A glimpse into ancient food storage: Sequestrichnia and associated nucleocave *Chondrites* from Eocene deep-sea deposits
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The old brickyard Velká nad Veličkou in the Czech Republic offers a unique insight into an Eocene deep-sea ichnoassemblage that is dominated by sequestrichnia. Secondary use of such food-stowing was made by the tracemaker of *Chondrites intricatus* as evidenced by its frequent penetration of the trace fossil *Zoophycos brianteus* and its occurrence preferentially on the surface of *Zoophycos spreiten*. Here, the *Chondrites* tracemaker presumably exploited the nutrient-enriched micro-environment (nucleocave). This close interrelations between *Chondrites* and *Zoophycos* support the hypothesis of a sequestrichnial behaviour of the *Zoophycos* producer. The studied locality also yields *Helmintopsis tenuis*, *Tubulichnium mediterranensis*, *Scolicia strozzii*, *Dactyloidites* isp., *Megagrapton irregulare*, and *Planolites* isp.
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Introduction

At first glance, deep-tier trace fossils such as various ichno-species of *Zoophycos*, *Chondrites*, *Spirophyton*, *Polykampton*, and *Pilichnus* reflect a feeding behaviour. On closer inspection, however, the ethology of these trace fossil makers becomes more complicated as frequently discussed in recent years. A thorough investigation led Uchman and Wetzel (2016) to introduce a new ethological group—sequestrichnia or food-storage or stowing traces. Stowing behaviour is predominantly applied by tracemakers in deep-sea settings, where food availability fluctuates seasonally or episodically. When highly nutritious material is deposited, the tracemakers collect it on the sediment surface and stow it in deep-tiers where shallow deposit feeders cannot reach it and where little- or not oxygenated porewater favours the preservation of organic matter (Uchman and Wetzel 2016; for shallower environments see also Bromley 1996: 100–101, fig. 4.25; Seilacher 2007: 54, pl. 18). Sequestration was further analysed in the ichnogenera *Polykampton* (Uchman and Rattazzi 2017; Uchman et al. 2019, 2020) and *Tubulichnium* (Uchman and Wetzel 2017). Additionally, Jurkowska et al. (2018) discussed food storage in *Lepidenteron mantelli* and Rodríguez-Tovar et al. (2019) in the ichnogenus *Halimedides*.

The trace fossil *Chondrites* records a wide variety of behaviour of its producers, living in anoxic (Bromley and Eckdale 1984) or oxic settings (Wetzel and Uchman 2012), showing either a deposit feeding, farming, or chemosymbiotic behaviour (e.g., Fu 1991, Baucon et al. 2020). In particular, deep-tier *Chondrites* issp. appear to follow a chemosymbiotic strategy that can be utilised in order to identify trace fossils applying stowing behaviour (sequestrichnia), which became reworked by the *Chondrites*-producer. In this context, the Velká nad Veličkou locality (Eocene of the Carpathian Flysch Belt, Czech Republic) where *Chondrites* and supposed sequestrichnial ichnogenera occur in close relationship within a specific bed is of particular interest. The
aim of the present paper is to describe this distinct ichnoassemblage in which both food-storage and chemosymbiotic behaviours were applied in dependence upon each other.

Institutional abbreviations.—ICN, Natural History Museum of Verona, Italy; MZM, Moravian Museum, Brno, Czech Republic; Z, City Museum in Strážnice, Czech Republic.

Geological setting

The old brickyard Velká nad Veličkou (formerly known as the Javorník brickyard; 48°51'41.067"N, 17°31'4.278"E) is located near the Javorník nad Veličkou railway station in the south-eastern part of Moravia (Czech Republic), close to the border with the Slovak Republic (Fig. 1). The quarrying ceased in 1993 and since 2013 the locality is protected by law as a natural monument.

