; from Praia da Amoreira–Porto Novo Formation (Upper Jurassic, upper Kimmeridgian) of Praia da Almagreira, Peniche, Portugal.

**Description.**—Despite being incomplete, the femur is very well preserved and in good overall condition, with only very slight compaction damage. The preserved total length of the specimen is 151 mm. It has a slightly bowed diaphyseal shaft that has a subcircular cross-section for much of its preserved length (Fig. 2A7). The diaphysis maintains a seal shaft that has a subcircular cross-section for much of its preserved length, different from pterosaurs like *Pteranodon longiceps* Marsh, 1876 (Bennett 2001), *Dorygnathus banthensis* Theodori, 1830, *Germanodactylus cristatus* Wiman, 1925 (Unwin 2003), and *Pterodaustro guinazui* Bonaparte, 1970 (Wellnhofer 1978) where the shaft is gently tapered distally. The proximal articular region is well preserved, while the distal end is missing. The femoral head is offset from the main shaft at an angle of 126°, a similar value to DFMMh/FV 500 (Fastnacht 2005), and other pterosaurs (Unwin 2003) except for ornithocheroids (Unwin 2003). The articular surface of the femoral head is separated from the smooth surface of the neck by a well-defined ridge (Fig. 2A2), resulting in a mushroom-like structure as in the dsungaripterid *DFMMh/FV 500* (Fastnacht 2005) and in the indet. *Dsungaripteroidea* HMNS/BB 5027 (McLain and Bakker 2018). The attachment site for the fovea capitis (“terete ligament” in McLain and Bakker 2018) occupies only a small portion of the proximal surface of the femoral head, and it seems to have a smooth surface. In posterior view, below the neck and above the fourth trochanter, a deep crushed area extends diagonally from the proximal region of the femur. However, since its cranial margin is smooth, we hypothesize the presence of a small depression receiving *M. pubofemoralis* (Witton 2013). The trochanteric rim caps the proximal limit of the greater trochanter, although it seems narrower than that of HMNS/BB 5027. In lateral view, the ridge projects dorso-cranially with the cranialmost margin higher than the caudal margin, unlike the evenly curved ridge of HMNS/BB 5027. However, it is similar to DFMMh/FV 500, although the greater trochanter seems shorter in SHN.013. The precise location and nature of the trochanteric fossa are difficult to ascertain due to crushing in the dorsolateral region. The fourth trochanter is elongated proximodistally but strongly reduced in thickness (Fig. 2A1). There is a small foramen below the fourth trochanter, and another one located dorsomedial to the ligament notch. This notch corresponds to the insertion point of M. adductor femoris (Fastnacht 2005), and muscle scars can be seen lining the notch dorsoventrally (Figs. 2A1, A4). The distal end is truncated by a clear transverse fracture, exposing the bone wall and the medullary cavity. In the last third of the shaft, a long groove extends for 25 mm towards the truncated area. It might possibly represent a bite-mark, as the groove is sinuous and it does not share similarities to the elongated muscular ridge. The surface of the bone in the groove is not whitened, as it would be in modern score marks made by digging tools. The presence of smaller, parallel grooves in the distal area (Fig. 2A2) resembles further bite-marks, however fine marks that might have been made by serrations of a theropod tooth are not detected.

**Morphometry.**—Although only 151 mm long, as preserved, the reconstructed maximum length of the femur is about 244 mm (see SOM: table 1), based on the dsungaripterids *Dsungaripterus weii* (IVPP V.2777) (Young 1964), Dungaripteridae indet. DFMMh/FV 500 (Fastnacht 2005), *Mesadactylus ornithosphyos* BYU 17214 (McLain and Bakker 2018), and *Noripterus complicidens* IVPP RV 73001 (Hone et al. 2017). The reconstructed wingspan of SHN.013 ranges between 240–314 cm (see SOM: table 2).