The outcrop is the stratotype of the Kuželov Formation of the Hluk Facies of the Bílé Karpaty Unit (Stráník et al. 1995). The Kuželov Formation is dominated by greenish, brownish, and reddish foliated claystone with intercalated mainly thin-bedded, laminated sandstone beds. Strongly lithified marl beds (in Czech “pelokarbonát”) are a diagnostic feature of the Kuželov Formation. The formation is about 250 m thick and represents sediments deposited in a distal part of a deep-sea turbidity fan from the late Paleocene to the early Eocene (Stráník et al. 1995). From the studied locality, Bubík (1995) described an assemblage of agglutinated foraminifera that is dominated by tubular forms indicative for depths of the lower slope. Index fossil for the Planktonic Foraminifera Zone P6 and the Nannoplankton Zone NP10, i.e., Rheophax elongatus provided an early Eocene age (see Bubík 1995; Stráník et al. 1995). The Kuželov Formation is underlain by the Nivnice Formation or Paleocene–Eocene variegated beds (Stráník et al. 1995). There are neither indications of shallowing during the sedimentation of the Kuželov Formation nor of discontinuous sedimentation. The overlying sediments have been eroded (Bubík 1995).

So far, the only ichnological study of the outcrop was a brief mention in an unpublished Ph.D. thesis by Němcová (1973) written in Czech; she described Rhabdoglyphus grossheimi and a new, but invalid ichnospecies, Spirophycus ornatus. Although she did not mention the exact collection point, the specimens must derive from a more sandy part of the quarry considering the lithology of the depicted samples.

Material and methods

The studied material was collected exclusively at the old brickyard Velká nad Veličkou. Most of the trace fossils come from a 170 mm thick, moderately bioturbated bed (further referred to as Zoophycos Bed) in the southern part of the outcrop (Fig. 2). The strata are tectonically tilted and inclined at an angle of 45°. The Zoophycos Bed yields trace fossils mostly preserved in full relief within the strongly lithified marl. Their infill derives from an overlying 50 mm thick siltstone layer. Thus, we may presume that this siltstone bed was deposited before (or during) the production of the observed trace fossils.
Additional trace fossil material was collected from the talus. These trace fossils do not display the unique preservational features (see below) as the specimens in the Zoophycos Bed and are derived from other strata. Measurements introduced by Wetzel and Bromley (1996) were used for *Helminthopsis tenuis*.

The herein described specimens are housed in the collection of the Moravian Museum, Brno, Czech Republic (MZM) under the inventory numbers Ge 32946-32961 and the City Museum in Strážnice, Czech Republic under the inventory number Z 69.

**Systematic palaeoichnology**

The ichnogenera are arranged according to the morphological system by Buatois et al. (2017).

**Simple horizontal trails**

Ichnogenus *Helminthopsis* Wetzel and Bromley, 1996

*Type ichnospecies:* *Helminthopsis hieroglyphica* Wetzel and Bromley, 1996, Ganei, Switzerland, Paleocene–lower Eocene.

*Helminthopsis tenuis* Książkiewicz, 1968

Fig. 3.

*Material.*—Single large specimen on a sandstone slab, collected from talus (MZM Ge 32946) from Velká nad Veličkou, Czech Republic, Eocene.

*Description.*—Incomplete specimen of an unbranched, horizontal burrow with a fairly constant diameter of about 10 mm and a somewhat rectangular cross-section. Preserved
as convex hyporelief with a passive, structureless fill (no pellets were observed). Burrow segments are rarely straight and often slightly wiggly. Two meanders, one wide and one narrow, are preserved. The broad meander is 120 mm wide and 86.6 mm high, the narrow one measures 61.3 mm in width and 80 mm in height. The burrow possesses a partially preserved median furrow.

Remarks.—Wetzel and Bromley (1996) interpreted Helminthopsis as feeding burrows constructed in shallow depths of sediments probably rich in benthic food. Although collected from talus, the trace fossil might therefore have been constructed within a layer that was deposited in times of nutrition-rich sedimentation. Thus, it might have been constructed by a shallow tier trace maker that the producers of sequestrichnia aimed to avoid (cf. Uchman and Wetzel 2016).