**Histology.**—The thin section of the bone, cut orthogonal to the length of the diaphysis, shows a slightly oval outline (ratio of diameters = 1/1.2). The external surface is smooth, but two small protuberances are present (Fig. 3A1, A2). The ratio between the cortex thickness and the total diameter of the bone shaft is 0.29 (cortico-diametral index or ICD, after de Ricqlès et al. 2000; mean cortical thickness ~2 mm). The section reveals a large, distinct medullary cavity which is lined by a thin, continuous layer of lamellar bone, here referred to as the “endoosteal lamellar layer”. The rest of the cortex is comprised of primary fibrolamellar bone tissue in a mixture of parallel-fibered and woven bone. Fine Sharpey’s fibers are present at both protuberances (Fig. 3A1, A2). Vascularization is dense and consists of plexiform oriented primary osteons, decreasing in abundance towards the periosteal margin. There are no secondary osteons or other
signs of remodeling. There are also no growth marks present except for a few lines of arrested growth (LAGs), concentrated towards the periosteal surface, building an external fundamental system (EFS). In this case, the EFS is also distinguishable by its co-occurring diagenetically induced darker color compared to the rest of the cortex. Diagenesis, in the form of iron staining, seems to have emphasized this layer as seen in the thin section. It is continuous in thickness except for the two regions where the protuberances occur and it consists of approximately five closely spaced LAGs (the amount may vary locally) which have formed around a few of the primary osteons within this region. For a better comparison with data from the literature, we additionally calculated the relative thickness of the specimen measured as the ratio of the cortical thickness to the bone circumference at the midshaft region (~0.046) in SOM: table 3 (Mitchell and Sander 2014).

Discussion

Specimen SHN.013 is a tridimensional, nearly complete pterosaur femur referable to Dsungaripteroidea, but due to the lack of unambiguous diagnostic features, we regard it as Dsungaripteroidea indet. A few features provide some clues to its phylogenetic relationship with other Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous pterosaurs. The significant bowing of the femoral shaft, the presence of a mushroom-like cap of the head on the neck, and the distinctly elevated greater trochanter are characters of Dsungaripteroidea (Unwin 2003; Fastnacht 2005; McLain and Bakker 2018). SHN.013 thus appears to have affinities with basal dsungaripteroids, and in terms of its size, with Dsungaripteridae. Similar femora from the Kimmeridgian are reported from the Langenberg Quarry of Germany (Fastnacht 2005) and the Claw Quarry...
of Como Bluff in the Western United States (McLain and Bakker 2018), but these authors did not establish new species because of the incomplete nature of the material. Another Upper Jurassic pterosaur, *Normannognathus wellnhoferi*, from the Argiles d’Oceville Formation of France was referred to *Dsungaripterae* by Buffetaut et al. (1998), although it was later considered *Monofenestrata incertae sedis* (Witton et al. 2015). *Normannognathus wellnhoferi* comprises the left front portion of the skull and the associated non-articulated lower jaws, but the lack of any postcranial material for this species precludes a direct comparison with SHN.013. In the paratype specimen of *Noripterus complicidens* IVPP RV 73001 (Young 1973: pl. 5; Hone et al. 2017: fig. 2) the femur appears to be continuously gently curved along its entire length, however in referred specimen IVPP V 4059, a femur broken into two pieces of approximately equal length, the proximal portion is continuously gently curved, but the distal portion appears straight. As only one view is provided, it is difficult to fully evaluate the curvature in this second specimen. In *Dsungaripterus weii* the femur is gently curved along its entire length (Young 1964: fig. 6), this is especially noticeable on its caudal face when seen in lateral view. In other Dsungaripteroidea, or possible Dsungaripteridae (e.g., *Benguelia, Domeykodactylus, Lonchognathosaurus, Ornithocheirus, Puntanipterus*) the femur is unknown.

In Germanodactyloidea, a group that formerly was considered close to Dsungaripteridae (Vidovic and Martill 2017, although this position was not reported by Kellner 2003), the femora of both *Althmuehlopterus* and *Germanodactylus* are gently curved proximally but straighten distally (Vidovic and Martill 2017: figs. 2 and 3B, respectively).

In *Azhdarchoidea*, a group often found to be a sister taxon to Dsungaripteroidea (e.g., Pinheiro et al. 2011), the femur is known for several prominent taxa of Tapejaridae and Azhdarchidae. In *Volgadactylus bogolubowi*, only the diaphysis of the femur is preserved, and it is a large, hollow, thin-walled bone, with a bowed shaft (Averianov et al. 2008). *Hatzegopteryx* has a slightly bowed femur with a thin wall, the fourth trochanter lacks a marked tuberosity, and a small knob is located close to the proximal ridge, perhaps corresponding to the internal trochanter (Buffetaut et al. 2003). A curved femur is recognized also in the azhdarchoids *Shenzhoupterus* (Lü et al. 2008) and *Huaxiapterus*, where the femoral head is distinct and set on a narrow neck, angled from the shaft (Lü and Yuan 2005; Lü et al. 2006). A similar condition is also found in the pteranodontian *Pteranodon* (Bennett 2001). In the tapejariid *Sinopterus*, however, the shaft is straight (Wang and Zhou 2003). Thin-walled femora are recognized also in *Arambourgiania* (2 mm thick; Martill and Moser 2018) and *Aralazhdarcho*, which also possess a well-developed greater trochanter (Averianov 2007).

In the anhanguerid *Anhanguera piscator* the femur is slender, and although it has a gentle anterior curve when seen laterally or mediially, it is subtle (Kellner and Tomida 2000: figs. 50, 52) such that the bone looks almost straight. The estimated wingspan of SHN.013 ranges between 240–314 cm. Consequently, the Portuguese specimen is bigger than other Late Jurassic taxa such as *Harpactognathus gentryii* with an estimated wingspan of 2.5 m (skull/skeleton scaling by Carpenter et al. 2003). Therefore, SHN.013 represents one of the largest pterosaurs of the Jurassic, second only to a large Swiss pterosaur, with a wingspan calculated at approximately 4 m (Meyer and Hunt 1999). Pterosaur body size evolution increased dramatically during the Cretaceous, with regression models showing a clear shift in body size by the end of the Jurassic (Benson et al. 2014), with SHN.013 corroborating these data.

The bone histology of SHN.013 corresponds well with previous histological analyses of pterosaurs in general, which are primarily defined by rapid growth and thin bone walls, the latter being a result of high resorption rates in the early stages of growth (de Ricqlès et al. 2000; Steel 2008). Here, fast growth is inferred from the exclusive presence of fibrolamellar bone tissue with dense vascularization (de Margerie et al. 2002; Padian and Lamm 2013). The presence of an EFS indicates that this individual attained skeletal maturity (de Ricqlès et al. 2000; Woodward et al. 2011; Andrade et al. 2015), therefore growth is considered to have terminated. This is relevant since it indicates that the histological sections record the final growth period of the specimen, to which we can assign the displayed histological properties accordingly. An EFS and correspondingly a determinate growth strategy has been reported for some pterodactyloid pterosaurs (i.e., Padian et al. 1995; de Ricqlès et al. 2000; Sayão 2003; Steel 2008; Kellner et al. 2013; Aureliano et al. 2014, Kellner et al. 2019), but it has also been considered that some pterosaurs may have had a form of indeterminate growth (e.g., see Prondvai et al. 2012; Dalla Vecchia 2018). In this context, the term indeterminate growth is specified by the lack of a known asymptote, e.g., because organisms die before an asymptote could ever be reached and is therefore termed determinate growth type III sensu Sebens (1987). Before reaching any kind of skeletal maturity, a comprehensive decreasing growth rate in the later stages of ontogeny is observed in pterosaurs. Such a decrease has been described for more basal taxa like *Eudimorphodon, Dimorphodon,* and *Rhamphorhynchus*, i.e., expressed by a change to parallel-fibered bone tissue, a decrease in vascularization, or by an increasing number of LAGs (de Ricqlès et al. 2000; Padian et al. 2004; Prondvai et al. 2012). In contrast, SHN.013 mostly displays a rapid growth rate in the last growth period, a transitional slow down before the onset of the EFS is only indicated by a slight decrease in vascularization. Since pterosaur skeletons are known to show histovariability within different bones of one individual (Chinsamy et al. 2009; Sayão 2003; Prondvai et al. 2012), it is reasonable to compare the same skeletal elements. However, histological descriptions of pterosaur femora are rare (Steel 2008). A femoral thin section of a female, egg-bearing specimen *Kunpengopterus* sp. was described histologically and, unlike the continuous growth pattern of SHN.013, it shows three different lay-
ers of bone tissue (Wang et al. 2015). While it resembles our sample in exhibiting an inner layer of circumferential, lamellar bone, it shows a clear extent of remodeling in the subsequent layer. This latter feature was explained by the metapophyseal region from which the sample was taken. The outer layer was described as containing a “reduced number of vascular channels”, but an EFS, as well as LAGs, are absent and the specimen was accordingly interpreted as a late juvenile to subadult female. Among adult pterodactyloid femora, there is some variability in growth patterns but the similarities with our sample are considerable. Chinsamy et al. (2009) described three femora of *Pterodaustro guinazui* from the Early Cretaceous of Argentina, one of which constitutes an adult individual (V382) which can therefore best be compared with our adult specimen. Its histology resembles that of SHN.013 in its overall fibrolamellar bone tissue. However, the presence of at least 4 distinct growth marks contrasts the uninterrupted growth in the late growth period of our specimen. In addition, the thin section of the adult *Pterodaustro guinazui* specimen exhibits a significant decline in the growth rate, indicated by a change to a lamellar bone organization after the outermost growth mark. In contrast, MN 4809-V, the femur of a putative anhanguerid pterosaur from the Early Cretaceous of Brazil, does not show any interruptions within the growth period recorded in the preserved cortex and it shares the fast-growing woven bone matrix seen in SHN.013 (Sayão 2003). Since an EFS has also been described for this specimen, it is highly comparable in terms of skeletal maturity. The only significant difference between MN 4809-V and SHN.013 is the amount of secondary bone tissue, which has been described as extensive in the former and could indicate an even older ontogenetic age (Mitchell and Sander 2014). The growth record of a femur of the Early Cretaceous pterodactyl *Prejanopterus curvirostris* (Pereda-Superbiola et al. 2012) shares this overall growth dynamic. Its highly vascular fibrolamellar bone tissue extends to the periosteal margin, where a decrease in vascularity was described. The lack of LAGs or other growth marks corresponds to the uninterrupted growth period recorded in SHN.013. Due to the lack of an EFS in this specimen, Pereda-Superbiola et al. (2012) concluded that it had not reached asymptotic size. Accordingly, possible later changes in bone tissue with increasing age cannot be considered. Summarizing the observed growth patterns, SHN.013 shows many similarities to the bone histology of other pterodactyloid femora of variable sizes, although possible taxonomically based differences in growth dynamics can be registered too. Comparing the histology of SHN.013 to femora of non-pterodactyloid taxa, the observed differences in growth patterns increase, since these taxa tend to exhibit a somewhat slower growth expressed by a tendency towards a proportional increase in parallel-fibered bone compared to woven bone (cf. Jenkins et al. 2001; Padian et al. 2004; Prondvai et al. 2012; EMV personal observation).