Stratigraphic and geographic range.—Ediacaran to Recent (Buatois et al. 2014; Kundal et al. 2022); worldwide.

Simple actively filled (massive) horizontal to oblique structures

Ichnogenus Planolites Nicholson, 1873

Type ichnospecies: Planolites beverleyensis (Billings, 1862), near Beverley in the township of Bastard, Ontario, Canada, Potsdam Sandstone, Cambrian.

Planolites isp.

Material.—Six specimens on 2 samples collected from the siltstone layer overlying the Zoophycos Bed (MZM Ge 32948) from Velká nad Veličkou, Czech Republic, Eocene.

Description.—Near-surface, unbranched, cylindrical burrows with a smooth wall and no lining, preserved as positive hyporelief. Course of burrow generally horizontal but undulating vertically. Occurring in two different size ranges; the smaller one is about 1 mm wide and preserved over a length of about 30 mm, the larger type has a constant width of about 5 mm and is preserved for lengths between 30 to 80 mm. The latter size variant is more common.

Simple, actively filled (pelletoidal) horizontal to oblique structures

Ichnogenus Tubulichnium Książkiewicz, 1977


Remarks.—Originally described as Tubotomaculum García-Ramos, Mángano, Buatois, and Rodríguez-Tovar, 2014, the ichnogenus was considered a junior synonym of Tubulichnium Książkiewicz, 1977, by Uchman and Wetzel (2017) and its only ichnospecies Tubotomaculum mediterranensis García-Ramos, Mángano, Buatois, and Rodríguez-Tovar, 2014, was transferred to Tubulichnium by Uchman and Wetzel (2017).

Tubulichnium mediterranensis (García-Ramos, Mángano, Buatois, and Rodríguez-Tovar, 2014)

Fig. 4.

Material.—In the field, about 200 observed, usually partially preserved specimens, derived from the Zoophycos Bed; 113 collected (owing to the large number of specimens, inventorying was done in lots: MZM Ge 32958 (figured herein), MZM Ge 32959 (not figured) from Velká nad Veličkou, Czech Republic, Eocene.

Description.—All Tubulichnium mediterranensis specimens were observed in mid-tier position within the Zoophycos Bed as horizontal, unbranched burrows with no obvious connection to the surface. The burrows have a fairly straight to slightly winding course and blind endings. The diameter of the burrows is fairly constant in the range of 4.4 to 13.5 mm, but irregular swellings occur along the burrow. The burrows are lined with elongated, small, 1 mm long and 0.4 mm wide, silty pellets consisting of material derived from the overlying siltstone layer. The maximal preserved length is 60 mm. Larger burrows of probably higher ichnogenic stages display a spindle-like morphology and often retrusive, vertical spreiten with oblique lamellae. Burrows are often colour-enhanced by manganese- or/and iron-rich oxides.

Remarks.—Tubulichnium mediterranensis is relatively common in Cretaceous to Eocene strata in the Mediterranean and occurs according to García-Ramos et al. (2014) often in association with Rotundusichnium zumayensis Plićka, 1989, Zoophycos isp., Chondrites isp., Gyrophyllites isp., and Stelloglyphus ilicensis Le Roux, Nielsen, and Henríquez, 2008. Other occurrences are restricted to the Miocene of Borneo (Seilacher 2007; García-Ramos et al. 2014). Tubulichnium was interpreted by Uchman and Wetzel (2017) to represent a sequestrichnion.

Stratigraphic and geographic range.—Upper Cretaceous to Miocene (García-Ramos et al. 2014); probably worldwide.

Complex actively filled horizontal structures

Ichnogenus Scolicia de Quatrefages, 1849

Type ichnospecies: Scolicia prisca Quatrefages, 1849, Donostia-San Sebastián, Guipuscoa, Spain, Paleogene.

Scolicia strozzii (Savi and Meneghini, 1850)

Fig. 5.

Material.—Single specimen collected in the talus (MZM Ge 32949) from Velká nad Veličkou, Czech Republic, Eocene.