Outside Portugal, pterosaurs from the Late Jurassic are known from the USA (Galton 1981; Harris and Carpenter 1996; Czerkas and Mickelson 2002; Carpenter et al. 2003), France (Buffetaut et al. 1998; Jouve 2004; Barrett et al. 2008), Germany (Frey et al. 2011), England (Barrett et al. 2008; Martill and Etches 2012), Poland (Barrett et al. 2008), Switzerland (Barrett et al. 2008; Meyer and Hunt 1999) and Spain (Barrett et al. 2008). Dsungaripterids are medium-sized pterosaurs that make their first appearance in the Late Jurassic of Europe (Buffetaut et al. 1998; Fastnacht 2005) but are best known from the Early Cretaceous of China; (Young 1964, 1973; Hone et al. 2017), and to a lesser degree from South America (Montanelli 1987; Martill et al. 2000; Codorniú et al. 2006). Basal dsungaripteroids are well known from Europe (Bennett 2006; Vidovic and Martill 2017). The remains of dsungaripterids occur almost exclusively in continental deposits (Fastnacht 2005), therefore it has been hypothesized that dsungaripterids were likely terrestrial (Witton 2013). The notable characteristic of derived dsungaripterids are the thick bone walls (Unwin et al. 1996; Unwin 2003), a condition which implies enhanced quadrupedal terrestrial locomotion. The ICD of SHN.013 (0.29) is similar to the dsungaripteroid HMNS/BB 5027 (ICD = 0.2) and slightly lower than the tibia of Dsungaripteridae indet. DFMMh/FV 500 (ICD = 0.47–0.5). This suggests that basal dsungaripteroids tend to have a slightly thinner cortex than dsungaripterids, although still thicker than other non-dsungaripteroid pterosaurs, such as the juvenile azhdarchoid SMNK PAL 3985 (ICD = 0.19) and the Anhangueridae indet. MN 4809 V (ICD = 0.18).

In SHN.013, the slight elongation of the fourth trochanter and the bowing of the shaft might suggest a similar terrestrial lifestyle to dsungaripterids, an assumption reinforced by the sedimentology of the fossiliferous layer showing a continental floodplain and a swamp, a more typical habitat for these later forms. The moderately lower thickness value of the femur compared to other dsungaripterids might indicate a small ecological relationship to more aerial and soaring-based lifestyle, however, without a more complete skeleton, it is impossible to deduce the exact type of habitat of this taxon.

Inhabiting terrestrial environments exposes organisms to a wide range of predators. When SHN.013 was prepared in the field, we noticed that the lack of the distal portion of the femur was not the result of diagenesis, erosion or due to excavation work. The proximal portion and the shaft were perfectly preserved yet with superficial weathering and the distal part was not exposed to the surface. Therefore, distal region truncation must have occurred before the burial of the bone. A long groove and a set of smaller sulci are visible on the shaft, resembling tooth-marks left by a smaller scavenger (Fig. 2A2, A3).

**Conclusions**

The new pterosaurian femur described here displays a combination of features including the bowing of the shaft, the mushroom-like cap of the femoral head, and the distinctly
elevated greater trochanter that suggest an affinity with dsungaripteroids. Although these pterosaurs are better known from the Cretaceous, many likely basal forms were present in the Late Jurassic. The slightly thinner bone wall of the diaphysis and the isolated nature of the specimen limit placing it within a specific dsungaripteroid clade. The bone histology of SHN.013 shows that it is a skeletally mature specimen with continuously fast growth within the latest, recorded ontogenetic window, which was maintained until the skeletal maturity was reached. Comparisons to other taxa from the literature show that SHN.013 can best be compared to femora from pterodactyloid taxa from the Early Cretaceous, which adds to our hypothesis of a taxonomic proximity to dsungaripteroids. The presence of putative tooth marks (and possibly the abruptly cut distal end) suggests the animal/carcass was chewed by scavengers before burial (Fig. 2A2, A3). The discovery of a large-sized pterosaur in the Bombarral Formation shows a diversified flying fauna in the Late Jurassic of Europe, stimulating more research on these fossiliferous strata.
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SOM Table 1. Measurements (in mm) of the femora of the Dsungaripteroidea *Dsungaripterus weii* IVPP V.2777 (Young 1964), Dungaripteridae indet. DFMMh/FV 500 (Fastnacht 2005), *Mesadactylus ornithosphyos* BYU 17214 (McLain and Bakker 2018), *Noripterus complicidens* IVPP RV 73001 (Hone et al. 2017), and the estimated total length for SHN.013. * based on *Dsungaripterus weii* (IVPP V.2777); ** based on Dungaripteridae indet. (DFMMh/FV 500); *** based on *Mesadactylus ornithosphyos* (BYU 17214); **** based on *Noripterus complicidens* (IVPP RV 73001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Femur length (mm)</th>
<th>Greatest cross section width (mm)</th>
<th>Length/width ratio</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Dsungaripterus weii</em> IVPP V.2777</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>Young 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dungaripteridae indet. DFMMh/FV 500</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>Fastnacht 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mesadactylus ornithosphyos</em> BYU 17214</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>McLain and Bakker 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Noripterus complicidens</em> IVPP RV 73001</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Hone et al. 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dsungaripteroidea indet. SHN.013</td>
<td>276*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230**</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254***</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215****</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean estimate</td>
<td>244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOM Table 2. Estimated wingspan range (in mm) of the Dsungaripteroidea indet. SHN.013 based on the *Dsungaripterus* (IVPP V.2777) wingspan/femur ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Femur length (mm)</th>
<th>Wingspan (mm)</th>
<th>Wingspan/femur ratio</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Dsungaripterus weii</em> IVPP V.2777</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>2510</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>Young 1964; Witton 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dsungaripteroidea indet. SHN.013</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>3137.5</td>
<td>this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minimum</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>2400.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOM Table 3. Measurements of bone circumference, cortical thickness and their ratio following Mitchell and Sander (2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaM (bone circumference at midshaft)</td>
<td>44746 μm = 44.746 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT (cortical thickness, mean from 4 positions)</td>
<td>2064 μm = 2.064 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT/CaM (bone thickness to circumference ratio)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>