Description.—Only a small section of a guided meander is preserved as bilobate positive hyporelief with a median groove. The burrow is 40 mm wide and each of the two limbs has a length of about 95 mm. Most of the original internal structures have been destroyed by small indetermined burrows.

Stratigraphic and geographic range.—Tithonian to Recent (Tchoumatchenco and Uchman 2001); probably worldwide.
Radial to rosette structures

Ichnogenus Dactyloidites Hall, 1866

Type ichnospecies: Dactyloidites asteroides (Fitch, 1850), New York, USA, Granville Formation, Cambrian.

Remarks.—Dactyloidites is an ichnogenus that deserves further investigations. It was synonymized with Haentzschelinia Vialov, 1964, and with Brooksella Walcott, 1896 (Fürsich and Bromley 1985; Pickerill et al. 1993; Wilmsen and Niebuhr 2014; Boyd and McIlroy 2016). Brooksella was later re-described as a body fossil by Ciampaglio et al. (2006). Wilmsen and Niebuhr (2014) revisited the type material of Dactyloidites ottoi and discussed the synonymization of Haentzschelinia and Dactyloidites. They concluded...
(in accordance with Fürsich and Bromley 1985) that there is no valid argument to use *Haentzschelinia* as separate ichnogenus. However, *Haentzschelinia* is still retained as separate ichnogenus by some authors and since its defence by Vialov (1989), *Haentzschelinia* was mainly used for more radial forms (e.g., Belaústegui et al. 2015; Muñoz et al. 2019) and for post-Palaeozoic forms (e.g., Belaústegui et al. 2015; Muñoz et al. 2019) and since its defence by Vialov (1989), *Haentzschelinia* was mainly used for more radial forms (e.g., Belaústegui et al. 2015; Muñoz et al. 2019) and for post-Palaeozoic forms (e.g., Belaústegui et al. 2015; Muñoz et al. 2019) and since its defence by Vialov (1989), *Haentzschelinia* was mainly used for more radial forms (e.g., Belaústegui et al. 2015; Muñoz et al. 2019) and for post-Palaeozoic forms (e.g., Belaústegui et al. 2015; Muñoz et al. 2019). A constant diameter of about 1 mm. They are commonly unbranched and rarely bifurcating at acute angles near their blind taping endings. The individual J-shaped tunnels usually form multiple layers and are not lined or coated with pellets. The burrows are circular in cross-section and do not overlap. Unlike the specimens that are usually referred to *Dactyloidites*, the central axis of the observed specimens consists of multiple, steeply inclined to subvertical shafts; the parts of shafts connecting the galleries with the surface were not observed.

**Remarks.**—Species of at least four different ichnogenera are similar to the studied material: *Asterosoma* Otto, 1854, *Phycodes* Richter, 1850, *Phymatoderma* Brongniart, 1849, and *Dactyloidites* Hall, 1886. However, none of the first three fits perfectly. Species of *Asterosoma* have a concentrically layered wall around a passively filled lumen. Some of our more fan-like specimens resemble *Phycodes auduni* Dam, 1990, or *Phycodes bромлеви* Dam, 1990. However, the ichnospecies of *Phycodes* are best restricted to burrows having a (sub)-square cross-section (Seilacher 2000). Ichnospecies of *Phymatoderma* are irregularly branched, and pellets frequently occur (e.g., Izumi and Yoshizawa 2016). Multiple shafts are not found in any ichnospecies of *Dactyloidites*. Nevertheless, the defined ichnotaxobases for *Dactyloidites* matches the studied material best. The ichnogenus *Dactyloidites* comprises five valid ichnospecies: *Dactyloidites ottoi* (Geinitz, 1849), *Dactyloidites asteroides* Fitch, 1850, and *Dactyloidites cabanasi* (Meléndez in Cabanás, 1966), exhibit simple, less radiating morphotypes, whereas *Dactyloidites peniculus* D’Alessandro and Bromley, 1986, and *Dactyloidites jordii* Boyd and McLroy, 2016, represent more complex radiating burrows with multiple layers of tunnels that sometimes are overlapping.

The studied material of *Dactyloidites* isp. from the Velká nad Veličkou shares similarities with more densely radiating ichnospecies. *Dactyloidites peniculus* D’Alessandro and Bromley, 1986, occurs as a radial pile or brush-like structure. The studied material is distinguishable from *D. peniculus* by presence of dichotomous branching tunnels running from the central shaft without lining or pelleted structure. *Dactyloidites jordii* Boyd and McLroy, 2016, has a bilateral symmetry, exhibits multiple orders of branching, and the burrows display an n-shaped cross-section. In contrast, the studied material has radial or bilateral symmetry (in the case of fan-like morphotypes), exhibits only first order branching, and the cross-section of tunnels is circular. Furthermore, it also shares similarities with *D. ottoi* (Haentzschelinia ottoi sensu Seilacher 2008) such as dichotomous branching of tunnels and a fan to radial outline.

**Burrows with helicoidal spreiten**

**Ichnogenus Zoophycos Massalongo, 1855**

*Type ichnospecies: Zoophycos brianteus* Massalongo, 1855, Livorno, Tuscany (Italy), Upper Cretaceous.

**Zoophycos brianteus** Massalongo, 1855

*Fig. 7A.*

**Material.**—Three nearly complete specimens from the Zoophycos Bed (MZM Ge 32960, MZM Ge 32961; Z 69) from Velká nad Veličkou, Czech Republic, Eocene.

**Description.**—The three studied, almost complete specimens exhibit the typical spreite of *Zoophycos* helically coiled around a vertical axis. Both sinistral and dextral helices were observed, and the structures were constructed from top to bottom. The spirals’ diameters increase downward. The first has a nearly circular outline, the second is rather oval, and the last one is slightly lobate. The largest...
diameters of the three specimens measure 139 mm, 167 mm, and 225 mm respectively. They penetrate from the top of the Zoophycos Bed for about 150 mm with a total height of the Zoophycos spreite whorls of about 40 mm each. In vertical sections, each spreite-whorl is bent concave upwards with both limits (marginal tunnel and contact at the centre) being roughly at the same level. The thickness of the spreite increases from 2.8 mm at the top whorl to up to 6.4 mm as a maximum. This maximal thickness is already reached about halfway through the burrow’s vertical extension. From there on, the spreite thickness does not increase further. An inner, not bioturbated area with a subcircular cross-section is present having a diameter 7.6–8.5 mm. A central shaft has not been observed. In all specimens, the marginal (unlined) tunnel is well developed as well as primary and secondary lamellae of the spreite. The maximal distance between two subsequent primary lamellae increases from the top to the bottom of the helix. On the surfaces of the whorls, faecal pellets have been observed. They have an elongate ellipsoidal to slightly ovoid or capsule-like shape. Their size is fairly constant in all specimens; ranging 0.8–1.4 mm in length and 0.4–0.5 mm in width. With depth protrusion, these faecal pellets increase in size.

Remarks.—Olivero (2007) redescribed the specimens of the three proposed Zoophycos-species by Massalongo, 1855. He selected lectotypes and a new type ichnospecies of Zoophycos since the originally designated type ichnospecies constitutes rather a fossil alga than a trace fossil (Olivero 2007). The studied specimens match with the rede- scription of Zoophycos brianteus by Olivero (2007).

In general, two ways of construction exist in Zoophycos, from top to bottom or from bottom to top. This can be inferred
by the convex side of the lamellae within the spreite (Olivero and Gaillard 1996). According to the findings by Olivero and Gaillard (1996, 2007), the bottom to top mode of building seems to be more common but the (lecto-)type material of Zoophycos brianteus (ICN1, ICN2, ICN3, ICN4) seems to be constructed from top to bottom (see Olivero 2007).

For the studied specimens, ichnogenetic stages (Belaústegui et al. 2016) are transitional but a growth of the trace-maker during construction can be inferred owing to increases of spreite thickness, diameter of the whorls, pellet size, dimensions of the marginal tunnel etc. (cf. Kotake 1989). The tracemaker seems to have reached adult size before the structure was completed.

All specimens exhibit a continuous spreite with mixture of silty material and pellets (Fig. 7A3) indicating an active downward-movement of overlying more nutritious material into the nutrition-poor Zoophycos Bed. The deposition of faecal pellets within the structure points towards a sequestration behaviour (cf. Uchman and Wetzel 2016, 2017). The tracemaker purposely stowed material in order to either re-digest it or to utilise it indirectly by consuming microbes cultivated on it or their processed compounds. The same behaviour by a Recent Zoophycos-tracemaker was observed by Wetzel et al. (2011).

Some individual coils of the largest specimen are cross-cut by younger shafts of an undetermined trace fossils with a diameter up to 10 mm (Fig. 7A3). On the spreite surfaces, several specimens of Chondrites intricatus (Fig. 7A4) and minute circular shafts (diameter less than 1 mm) occur (Fig. 7B).

Stratigraphic and geographic range—Lower Permian to Recent (Hu et al. 2010); worldwide.

Burrows with shaft or bunch with downwards radiating probes
Ichnogenus Chondrites Sternberg, 1833
Type ichnospecies: Chondrites targionii (Brongniart, 1828), locality and age unknown.

Chondrites intricatus (Brongniart, 1823)
Fig. 7A4.
Material.—12 specimens on the surface of the above mentioned Zoophycos brianteus specimens (MZM Ge 32960, MZM Ge 32961; Z 69), 3 isolated specimens observed in sediment (collected in situ from the Zoophycos Bed) from Velká nad Veličkou, Czech Republic, Eocene.

Description.—Regularly branching, small, dendritic burrow systems covering an area of up to 90 mm², with numerous minute branches, less than 1 mm in diameter, usually preserved in close contact with both upper and lower surfaces of Zoophycos spreite/whorls; isolated occurrence of Chondrites intricatus in the surrounding claystone is rare.

Remarks.—All above described Zoophycos brianteus specimens are pierced by minute vertical shafts filled with pyrite having a diagenetic halo (Fig. 7B). The diameter of these shafts is in the same size-range as the diameter of the individual branches of Chondrites intricatus (less than 1 mm). Thus, these shafts may represent connections of the branched section of Chondrites with the ancient water-sediment interface.

Stratigraphic and geographic range—Lower Silurian (Rindsberg and Chowns 1986) to Recent; worldwide.

Regular to irregular network graphoglyptids
Ichnogenus *Megagrapton* Książkiewicz, 1968

*Type ichnospecies:* *Megagrapton irregularare* Książkiewicz, 1968, Berest near Grybów, Beloveža Beds, Poland, lower Eocene.

*Megagrapton irregularare* Książkiewicz, 1968

**Fig. 8.**

**Material.**—Single incomplete specimen (MZM Ge 32947) collected from the talus, preserved as positive hyporelief from Velká nad Veličkou, Czech Republic, Eocene.

**Description.**—Trace fossil commonly preserved as hypichnial irregular nets. *Megagrapton* with meshes bordered by only slightly winding strings, which commonly branch at approximately right angles. The incomplete specimen is confined by the edges of the slab and has a length of 150 mm and a width of 60 mm with a tunnel diameter of 1 mm. It consists of an irregular network of winding galleries, which branch at approximately 90°. Branching occurs only on the convex sides of the winding galleries.


**Stratigraphic and geographic range**—Lower–Middle Oligocene to Miocene (Uchman et al. 2005); worldwide.

**Discussion**

The studied trace fossils from the old brickyard Velká nad Veličkou belong to the *Zoophycos* ichnofacies and represent a deep-sea flysch ichnoassemblage (see also Ekdal and Bromley 1984) confirming previous bathymetric interpretations of a lower slope setting based on agglutinated foraminifera (Bubík 1995). It is dominated by *Zoophycos brianteus*, *Chondrites intricatus*, *?Dactylooidites* isp., and *Tubulichnium mediterranensis*. The studied *Zoophycos* Bed (Fig. 8) yielded mid- to deep-tier trace fossils and most tracemakers applied food-stowing behaviour (sequestrichnia). Shallow or surface traces are preserved in the overlying siltstone layer and are represented by *Planolites* isp. The interrelationships of these near-surface burrows and the trace fossils in the *Zoophycos* Bed are difficult to evaluate because no cross-cuts or avoidance behaviour could be observed. Given the fact that these are near-surface burrows and most of the trace fossils of the *Zoophycos* Bed are mid- to deep tier burrows, it can be assumed that all these traces were made simultaneously. The upper mid-tier ichnosuite is represented by *T. mediterranensis* and the lower mid-tier by *?Dactylooidites* isp. Finally, the deepest tier is represented by *Chondrites intricatus* and *Zoophycos brianteus*, the biggest *Zoophycos*, however, already has its first whorl in the lower mid-tier. Considering that the *Z. brianteus* whorls were cross-cut by minute vertical shafts and intricate networks of *Chondrites intricatus*, the latter were the last constructed ichnotaxa in the ichnoassemblage.

When proposing the new ethological category “sequestrichnia” for stowing traces, Uchman and Wetzel (2016) listed common morphologies for trace fossils belonging to this ethological category. They also described the strategies applied by the tracemakers in order to stow food items. For the studied *Z. brianteus* specimens, most of the described strategies can be recognized: (i) The trace fossils are embedded within an organic-poor sediment (the *Zoophycos* Bed), which is overlain by an organic-rich layer (siltstone); (ii) The traces within the *Zoophycos* Bed are actively filled with material originating from the organic-rich stratum above and this material was transferred deep below the water sediment-interface keeping it out of reach of the shallower living bioturbators and probably also to preserve it in an anoxic environment. In the studied case, this fill is deposited as pellets and within the spreiten (compare Uchman and Wetzel 2016; see also Bromley 1991). During times of nutritional scarcity, the tracemakers remobilize the food from their storage either indirectly by solutions or ectoenzymes or directly by reworking and re-digestion. This “recycling-diet” was probably enriched in microbes growing within the active fill (cf. Jurkowska et al. 2017).

Similarly to *Zoophycos brianteus*, *Chondrites intricatus* is filled with the silty material deriving from the overlying stratum (except the shafts probably belonging to *Chondrites*). The preferred and close contact suggests that the *Zoophycos* structures represented a favourable (micro-) environment for the *Chondrites* tracemakers to exploit (compare Uchman and Wetzel 1999; Mikuláš et al. 2012; Rodriguez-Tovar et al. 2019). *Chondrites* has repeatedly been interpreted as chemichnia (for summary see Fu 1991; Bromley 1996; Vallon et al. 2016) and the diagenetic halos around the penetrating minute shafts together with their pyritic core, support a chemichnial behaviour. Chemichnia are produced by tracemakers that live in symbiosis with chemoaautrophic bacteria. Hence, their burrows need to serve both the needs of the oxygen-dependent tracemaker and its sulphate-reducing bacteria. The burrows, therefore, commonly exhibit an upper part where the tracemaker lives (usually not preserved
in the fossil record) and a lower part reaching down into the anoxic area of the sediment as for instance shown for modern sediments by Wetzel (2008: fig. 5D). Here sulphide- or ammonium-rich porewaters are mined which are essential for respiration of the symbiont-microbes (Seilacher 1990; Kędzierski et al. 2015). Although Chondrites intricatus may also appear isolated within the Zoophycos Bed, their preferred occurrence in-between individual Z. brianteus whorls points to favourable conditions for the Chondrites tracemakers in this nucleocave micro-environment. The faecal pellets within the Z. brianteus spreite probably enriched the already anoxic sediments with additional metabolic products locally, intensifying the sulphide- or ammonium-rich porewater. Because the Z. brianteus whorls were filled with the silty material from above, they might have acted as reservoir of pore water enriched in sulphide owing to their coarser grain size and more open pore-space compared to the surrounding mud. In the studied material from Velká nad Veličkou, the individual Chondrites tracemakers repeatedly exploited the same Z. brianteus specimen in the same manner. Once a reservoir was depleted, the trace-maker burrowed deeper through the coil and exploited a new source. Although the two ichnogenera Zoophycos and Chondrites are very common, are frequently reported together and have a large stratigraphic range (e.g., Vinn et al. 2020 and references herein), we do not know of any similar interaction as reported herein.

The ichnogenus Tubulichnium is present in Triassic to Oligocene deposits and it occurs together with trace fossils typical of the Nereites ichnofacies (Uchman and Wetzel 2017). Tubulichnium rectum occupies a relatively deep tier in depths between 30 and 65 mm (Uchman and Wetzel 2017) although Garcia-Ramos et al. (2014) treated T. mediterranensis as a structure formed in a shallower tier. Proposed cache behaviour of T. mediterranensis (Garcia-Ramos et al. 2014) corresponds with the sequestration interpretation by Uchman and Wetzel (2017). The possible tracemakers are under discussion. For T. rectum a vermiform animal (Uchman and Wetzel 2017) was proposed in contrast to crustacean trace-maker of T. mediterranensis (Garcia-Ramos et al. 2014). The ichnogenus Dactyloidites usually occurs in shallow, sandy marine to brackish environments (D’Alessandro and Bromley 1986; Agirrezabala and Gibert 2004; Uchman and Pervesler 2007; Boyd and McIlroy 2016) whereas the studied specimens derive from a deep-sea environment. The studied material is therefore in concordance with the type ichnospecies Dactyloidites asteroides (Fitch, 1850) (see Hall 1886; cf. Landing 2012) from the Granville Formation, New York, USA, which was interpreted to derive from a lower slope environment (Donald H. Goldstein, personal communication 2021). Although recent deep-sea radial burrows were reported (Huggett 1987; Gaillard 1991; Bett et al. 1995; Turnewitsch et al. 2000; Bell et al. 2013), all the structures were observed on the sediment surface. The studied material shows distinct
infaunal features similarly to burrows of *Arenicola marina* (Linnaeus, 1758) reported by Rijken (1979). He noticed that the stimulus for this atypical behaviour of *A. marina* is the presence of nutrient-rich sediment at living depth. Despite *A. marina* is an inhabitant of shallow seas, this hypothesis can be applied to deep-sea environments as well. Further, the presence of plant remains within or in close contact with *Dactyloidites otoi* was repeatedly reported (see Agirrezabala and Gibert 2004; and references therein). This behaviour of incorporating plant material has been interpreted as farming (agricchia) by Agirrezabala and Gibert (2004). Alternatively, this and our observations of deep-marine *Dactyloidites* isp. may also point to sequestration (e.g., stacked J-shape burrows combined with pellet deposition). The studied deep-sea material further supports this interpretation as these infaunal burrows were actively filled with silty material from the layer above the Zoophycos Bed.

Conclusions

The Velká nad Veličkou brickyard exemplifies deep-sea flysch sedimentation with uniquely preserved trace fossils and a relatively rich ichnoassemblage. The Zoophycos Bed offers a rare glimpse into the ecology of deep-sea dwellers and their feeding strategies, that mostly applied the recently recognised behaviour of sequestration. *Chondrites intricatus* burrows occur in close contact with Zoophycos brianteus, in particular to the spreite whorls inferring a highly specialised behaviour of the *Chondrites intricatus* tracemakers. This is interpreted as an opportunistic exploitation of a nucleocave environment with sulphide- or ammonium-rich pore waters. ?*Dactyloidites* isp. in the Velká nad Veličkou brickyard outcrop contributes to the broader knowledge about this ichnogenus. The studied material represents a deep-sea environment (like its type ichnospecies) whereas most *Dactyloidites* ichnospecies are reported from shallow-marine settings.
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